

प्राविकार से प्रकाशित

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं० 38]

38]

No.

नई बिल्ली, सनिवार, सितम्बर 18, 1971/भात्र 27, 1893

NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 1971/BHADRA 27, 1893

इस भाग में भिम्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जि ससे कि यह झलग संकलन के कप में रखा जा सके Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation

भाग II-- ल व्ह 3--- उपलव्ह (ii)

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

(रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) भारत सरकार के मंत्रासयों ग्रौर (संघ क्षेत्र प्रशासन को छोड़कर)

केन्द्रीय प्राधिकरणीं द्वारा जारी किये गए विधिक बावेक और ब्रिथसचनाएं ।

Statutory orders and notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence) and by Central Authorities (other than the Administration of Union Territories)

MINISTRY OF LABOUR, EMPLOYMENT AND REHABILITATION

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 4th August 1971

S.O. 3409.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that the minimum rates of wages should be fixed under the Minimum Wages Act, 1948 (11 of 1948) in respect of employment in Graphite mines covered under the Mines Act, 1952 (35 of 1952);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 27 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby gives notice of its intention to add the said employment to Part I of the Schedule to the said Act.

Any suggestions or objections which may be received from any person in respect of the said addition on or before the 15th December, 1971 will be considered by the Central Government.

[LWI-I-2(23)/67-WE (MW).]

श्रम, रोजगार और पुनर्वास मंत्रालय

(श्रम श्रौर रेज्जगार विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, य धन्तस्त 1971

का० आ० 3409 ---यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि खान श्रिधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 35) के अन्तर्गत श्राने वाली ग्राफाइट खानों में नियोजन के बारे में न्यूनतम मजदूरी प्रश्विनियम, 1948 (1948 का 11) के प्रधीन मजदूरी की न्यूनतम दरें नियत की जानी चाहिये।

प्रतः ग्रम, उक्त ग्रधिनियम, की धारा 27 द्वारा प्रवत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त श्रधिनियम, की भनुसूची के भाग 1 में उक्त नियोजन को फोड़ने ग्रपने ग्राशय की सूचना; एतदद्वारा देती है।

उक्त जोड़ के सम्बन्ध में किसी भी व्यक्ति से 15-12-1971 को या पूर्व प्राप्त सुझावों या आक्षेपों पर केन्द्रीय सरकार द्वारा विचार किया जाएगा।

[सं० एल० डब्स्यू० झाई० 1-2 (23)/ 67 डब्स्नू० ई० (एम० उडस्तू०]

New Delhi, the 1st September 1971

S.O. 3410.—Whereas the Central Government is of the opision that the minimum rates of wages should be fixed under the Minimum Wages Act, 1948 (11 of 1948) in respect of employment in Ochre mines covered under the Mines Act, 1952 (35 of 1952);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 27 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby gives notice of its intention to add the said employment to Part I of the Schedule to the said Act.

Any suggestions or objections which may be received from any person in respect of the said addition on or before the 30th December, 1971 will be considered by the Central Government.

[No. LWI-I-2(37)/67-WE(MW.)] HANS RAJ CHHABRA, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 1 सितम्बर 1971

का॰ गा॰ '3410.--यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार की यह राय है कि खान अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 35) के ग्रन्तर्गत भाने वाली गैरिक खानों में नियोजन की बाबत मजदूरी की न्यूनक्षम दरें म्यूनतम मजदूरी अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 11) के भ्रधीन नियत की जानी

श्रतः, श्रव, उक्त प्रधिनियम की धारा 27 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा उक्त श्रधिनियम की अनुसूची के भाग 1 में उक्त नियोजन को जोड़ने के श्रपने श्राशय की सूचमा वेती है ।

उक्त जोड़ने के बारे में जो सुझाय या श्राक्षेप किसी व्यक्ति से 30 दिसम्बर, 1971 को या उससे पूर्व प्राप्त होंगे उन पर केन्द्रीय सरकार विचार करेगी।

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 4th August 1971

S.O. 3411.—Whereas the Central Government, having been satisfied that the public interest so required had, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) declared by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 947 dated the 11th February, 1971, service in the pyrites mining industry, to be a public utility service for the purposes of the said Act, for a period of six months from the 22nd February, 1971;

And whereas the Central Government is of opinion that public interest requires extension of the said period by a further period of six months;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to subclause (vi) of clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby declares the said service to be a public utility service for the purposes of the said Act for a further period of six months from the 22nd August, 1971.

[No. F. S.11025/20/71-LRI.]

(अम और रोजगार विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 4 श्रशस्त, 1971

का० भा० 3411.— यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार ने, यह समाधान हो जाने पर कि लोक हित में एस। अपेक्षित है, श्रौद्योगिक विवाद श्रिधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 2 श्रीर पूनवींस के खंड (ढ़) के उपखंड (६) के उपखंधों के श्रनुसरण में, भारत सरकार के श्रम, रोजगार मंत्रालय (श्रम श्रौर रोजगार विभाग) की श्रिधसूचना संख्या का० श्रा० 947, तारीख 11 फरवरी, 1971 द्वारा पाइराइट्स खनन उद्योग में सेवा को उक्त श्रिधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिये 22 फरवरी, 1971 में छः मास की कालाविध के लिये लोक उपयोगी सेवा घोषित किया था;

भौर यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि लोक हित में उक्त कालावधि का 6 मास की भौर कालाविधि के लिये बढ़ाया जाना श्रपेक्षित है ;

श्रतः श्रव श्रीद्योगिक विवाद श्रिधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 2 के खंड (ढ) के उपखंड (७) के परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा उक्त सेवा को उक्त श्रिधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिये 22 भ्रगस्त, 1971 से 6 मास की श्रीर कालावधि के लिये लोक उपयोगी सेवा घोषित करती है।

[सं० फा० 11025/ 20/71 एल० आर० 1]

New Delhi, the 10th August, 1971

S.O. 3412.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that it is expedient in the public interest to add the "Security Paper Mill, Hoshangabad" to the First Schedule to the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947);

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 40 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby adds the following item to the First Schedule to the said Act, after item 20 thereof, namely:—

"21. Security Paper Mill, Hoshangabad."

[No. F. S.11025/18/71-LR.I.]

नई दिल्ली, 10 श्रग₹त 1971

का॰ का॰ 3412. ----यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह राय है कि श्रीद्योगिक विवाद श्रधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की प्रथम श्रनुसूची में 'सिक्योरिटी पेपर मिल, होशंगाबाद' को जोड़ना लोकहित में समीचीन है ;

श्रतः यब, ग्रीबोरिक विवाद श्रिधिनियम 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 40 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त गिष्ठतयों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्दीय सरकार उक्त शिक्षितयम की प्रथम श्रनुसूची सं, उसकी मह 20 के पश्चात एतद द्वारा निम्मलिखित मद जोड़नी है प्रथात :—

''21. सिक्योरिटी पेपर भिल. हं।शागाबाद' ।

[स॰ फा॰ 11025/18/71-एल॰श्रार०-1]

New Delhi, the 4th September 1971

S.O. 3413.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Central Bank of India and their workmen. Which was received by the Central Government on the 2nd September, 1971.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR

CASE No. C.G.I.T./LC(R)(15)/71.

PRESENT:

Justice Shri M. Chandra, Presiding Officer,

Dated, the August 12, 1971

PARTIES:

Central Bank of India Vs.

Their workman

APPEARANCES:

For the employers—Shri Suryodaya Trivedi, Advocate.
For the workman—Shri M. L. Razdan, General Secretary.

AWARD

By an Order No. 23/71/69/LR-III, dated the 12th January, 1970, the Central Government referred the following industrial dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Delhi under Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

SCHEDULA.

"Whether the management of Central Bank of India, Parliament Street, New Delhi was justified in terminating the services of Shri Reoti Saran Aggarwal, Assistant Cashier, Delhi Shahdara Branch with effect from the 20th June, 1966? If not, to what relief is he entitled?"

For the ends of justice and convenience of parties, the case was transferred to Shri P. N. Thukral, Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, by an Order No. 23/71/69/LR-III, dated the 3rd September, 1970. Thereafter by an Order No. 23/71/69/LR-III, dated the 15th April, 1971, the case was transferred to this Tribunal, since the services of Shri P. N. Thukral, Presiding Officer, Faridabad were no longer available.

The written statements have already been filed by the parties.

Shri Reoti Saran Aggarwal was employed in the service of Central Bank of India on 16th January, 1950 as an Assistant Cashier and was posted as Assistant Cashier in Delhi-Shahdara Branch of the Bank during the year 1965. He was charge-sheeted by the Bank on 18th August, 1965. There were two charges against him. The first charge was that he had snatched the register from Mr. Grover and scored off the entries pertaining to the particulars of his own salary and also wrote a remark on page No. 5 of the register that "as the emoluments violated the terms of the Award, therefore, any amount of adjustment is illegal without his acceptance." It was further alleged that he made a remark in the muster roll also saying that "as the agent is not ready to adjust the amount of his increment due on 31st March, 1965, he requested for not crediting any kind of remuneration in his name in any account which he is entitled automatic, as per Award. This practice of the Agent totally violated the terms of the Award." The act of snatching the salary register from Mr. Grover, scoring off the entries in the salary register of the Bank and making extraneous remarks in the salary register and muster roll of the Bank was said to be an act of gross misconduct on the workman's part and amounted to unauthorised tampering with the records of the Bank. The second charge was that on 19th May, 1965 at about 9.50 a.m. Shri Mam Chand Jain, the permanent T/R of Delhi-Shahdara Branch, reported that he had delivered an application for one day's casual leave and had handed over the keys of the strong room and cash safe to Shri Reoti Saran Aggarwal, who was present in the office at that time. At about 10 a.m. the sub-Agent went to the cash Department and asked Mr Aggarwal to open the strong room, but Shri Aggarwal refused to open it on certain filmsy grounds stating that he was not

prepared to act as T/R for one day in vacancy of the permanent T/R Mr. Mam Chand Jain, who took leave for 68 days. When the sub-Agent advised Mr. Aggarwal to allow to other Assistant Cashier, Shri Suresh Chand, to open the strong room, Mr. Aggarwal objected to it also. The sub-Agent referred the matter to the Chief Agent on telephone and the Chief Agent also spoke to Mr. Aggarwal on telephone to open the strong room without any delay, but Shri Aggarwal disregarded and denied his lawful orders. In the absence of till-money and tokens, the office had to make payment of the cheques presented by the customer from out of the cash receipts from the public. The cash vault was finally opened by Mr. Aggarwal after receiving telephonic instructions from Shri L. Sheel Chandra, Treasurer, at about 11-55 a.m. The act of Shri Aggarwal in refusing to open the strong room in spite of the instructions from the sub-Agent and the Chief Agent was said to be an act of disobedience of a grave nature causing much inconvenience and great disruption in the smooth functioning of the Branch.

The workman's case was that whenever the T/R was absent, the cashier junior to Shri Aggarwal in service was called upon to officiate as T/R. On 19th May, 1965 in the absnece of T/R for a day Delhi-Shahdara branch Agent first tried to find out the cashier from some other local offices of the Bank to officiate as T/R, but on failure to get any such cashier the Agent asked Shri Aggarwal to officiate as T/R. Shri Aggarwal became apprehensive of the sudden change in the previous practice and requested the Agent to pass written orders and the Agent refused to do so. Shri Aggarwal thereupon requested the Agent to accept the responsibility of the cash. The branch Agent refused to accept the responsibility of the cash. The branch Agent refused to accept the responsibility. When the Chief Agent brought this fact to the notice of the Bank's Contractor Cashier, he accepted the responsibility of the cash and Shri Aggarwal immediately opened the cash safe.

The enquiry was conducted and the Enquiry Officer relying on an alleged letter of apology held Shri Aggarwal guilty of both the charges. On 20th June, 1966 Shri Aggarwal was discharged from service. Shri Aggarwal's contention was that he had tendered an apology on the assurance of Shri F. C. Cooper, the General Manager of the Bank that it would be seen that he was not punished at all and that Shri Aggarwal and the Union were both surprised when that very apology was made the basis for finally holding Shri Aggarwal guilty. According to Shri Aggarwal the apology was not voluntarily tendered but was tendered on the basis of the definite promise given to the representatives of the union by Shri Cooper, the then General Manager. The authority of the Chief Agent to issue the charge-sheet and his competence to appoint the Enquiry Officer have been challenged and the entire action of the Bank was alleged to be illegal. It was further contended that the Enquiry Officer had relied on the past record of Shri Aggarwal while awarding the final punishment and that as Shri Aggarwal had not been given even a show-cause notice in respect of the past record the action was improper. According to Shri Aggarwal the charges levelled against him did not constitute mis-conduct and he was not liable to be discharged from service. He alleges that in any event the punishment imposed is too severe and completely out of proportion to the charges levelled against him and that the punishment itself shows that the management acted with mala fide intentions and victimised him on account of his trade union activities.

The management raised the preliminary objections that in an application under section 33 (2)(b) the same matter had been agitated and the Tribunal had approved the action of the Bank in discharging the petitioner from the Bank's service. On merits the management reiterated that the action of Shri Aggarwal in snatching the register and making extraneous unauthorised entries amounted to an act of gross-misconduct. The refusal on the part of Shri Reoti Saran Aggarwal to open the strong room in spite of the instructions of the sub-Agent and the Chief Agent was again alleged to be an act of disobedience of a grave nature and another act of gross-misconduct on Shri Aggarwal's part. It was further alleged by the management that Mr. Daver, Enquiry Officer was duly authorised by the competent authority. Mr. Bhalla, the Chief Agent to make an enquiry. These allegations were denied by the workman.

When the case was transferred to this Tribunal the parties were given time by this Tribunal to file rejoinders, and the case was fixed today for issues.

The parties have, however, settled the matter amicably and filed and verified a settlement. The settlement provides for reinstatement of Shri Reoti Saran Aggarwal with effect from 1st September, 1971 and fixation of his basic pay to be made as if he had continued to be in Bank's service. He would not, however, be entitled under the Settlement, to any arrears of pay and allowances and bonus,

etc., and to any contributions towards provident fund from the date of his discharge to the date of his reinstatement. The period from the date of discharge of Aggarwal to the date of his reinstatement is to be treated as leave on loss of pay and all other benefits but it is to be accounted for the purposes of gratuity and seniority for promotion. For two years from the date of his reinstatement he will have no claim for promotion on the basis of length of his service. On reinstatement he is to be posted as Assistant Cashier-cum-Godown Keeper in any office in Delhi Group.

These terms are clearly advantageous and beneficial to Shri Reoti Saran Aggarwal in view of the fact that he is being reinstated with effect from 1st September, 1971 and is also being treated as having continued in the Bank's service for the puporses of notional increments, gratuity and seniority for promotion. He is also to get ex-gratia benfit of Rs. 3,000/- to enable him to get over the debts incurred by him.

Shri Aggarwal on his part expressed regret for his past conduct, assures proper behaviour and obedience to his superiors and also proper discharge of his duties and responsibilities.

The settlement thus secures to the Bank the services of the workman with an assurance for proper behaviour and obedience to the superiors, proper discharge of his duties and responsibilities. This is clearly in the interest of the Bank.

On reading the terms of the compromise of the settlement, therefore, I find that the settlement is advantageous and beneficial to both the parties. It is accordingly accepted and an Award as prayed by the parties is made in terms of the settlement which shall form part of the order. In view of the circumstances of the case parties will bear their own costs. Let the Award be sent to the Central Government.

(Sd.) M. CHANDRA,

Presiding Officer.

Central Government Industrial Tribunalcum-Labour Court, Jabalpur.

Memorandum of Settlement arrived at on 11th August, 1971 in the matter of an Industrial Dispute between the Management of Central Bank of India, New Delhi and their workmen, Mr. Reoti Saran Aggarwal as represented by Central Bank Workers Union, Delhi.

PARTIES PRESENT:

For the Management.—Shri M. L. Bhalla. Chief Agent, Central Bank of India, New Delhi.

For the Workmen—Shri M. L. Razdan, General Secretary, Central Bank Workers Union, Delhi.

Short Recital

Mr. Reoti Saran Aggarwal joined the service of the Central Bank of India on 16th January, 1950 as Assistant Cashier. On certain charges he was discharged from the Bank's service on 20th June, 1966. This dispute is at present pending before the Hon'ble Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court (C), Jabalpur, as Reference No. CGIT/LC(R)(15)-71. The parties expressed desire to arrive at a mutual settlement in this matter and after discussions have arrived at the following terms and settlement in the dispute.

Terms of Settlement

- 1. That Mr. Reoti Saran Aggarwal will be reinstated in the Bank's service with effect from 1st September, 1971.
- 2. That the fixation of basic pay of Mr. Reoti Saran Aggarwal will be made on the basis as if he had continued to be in Bank's service i.e. he shall be given all the notional increments from the date of his discharge to the date of his reinstatement as per First and Second Bipartite Settlement governing among others, the Central Bank of India also but he will not be entitled to any arrears of pay and allowances and bonus etc. He further shall not be entitled to any contribution towards provident fund from the date of his dischage to the date of his reinstatement.

- 3. That the period from the date of discharge of Mr. Reoti Saran Aggarwal to the date of his reinstatement will be treated as leave on loss of pay and all other benefits.
- 4. That the period from the date of discharge of Mr. Reoti Saran Aggarwal to the date of his reinstatement shall, of course, be accounted to the purpose of gratuity and seniority for promotion.
- 5. That Mr. Reoti Saran Aggarwal will have no claim for promotion on the basis of length of his service for two years from the date of his reinstatement.
- 6. That on his reinstatement, Mr. Reoti Saran Aggarwal will be posted as Assistant Cashier-cum-Godown Keeper in any office in Delhi Group.
- 7. That Mr. Reoti Saran Aggarwal while expressing regret for his past conduct, assures proper behaviour and obedience to his superiors and also proper discharge of his duties and responsibilities.
- 8. That as Mr. Reoti Saran Aggarwal was unemployed for about 5 years and has been pursuing his case in the Tribunal with the result he had to be in debt and also in view of his assurance for decent and proper behaviour, the Bank shall pay to Mr. Reoti Saran Aggarwal Rs. 3,000/- (Rupees three thousand only) as ex-gratia payment.
- 9. In consideration of the above, the parties hereby agree that the settlement shall be binding on the management of the Bank and the workman.

For Central Bank Workers Union: (Sd.) M. L. RAZDAN.

For Central Bank of India; (Sd.) M. L. BHALL³

(Sd.) REOTI SARAN AGGARWAL.

Witnesses:

1. S. K. GAUBA.

2. R. C. CHANDWANY.

Filed and verified by Sri Suryadaya Trivedi, Advocate, for the Management and Shri M. L. Razdan, General Secretary of the Union identified by Sri Suryadaya Trivedi, Advocate. Compromise is also verified by Sri Recti Saran Aggarwal, applicant in person, who is also identified by Sri Suryadaya Trivedi.

(Sd.) M. CHANDRA, Presiding Officer. 12-8-71.

(Sd.) SURYODAYA TRIVEDI, Asstt. Law Officer. (Sd.) M. L. RAZDAN, General Secretary, C.B.W.U.

> 'Sd.) Reoti Saran Aggarwal, Workman,

> > [No. 23/71/69/LRIII.]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 31st July 1971

S.O. 3414.—Whereas the industrial dispute specified in the Schedule hereto annexed is pending before Shri K. P. Gupta, Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Allahabad.

And, whereas, the services of Shri K. P. Gupta have ceased to be available.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A, and subsection (1) of section 33B of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tubu ial with Shri Aftab Ahmad as the Presiding Officer, with headquarters at Kanpur, withdraws the proceedings in relation to the said dispute pending before Shri K. P. Gupta and transfers the same to the said Industrial Tribunal, Kanpur constituted with Shri

Aftab Ahmad as Presiding Officer thereof and directs that the said Tribunal shall proceed with the proceedings from the stage at which they are transferred to it and dispose of the same according to law.

SCHEDULE

	Order No. and Date	S. O. No of Gazette and year of publication
Punjab National Bank and their workmen	24/3/70 LRIII dated the 5th September, 1970	3107/70

[No. 24/3/70/LRIII.]

स्राहेश

नई दिल्ली, 31 जुलाई 1971

का० द्या० 3414:--यतः इससे उपाबद अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विद्याद श्री के० पी० गुप्ता, पीठासीन अधिकारी श्रीद्योगिक अधिकरण इलाहाबाद के समक्ष लम्बित हैं।

यतः श्री के० पी० गुप्ता की सेवाएं ग्रब उपलब्ध नहीं रहीं।

यतः ग्रव, भौद्योगिक विवाद ग्रधिनियम, 1947, (1947 का 14) की धारा 7 क ग्रीर धारा 33 ख की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदरत गिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतदहारा एक ग्रांचोगिक ग्रधिकरण गठित करती है जिसके पीठासीन ग्रधिकारी श्री ग्राफताव ग्रहमद होंगे जिनका मुख्यालय कानपुर होगा ग्रीर श्री के० पी गुप्ता के समक्ष लिम्बित उक्त विवाद से सम्बद्ध कार्यवाहियों को वापस लेते हैं ग्रीर उसे श्री ग्राफताव ग्रहमद के पीठासीन ग्रधिकारी के रूपमें गठित उक्त ग्रीद्योगिक ग्रधिकरण, कानपुर को ग्रन्तरित करती है ग्रीर निदेश देती हैं कि उक्त ग्रिधकरण ग्रीर ग्रागे उस प्रक्रम कार्यवाही करने के लिए ग्रग्नसर होगा जिस पर वह उसे ग्रन्तरित की गई है ग्रीर विधि के ग्रनुसार उसका निपटारन करेगा ।

ग्रनुसूची

विधाद के पक्षकार ग्रादेश संख्या ग्रीर तारीख राजपदा की का० ग्रा० संख्या तथा प्रकाशन वर्ष

यंजाब नेशनल बैंभ ग्रौर उसके कर्मकार,

24/8/70-एल॰मार॰-III 3707/70 तारीख 5 सितम्बर, 1970

[संख्या फा॰ 24/3/70-एल॰ प्रार॰-III]

एस०एस० सहस्रनामन, श्रवरसचिव।

CORRIGENDA

New Delhi, the 4th August 1971

S.O. 3415.—In the notification of the Government of India in the Department of Labour and Employment No. S.O. 221, dated the 5th June 1971, published on pages 3209 to 3218 of the Gazette of India Part II—Section 3 Sub-Section (ii) the following corrections shall be carried out;

SI. No.			For the words/figures	Piegse read			
I	3212	12&13	Reference No. F. 12-I of 1970	Reference No. NIT-I of 1970			
2	3212	25	Rs. 230—15—245—20—325— 25—435.	Rs. 230—15—245—20—385— 25—435.			
3	3212	26	Rs. 150—10—200—15—245— 20—285—25—510	Rs. 150—10—200—15—245— 20—385—25—510.			
4	3212	27	Rs. 325—25—325—26—560— 40—840 (Non-technical categories only).	Rs. 325—20—385—25—560—40—640 (Non-technical. categories only)			
5	3212	28	Rs. 3252556040720	Rs. 385—25—560—40—620.			
6	3212	29	Rs. 435-25-560-40-720-80-870.	Rs. 435—25—560—40—720— 50—870.			
7	3212	49 & 50	Rs. 150—10—200—15—245—20 —435.	Rs. 150—10—200—15—245— 20—345			
8	3212	28	Said	Paid			
9	3215	12	Rs. 140!-	Rs. 149!-			

[No.4/82/70/IRIII]

S. S. SAHASRANAMAN, Under Secy.

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 6th September 1971

S.O. 3416.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Stone Quarries/Companies as given in Schedule I of the Award and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 31st August, 1971.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BOMBAY.

Ref. No. CGIT-6 of 1966

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to certain Stone Quarry Companies

AND

Their workmen.

PRESENT:

Shri A. T. Zambre, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

For employers 1, 2, 3 and 8.—Shri K. Srinivasamurthy, Advocate with Shri Manik Arke, Secretary, Shahabad, Stone Quamies Lease Holders' Association.

For employer No. 4.—Shri A. T. Joshi, Labour Adviser.

For the workmen.—Shri D. H. Dharap with Shri S. Chandrasekhar, Vice-President, Shahabad and Martur Stone Quarries Labour and Employees' Union and General Secretary, Tandur Stone Quarries Labour and Employees' Union,

Shri S. B. Kamat, Advocate for the Stone Querry and Polishing Workers' Union.

STATE: Andhra Pradesh and Mysore.

INDUSTRY: Stone Quarrying.

Bombay dated 30th July, 1971.

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Employment had by their Order No. 36/11/65-LRI dated 17th September, 1965 under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act referred to the Industrial Tribunal, Hyderabad for adjudication an industrial dispute existing between certain stone quarries/companies specified in the following schedule No. 1 and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in schedule No. 2:—

SCHEDULE I

- Messrs. Tandur and Navandgi Stone Quarries (P) Limited No. 1-10-146, Begumpet, Hyderabad 16.
- Messrs. Shahabad and Martur Stone Supplying Company (P) Limited, Shahabad, Mysore State.
- Messrs. Chittapur Stone Quarrying Company (Private) Limited, Chittapur, Mysore State.
- 4 Messrs, Wadi Stone Marketing Company Limited, Wadi, Mysore State,
- 5 Messrs, Vijaya Stone Supplying Company, Seram, Mysore State.
- 6 Messrs, Karnatak Stone Supplying Company, Seram, Mysore State.
- Messrs. Stone Supply Company Limited, Post Office Kurkunta, Mysore State.
- 8. Messrs. Gingurthi Stone Company, P.O. Tandur, Andhra Pradesh.
 SCHEDULE II
- (1) Whether the employers mentioned in Schedule 1 are justified in refusing paid National Festival Holidays to their workmen?
- (2) If not, what should be the number of such paid Festival Holidays in a year, and from what year are the workmen entitled to get the benefit?"
- 2. Subsequently Government by their Order No. 36/11/65-LR.I dated 6th January, 1966 in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 33B of the Industrial Disputes Act withdrew the proceedings from the Industrial Tribunal, Hyderabad and transferred them to this Tribunal with a direction to proceed the said proceedings from the stage at which they were transferred.
- 3. The Tandur and Navandgi Stone Quarries Labour and Employees' Union has by its statement of claim filed on behalf of the workmen employed by the employer Nos. 1, 2, 3 and 8 have contended that the said four companies are engaged in the mining and extraction of lime stone employing thousands of workers. In the Tandur Quarry Company about 2000 workers are employed. In the Shahabad and Martur Stone Supplying Company about 500 to 600 workers are employed. The same number is employed by the Chittapur Company and that these companies are functioning since a long time and have profited by fleecing the workmen by paying them very low wages. It is alleged that the employers have denied all leave and holidays with wages throughout the year. Even National Holidays, like Republic Day, and Independence Day which are allowed all over the country as paid holidays have been denied to the workers. Similarly other paid festival holidays are also not given. The employees are also denied elementary leave privileges. There is no casual leave, privilege leave or sick leave nor any weekly

off and the workers are entitled to at least 15 days holidays in a year. They have demanded 15 National and Festival holidays such as—

(1) Republic Day.

(2) Independence Day(3) Dussehera.

(4) Deepayalı

(5) 2nd October.

(6) Makara Sankrant

(7) Holi.

(8) Hindu New Year Day (Ugadi).

(9) Moharram. (10) Ramzan.

(11) Bakrı Ed.

(12) Erwaka "Kaaroon". (13) October 7th (International Workers Solidarity Day). (14) 2nd May (Workers' Day).

(15) Maha Shiv Ratri

and have requested that the workers should be granted these holidays with wages and the benefit with effect from the date of the demand.

- 4. Some of the employers are the members of the Shahabad Stone Quarries Lease Holders Association. The Association has by its reply statement contended that the question referred to this Tribunal was only in respect of the grant of national holidays with pay. In this country there are only two National Holidays i.e. Republic Day and Independence Day and as the management has been giving the workmen holidays on those days the only question is whether the workmen should be paid for those days. They have opposed the reference on the technical contentions that the question of festival holidays is outside the scope of the reference and this Tribunal has no jurisdiction to go into that question. In the alternative it has been submitted that the demand of the workmen for the grant of 15 festival holidays was beyond all proportion especially when there is a demand in the country for increased production. It has alleged that ever larger and well established units were granting only 7 festival holidays per year and the present trend was towards reduction of festival holidays. It has further contended that the employers have no capacity to grant the festival holidays with pay as demanded and the workers' demand should be rejected.
- 5. Government had forwarded the copies of the reference order only to (1) the Shahabad and Martur Stone Quarry Labourers and Employees Union, Branch Chittapur, Gulbarga District, Mysore State and (2) the Tandur Stone Quarries Labour and Employees Union, Post Office Tandur, C. Rly., A. P. Hyderabad District. Both these unions are headed by the same President and are branches of the Stone Quarry and Employees Union and have filed a common-statement. But during the pendency of the proceedings some other unions put forth their appearance viz. The Shahabad Stone Workers' Union and the Wadi Stone Marketing Workers' Union and asked for only adjournment for pendiations but did not put Workers' Union and asked for only adjournment for negotiations but did not put in any written statements. The employers' association had not stated as to on whose behalf they had filed their written statement. Hence fresh notices were issued and employer No. 3 filed a statement dated 28th July, 1970 raising contentions similar to those put forth by the association.
- 6 Employer No. 4 the Wadi Stone Marketing Company Limited, by an application dated 3rd August. 1970 contended that the reference was ultra vires on the ground that there was no industrial dispute between the company and their workmen in the matter of national and festival holidays. They have alleged that workmen in the matter of hattonal and festival holidays. They have alleged that their workmen had never raised any demand either with the company or with the Government in respect of holidays and in view of the decision of the Supreme Court reported in 1968 | LLJ 834 the reference against empolyer No. 4 was ultra vires. It has been further alleged in the written statement that the union had not filed any statement of claim against the company and the present reference should be rejected. The Stone Quarry and Polishing Workers' Union had also made an application on 30th August, 1970 that their union was the only representative union representing the workmen of the Wardi Stone Marketing. Company tive union representing the workmen of the Wadi Stone Marketing employer No 4 The workmen were never represented by any other union; that their workmen had never raised any dispute with the Wadi Stone Marketing Company in the matter of national festival holidays and there is no dispute between them and the employer.

- 7. From the replies of the managements it is clear that the employers have mainly resisted the reference on the basis of the vagueness of the wording of the reference order and have contended that the Tribunal has to decide only the issues as specified by the reference order. It has no power to amend the order and as the reference is not valid the same should be thrown out for want of jurisdiction and I shall first deal with the technical contentions of employers Nos. 1, 2, 3 and 8 about the validity of the reference.
- 8. Shri K. Srinivasamurthy, Advocate, appearing for employers Nos. 1, 2, 3 and 9 has argued that there are only two National holidays i.e., Republic Day 26th January and Independence Day 15th August. There are no national holidays except these two and the question is what meaning should be attached to the reference. Government have in the order used the words "national festival holidays" while in para 2 of the order they have used the words "what should be the number of such paid festival holidays in the year". Paragraph 2 does not speak of national holidays and the Tribunal has no jurisdiction to find out the meaning to be attributed to the reference order. The parties ought to have got the matter clarified from Government and under the circumstances no relief can be given to the parties. The learned Counsel has relied upon the rulings reported in 1959 II LLJ 656 and 1963 1 LLJ 507.
- 9. I do not think these rulings help the employers in their contention in barring jurisdiction of the Tribunal to decide the issues referred under the reference order. In the ruling reported in 1963 1 LLJ 507 it has been observed:—
 - "Section 10(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act makes it clear that where a reference is made to a labour court for adjudication it shall continue its adjudication to the points of dispute referred for adjudication. If the reference is made to an incorrect assumption it is certainly not open to the industrial tribunal while so holding to enlarge by its own choice the scope of the reference and widen the issues for decision and the field for enquiry including the evidence."

The other ruling also lays down the same principle that the Industrial Tribunal has to confine its adjudication to the points specified in the order of reference. However, it has nowhere been laid down in these rulings that the Tribunal has no power to find out the nature of the dispute referred to it.

- 10. Shri Chandrasekhar the General Secretary of the Union has relied upon the ruling reported in 1967 1 LLJ 423 (Delhi Cloth and General Mills v. its workmen) in which on page 431 their Lordships of the Supreme Court have observed:—
 - "In our opinion, the tribunal must in any event look to the pleading of the parties to find out the exact nature of the dispute because in mos cases the order of reference is so cryptic that it is impossible to cul out therefrom the various points about which the parties were a variance leading to the trouble."

Considering these observations the contention of the management that the tribunal cannot find out the meaning of the order cannot be accepted and the nature of the industrial dispute shall have to be determined from the reference order and the pleadings etc., of the parties. The wording of the reference order is as follows:—

- "Whether the employers mentioned in Schedule 1 are justified in refusing Paid National Festival Holidays to their workmen?
- 2. If not, what should be the number of such paid festival holidays in a year and from what year are the workmen entitled to get the benefit?"
- 11. It is not in dispute that on holidays work in an establishment is ordinarily closed. According to the dictionary meaning "holiday" means a day of idleness and recreation and a national holiday would mean a holiday for national rejoicing or holiday of national importance. There are also other sectional holidays on which the establishments are closed for social and religious festivals and those holidays can be referred to as festival holidays. Thus there are two types of holidays and by referring the question of paid national festival holidays it is clearly meant paid national and festival holidays. It is an admitted fact that the quarries grant 15 holidays in a year but they are without payment. The managements have endorsed the application of the union dated 19th August, 1970 to that effect and the question is not on what holidays the establishments should be

- closed. Considering the wording in the two paragraphs of the reference order and the pleadings in my opinion the real stress is not in respect of the character of the holidays as national holidays or festival holidays but it is in respect of payment of wages on holidays i.e. on paid holidays. The Shahabad Stone Quarries Lease Holders Association has in its written statement stated that the management had been giving the workmen the National Holidays and the only question is whether they should be paid on those days.
- 12. It is significant to note that the reference has been made because of the charter of demands made by the Stone Quarries Labour and Employees Union. The Government has along with the order of reference forwarded the three failure reports made by the Regional Labour Commissioner which gives the substance of the demands. The report says that the Tandur Stone Quarries Labour and Employees Union raised two disputes over the charter of demands of the workmen of Tandur and Navandgi Stone Quarries (P) Limited, and the Gingurthi Stone Company The demand included revision of wages of workmen engaged on various piece-rated jobs, payment of dearness allowance introduction of certain benefits provided in the various labour laws, festival holidays and bonus for the years 1962-1963 and 1963-1964. Thus this will show that according to the charter the workmen had asked for festival holidays.
- 13. In the failure report in respect of the charter of demands filed against the Shahabad and Martur Stone Supplying Company while giving the substance of the demands the Regional Labour Commissioner has stated that the charter of demands includes increase in rate of wages of the workmen employed in various operations, dearness allowance, introduction of provident fund, wage slips and leave with wages, paid national festival holidays and bonus for the year 1963-64. Thus the reports would show that the demand was in respect of both national and festival holidays and Government by the order has referred the dispute about paid National and Fesival Holidays.
- 14. It is not in dispute that in our country there are only two National Holidays, the Republic Day and Independence Day. Had the reference been only in respect of National Holidays then there was no question of the wording in the second paragraph as to what should be the number of such paid festival holidays and it is clear that the dispute is in respect of both paid national holidays and paid festival holidays.
- 15. This will be further corroborated from the evidence of the enquiries made by the employers about the number of holidays with other managements. The employers habe examined Shri Krishnamurthy who was the Administrative Manager of the Tandur and Navandgi Stone Quarries (Private) Limited. He has produced the letters which the management had received from the Associated Cement Companies Limited. Shahabad Works and the Mahaboob Shahi Kulburga Mills Company Limited, Gulburga. He has further stated that the Manager had sent the letters to the companies to make enquiries about the number of holidays in the year and he himself had drafted those letters. This clearly shows that the dispute was in respect of all the paid holidays in the year. Had it been only in respect of national holidays which are well known to be only two there was no question of making any enquiry and it shall have to be held that the dispute referred to this Tribunal is in respect of the number of paid National and festival holidays.
- 16. The learned Counsel has further argued that when Government have in paragraph 2 of their order used the words "such festival holidays" they excluded National Holidays and as the two parts are inconsistent no relief can be given. The General Secretary of the Union Shri S. Chandrasekhar has relied upon the observations of their Lordships of the Supreme Court in 1962 II LLJ 227 (Express Newspapers Ltd., and their workmen) in which their Lordships have emphasised the necessity of framing the order of reference carefully and have further given directions to the Courts in construing such orders. They have observed:—
 - "An order of reference hastily drawn or drawn in a casual manner often gives rise to unnecessary disputes and thereby orolongs the life of industrial adjudication which must always be avoided. Even so when a question of this kind is raised before the Courts the Courts must attempt to construe the reference not too technically or in a pedantic manner but fairly and reasonably.

I have already considered the wording of the reference order, the pleadings and the substance of the demand from the failure report and I am satisfied that the

real dispute between the parties is in respect of paid national and festival holidays and the first question is whether the employers are justified in refusing paid. National and festival holidays to the workmen.

- 17. The union has in its statement of claim contended that the workmen employed by the company are denied all leave and holidays with wages during the year. There is no casual leave or privilege leave to them. Even sickness benefits allowed under the Employees State Insurance are not available to them and they should be granted 15 days as paid National and festival holidays. It is not in dispute that the workers employed by these companies are not getting any paid holidays or leave and there is much substance in the contentions raised by the workers. It is known that the various State Governments have made provisions for paid holidays under the Negotiable Instruments Act. It is also not in dispute that almost all commercial and industrial establishments and factories have by their standing orders made provision for paid holidays. The employees in question are quarry workers. They have to work in the hot sun and considering all the circumstances it shall have to be held that the employers are not justified in refusing paid National and festival holidays to their employees.
- 18. Before considering the second part of the reference it is necessary to deal with the plea of the Wadi Stone Marketing Co., Ltd., Mysore State, Employer No. 4 raised by them by their subsequent written statement filed on 4th August 1970 in respect of the validity of the reference. By this written statement they have contended that their workmen had not made any specific demands for paid holidays, that they had not raised any dispute and as there is no industrial dispute this Tribunal has no jurisdiction. The learned Counsel Shri Joshi on their behalf has relied upon the ruling reported in Sindhu Resettlement Corporation Ltd. v. their workmen (1968 1 LLJ page 834) in which it has been observed:—
 - "On the facts of this case, it is clear that the reference made by the Government was not competent and mere demand to a Government without a dispute being raised by the workmen with their employers cannot become an industrial dispute"

It has been further argued that even after the reference the workmen had not filed any statement of claim in justification of the demand and the reference should be dismissed against the employer No. 4.

- 19. Shri S. Chandrasekhar, Vice-President of the Shahabad and Martur Stone Quarries Labour and Employees Union has in his rejoinder contended that the workmen employed by this company were not allowed any holidays with pay not even the National festival holidays, like Republic Day and Independance Day. The workmen were very poor and illiterate. They had made oral representations and asked the management to allow them holidays with pay but their request was never granted. It was not that there was no demand but the workers were carrying on with seething discontent and the demand of 15 paid holidays was applicable even to the Wadi workers. Regarding the competency of the reference it has been further contended that Government have powers to make a reference based on apprehension of industrial unrest prevailing among the quarry workers of the region. All the quarrics which are parties to the reference are situated in the same locality on the borders of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh. The employers were represented by the Lease Holders Association and Government has referred the dispute in exercise of their powers conferred under section 10(5) of the Industrial Disputes Act. It is an industrywise dispute. No specific lemand is necessary against each management and the contention advanced by the employers should not be accepted and the next question is whether the present reference against employer No. 4 the Wadi Stone Marketing Company Ltd., is competent.
- 20. It is not in dispute that no specific written demand has been made to the employers of the Wadl Stone Marketing Co., about pald holidays. Even the Secretary of the Union Shri Bhimsha who has been examined has admitted in his cross-examination that he had not made any demand about paid holiday on behalf of the Wadi workers and it can be accepted that there was no specific demand about paid holidays by the workers to employer No. 4. However it is not in dispute that on behalf of the quarry wokers various demands were raised by the Shabha and Martin Stone Quarries Labour and Valories Union. If one can not were in respect of rates of wages, dearners allowance, National festival holidays, provident fund, leave and other benefits and conditions of service and were inade against many quarries of the locality. I have already quoted in orief the substance of the demand as seen in the filture report in respect of some managements. It is also not in dispute that because of these demands Government had passed two reference orders in respect of the demands and referred the two disputes to this

Tribunal. One of them is the instant reference No. 5/66 and the other is Ref. No. 72/65. This Ref. No. 5/66 pertains to only paid holidays while the other Ref. 72/65 is in respect of rate of wages, dearness allowance etc., and these references are heard together in this Tribunal. The employers Messrs. Wadi Stone Marketing Co., Private Ltd., is a party in both these references and it is clear that Government has included the Wadi Stone Marketing Company in this reference also because of those general demands which clearly shows that the present industrywise reference has been made by Government in exercise of the powers under section 10(5) of the Industrial Disputes Act. They have joined almost all the quarry companies as parties to this reference and it is unnecessary that there should be a specified and actual demand against every employer in respect of the paid holidays for rendering the reference competent.

- 21. Section 10(5) of the Act reads as follows:---
 - 10(5) "Where any dispute concerning any establishment or establishments has been or is to be referred to a Labour Court, Tribunal or National Tribunal under this section and the appropriate Government is of opinion whether on an application made to it in this behalf or otherwise that the dispute is of such a nature that any other establishment group or class of establishments of a similar nature is likely to be interested in or affected by such dispute the appropriate Government may at the time of making the reference or at any time thereafter but before the submission of the award include in that reference such establishment, group or class of establishments whether or not at the time of such inclusion any dispute exists or is apprehended in that establishment group or class of establishments."

From the wording of this section it is clear that the appropriate Government is competent to include any establishment or class of establishments when it wants to refer a dispute concerning similar establishments. It is not in dispute that the Wadi Stone Marketing Co., is situated in the same area. It is also not in dispute that the company carries on the same business. It is also clear from the failure reports that there were disputes between the workmen and the managements as the employees had made demands for revision of wages and paid holidays etc. It cannot be disputed that even the workers employed by the Wadi Stone Marketing Co., are likely to be interested or affected by the result of the fixation of wage scales and paid holidays in the adjacent establishments and considering the circumstances it shall have to be held that Government was perfectly justified in including the Wadi Stone Marketing Co., in this reference under section 10(5) of the Act.

- 22. The learned Counsel Shri Joshi has argued that the reference order itself states that Government have made the reference under section 10(1)(d) of the Act. There is no mention of section 10(5) and it is not an industrywise reference under section 10(5). I have already stated that all these quarries of the eight companies are situated in the same region. They are also near each other. It is significant to note that a majority of the establishments are the members of the Shahabad Stone Quarry Lease Holders Association. The Wadi Stone Marketing Company is also a member of this Association. The Association has not in its written statement contended that there was no demand for paid holidays. This written statement was also filed on behalf of employer No. 4 and these circumstances also clearly show that the reference has been made under section 10(5) of the Industrial Disputes Act.
- 23. As regards the specific mention of section 10(1)(d) in the reference order I do not think this will make any difference or will go to show that it is not an industrywise reference. It is significant to note that every reference to a Tribunal under the Act has to be made in exercise of powers conferred under section 7A and 10(1)(d) and no significance can be attached to the mention. In an industrywise reference a similar establishment can be joined without any dispute. The wording in section 10(5) "whether or not at the time of such inclusion any dispute exists or is apprehended in that establishment" clearly negatives the contentions raised by the employers that the reference is not valid as there was no dispute.
- 24. The learned Counsel has emphasized the ratio of the ruling quoted above which requires the existence of a dispute for the validity of the reference. I do not think that the principle lald down in that particular ruling which is applicable to the particular situation can be disputed. It has to be remembered that it was a dispute in respect of reinstatement of a retrenched employee and the reference was made in respect of the individual dispute. It was not in respect of an industrywise dispute as in the present case and the contention that the reference is not competent in respect of the Wadi Stone Marketing Co., Ltd., cannot be accepted

- 25. The learned Counsel Shri A. T. Joshi and Shri S. B. Kämat have further argued that the employees of the company were not the members of the Shahabad and Martur Stone Quarries Labour and Employees Union. It was not a representative union and they had no right to represent the workmen, Their employees are represented by the other union known as Wadi Stone Marketing Co. (P) Ltd., Workers' Union. It is contended that the union has now changed its name and is known as the Stone Quarry and Polishing Workers' Union. This union has not made any claim in this reference and there is no dispute between the management and their workers about paid holidays. It has been further argued that even the Shahabad and Martur Stone Quarries Labour Union not in their first statement of claim dated 25th October, 1965, included the employees of this company. It was only in their subsequent written statement dated 20th August, 1970, they have stated that the claim for 15 National Festival Holidays made in the cese was applicable to the workers of the Wadi Stone Marketing Co., and as there is no claim the reference should be dismissed. Shri Kamat who appeared on behalf of the Stone Quarry and Polishing Workers' Union has also supported the contention of the employers that the workers had not made any demand about paid holidays and there is no dispute between the workers and the management in that respect.
- 26. Shri Dharap on behalf of the Shahabad and Martur Stone Quarry Labourers and Employees Union has argued that in the year 1965 when the demands were made and the dispute was in conciliation proceedings the only union functioning in the area was the Shahabad and Martur Stone Quarry Labourers and Employees Union. The Stone Quarry and Polishing Workers Union was not also in existence. The management colluding with some of the aspirants and few workers have set up this union and with their help want to deprive the workers of the benefit of paid holidays. It has been further argued that the arguments advanced by the management that there is no dispute about paid holidays and their workers do not want paid holidays is very strange and this circumstance itself will prove that the union is set up by the management with a view to deprive the workers of the advantage. The Shahabad and Martur Stone Quarry Labourers and Employees Union though now a minority union functioned during this period and has got every right to press the demand of the workers for paid holidays.
- 27. In support of their contention the Shahabad and Martur Stone Quarry Labourers and Employees Union has examined Shri Bhimsha who was formerly working with the union as Secretary. He has stated that in the beginning the union members were from Shahabad and subsequently workmen from other places such as Wadi. Chittapur, Kurkunda also joined the union and there were 80 or 90 members from Wadi Stone quarry. He has further stated that till he was Secretary no other union was functioning for the Wadi workers. However, this witness has not produced the registers and other records though promised. He had in his cross-examination undertaken to produce the registers in Court and has failed to produce them and from this it can be adversely inferred that the union is not representing a majority of the workers.
- 28. However, it cannot be said that the union had absolutely no following among the Wadi workers. This witness has produced the letter of the Labour Officer, Gulbarga, dated 19th April, 1967, which letter shows that the Labour Officer had asked witness Bhimsha Gidappa, Secretary of the Union to meet the officer in the Guest House of the Associated Cement Cos. Ltd., Shahabad with the records and registers. From this it would be clear that Shri Bhimsha was the Secretary of the Union and the records were with him. The Union has also produced the following documents:—
 - (1) Memorandum of settlement dated 16-11-1964 under section 12(3) of the Industrial Disputes Act between the union and the Shahabad Stone Quarries Lease Holders' Association in which the Wadi Stone Marketing Co. was represented.
 - (2) Memorandum of settlement dated 7-1-1965 between the union and the companies including the Wadi Stone Marketing Co Ltd., before the R.L.C.(C).
 - (3) Minutes of discussions of the conciliation proceedings held under section 12 of the I.D Act. 1947 before the Conciliation Officer (Central), Hyderabad on 9-4-1965.

These documents clearly establish that the Shahabad and Martur Stone Quarry Labourers and Employees Union was functioning among the quarry workers, since before 1965 and had raised disputes on behalf of the workers including the

Wadi workers. The documents show that the Wadi establishment had also enter ed into settlements with the Shahabad and Martur Stone Quarry Labour and Employees' Union and the contention of the Wadi employees that this union had absolutely no membership from their workers cannot be accepted.

- 29. Shri Dharap on behalf of the Shahabad Stone Quarry Labourers and Employees Union has submitted that by setting up the new union the management wants to convert this industrial dispute into a dispute between the unions and deprive the workers of the benefit of paid holidays. It is clear from the copy of the registration certificate of the Stone Quarry and Polishing Workers' Union that this union has been registered on 28th May, 1970 and it is recently formed. It has put in its first appearance in this reference on 20th August, 1970 and first contended that they have not raised any dispute. It is contended that this new union is a continuation of the old union named 'The Wadi Stone Marketing Company Workers' Union. However, they have not led any evidence and there is no proof that the new union is the continuation of the old union.
- 30. It is significant to note that the Wadi Stone Marketing Company Workers' Union which put in its appearance in this reference from the year 1966 has nowhere stated that there was no dispute. But now and then the union made applications that they were negotiating the matter. There were negotiations going on with the management and the reference should be adjourned. It is only after that union entered into an agreement with the Wadi company in respect of the wage scale in the other reference No. 72|1965 and Shri Chandrasekhar's union raised objections the inter union rivalry came openly on the surface and each union claimed the representative character and it is thereafter that the Stone Quarry and Polishing Workers' Union came into existence and putting in its appearance first filed a written statement on 20th August, 1970 in which they have contended that they had never any dispute with the Wadi Stone Marketing Co. in the matter of the National and festival holidays and they had not also raised any such demand. It is not the case of the union or of the management that there is any agreement or settlement between them and the workers under which they have fixed the number of paid holidays.
- 31. On the contrary the first written statement filed by Quarry Lease Holders' Association on behalf of the company shows clearly that they did not give any paid holidays to their workers. I have already stated that some of the employers were the members of the Lease Holders Association and this Association had in the written statement stated they were granting their workmen holidays on Republic Day and Independence Day and the only question was whether they should be paid for those two days which clearly shows that the employers who were members of the Association were not giving to their workmen any paid holidays. As the association had not in their written statement stated as to what employers were their members and on whose behalf they had filed the written statement subsequently notices were issued and the Association by their explanation received in this office on 3rd August, 1970, stated that the written statement filed by the association in this reference was filed on behalf of such members of the association who were parties to the reference. They have also given the list of members of the association and the Wadi Stone Marketing Co. Ltd., is one of the members of the association.
- 32. It should be also clear from the application submitted by the Wadi Stone Marketing Company itself on 19th August, 1970, when they requested to permit them to file their second written statement they have stated:—
 - "The reply statement filed by the Secretary of the Shahabad Stone Quarry Lease Holders Association on 16th day of December, 1965 was filed on behalf of all its members including the present company viz., Messrs. Wadi Stone Marketing Company Ltd."

and they further requested that it should be treated as superseded by the written statement, dated 3rd August, 1970, filed on 19th August, 1970 and it is clear that the Wadi Stone Marketing Company also has not been giving any baid holidays to their workers and the benefit of the final award will be available to the workers of that company also. It is clear from the evidence that when the Stone Quarry Labour and Employees Union raised disputes and made general demands about conditions of service against many managements Government included the Wadi company in the reference. The issue about paid holidays for the quarry workers is of a general nature in which all quarry workers are interested. The circumstances clearly indicate that the Stone Quarry Labour and Employees'

Union had some following among the Wadi Workers and was functioning. Recently it appears to have been reduced to a minority union. But even a minority union has got a right to represent the workers and the workers can be benefited if the claim made by the minority union is accepted as all the workers will get the benefit of this award.

83. By the second part of the reference this Tribunal has been asked to decide the question about the number of such paid holidays in the year. The union has claimed 15 paid holidays as listed in their written statement. The association has claimed to paid holidays as listed in their written statement. The association has on the contrary contended that the grant of 15 paid holidays would be beyond all proportion. The industries in the region were not also granted 15 paid holidays to their employees. Even the larger and well established units were granting only 7 festival holidays per year. Shri Dharap on behalf of the union has argued that in the ruling reported in 1964 1 LLJ page 12 at page 15 (Associated Cement Co. Staff Union v. Associated Cement Co.) and 1963 1 LLJ page 543 at page 555 [Pfizer (Pvt.) Ltd., Bombay and their workmen] the Supreme Court had granted 16 paid holidays to the industrial workers and the claim of the workers for 15 holidays to proper

holidays is proper.

34. However, it cannot be disputed that the fixation of the number of paid holidays should be in accordance with the number of days fixed in comparable holidays should be in accordance with the number of days fixed in comparable undertakings in the region. The management has produced the letter from the Associated Cement Cos. Ltd., Shahabad, dated 11th November, 1965, which shows that they were granting 7 paid holidays such as Republic Day, Ramzan Id, Independence Day, Dusserah etc. Similarly there is another letter from the Mahboobshahi Kulburga Mills Co. Ltd., which also shows that the workers are getting 7 paid holidays. These concerns are also in the same region and in my opinion the grant of 7 paid holidays in a year to the workers concerned in the present reference would be proper and I direct accordingly. Out of the 7 paid holidays the Republic Day and Independence Day should be granted by all the employers and the remaining five may be as mutually agreed between the workmen and the management. the management.

- 35. The further question is from what year the workers should get the benefit of the paid holidays. I have already stated that the employers keep their establishments closed on the 15 holidays every year but they are not making any payment to the workers for these holidays. The employers have below the application of the union, dated 19th August, 1970, made an endorsement and have admitted that they are giving 15 holidays but without payment and the specific issue has been referred as to from what year the workers should be given the benefit of the payment. The union has claimed the benefit from the date of demand. However, considering the longstanding reference and the various adjournments sought and the circumstance that the workers have no benefits of casual leave, sick leave etc., it is reasonable that the workers should be granted this benefit of payment from the date of the reference order. It was transferred to this Tribunal by Government order No. 36|11|65-LR.I, dated 6th January, 1966. Though the workers have enjoyed the holidays they have not received any payment and the managements are directed to pay the benefit of the 7 days holidays every year to the workers from January, 1966 within 30 days of the publication of this award in the official gazette.
- 36. As regards costs the reference has been hotly contested. The workers' representatives attended the proceedings both at Hyderabad and at Bombay on many occasions and in my opinion costs of Rs. 500 would meet the ends of justice. The employers Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 8 mentioned in schedule 1 to the order of reference will pay Rs. 100 each as costs to the unions on record in the order of reference.

A. T. ZAMBRE. Presiding Officer. Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Bombay.

[No. 36(11)/65-LRIV.]

S.O. 3417.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 1), Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Dhansar Colliery of Messrs. The Pure Dhansar Coal Company, Post Office Dhansar, District Dhanbad and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 31st August, 1971.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, DHANBAD

In the matter of a reference under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1917.

REFERENCE No. 20 of 1971

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the mangement of Dhansar Colliery of M/s. The Pure Dhansar Coal Company, P.O. Dhansar, (Dhanbad).

And

Their workmen represented by Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, Branch Dhansar Colliery, C/o Sinha Bhawan Bastacolla, P.O. Dhansar, Distt. Dhanbad.

PRESENT:

Shri A C. Sen, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES.

For the employers—Shri S. K. Lodha, Partner. For the Workmen—Shri Lala B. P. Sinha.

STATE: Bihar

INDUSTRY: Coal.

Dhanbad, dated the 26th August, 1971

AWARD

Ministry of Labour and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), Government of India, by its Order No. L. 2012(88)-71-LRH dated the 6th July, 1971, referred under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947, an industrial dispute existing between the employers in relation to the management of Dhansar Colliery of Messrs The Pure Dhansar Coal Company, P.O. Dhansar, (Dhanbad) and their workmen in respect of the matter specified below to this Tribunal for adjudication.

- "Whether the action of the management of Dhansar Colliery of Messrs The Pure Dhansar Coal Company, Post Office Dhansar, District Dhanbad, in stopping Shri Rabindra Nath Biswal, Attendance Clerk from work with effect from the 15th February, 1971 is justified? If not, to what relief is he entitled?"
- 2. The workmen filed their written statement on 30th July, 1971 and the management filed their written statement-cum-rejoinder on 16th August, 1971.
- 3. Shri S K. Lodha, Partner, appeared for the employers and Shri Lala B. P. Sinha, representative of the workmen, appeared for the workmen. Both the parties on 23rd August, 1971 filed a joint petition of compromise dated 23rd August, 1971 and prayed that an award be made in terms of the said agreement.
- 4. I have gone through the petition of compromise in which it is stated that the workman concerned, Shri R. N. Biswal will be paid a sum of Rs. 500/- in full and final settlement of his claim against the management of Pure Dhansar Colliery. It is further stated in the petition of compromise that the workman will not claim reinstatement in his employment with the Pure Dhansar Colliery.
- 5. I have read and considered the above terms of the compromise and think they are fair and reasonable and in the interest of the parties and, therefore, I accept the same and record the compromise and make an award on the basis of the terms of settlement contained in the petition for compromise, which do form part of the award.

6. Let a copy of this ward be submitted to the Central Government under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

(Sd.) A. C. SEN,

Presiding Officer.

PEFORE THE HONOURABLE PRESIDING OFFICER CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 1) AT DHANBAD

REFERENCE No. 20 of 1971

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Dhansar Colliery of M/s. The Pure Dhansar Coal Company, P.O. Dhansar, Distt. Dhanbad.

AND

Their workmen represented by Colliery Mazdoor Sangh, Branch Dhansar Colliery, C/o. Sinha Bhavan Bastacolla, P.O. Dhansar, Distt. Dhanbad

Terms of settlement

The parties concerned in the above matter, most respectfully beg to submit:

That the parties have mutually discussed over the matter and settled the dispute on the following terms.

- 1. It is agreed that the mangement of Pure Dhansar Colliery will pay to Shr R. N. Biswal, the workman concerned a sum of Rs. 500/- (Rupees five hundred only in full and final settlement of his claim against the management of Pure Dhansai Colliery.
- 2. It is agreed that the workman will not claim reinstatement in his employmen with the Pure Dhansar Colliery.
- 3. That the payment of the amount of Rs. 500/- (Rupees five hundred) as stated in para 1 above, will be made on 23rd August 1971, in the presence of Secretary Shri Lala B. P. Sinha,
- 4. It is agreed that copy of this settlement will be filed before the Hon'ble Pre siding Officer. Cendal Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 1) at Dhanbad, fo disposing of the adjudication proceedings pending before the said Tribunal in Reference No. 20 of 1871.
 - 5. It is agreed that the parties will bear their respective cost of this proceedings

That the parties pray that the Reference No. 20 of 1971 may kindly be dispersed of on the basis of the above terms of settlement between the parties.

For the Employers

S. K. Ludha, For Pure Dhansar Coal Co. Partner.

For the Workman

LALA B. P. SINHA,

For Colliery Mazdoor Sangh

Branch Dhansar Colliery,

Secretary.

[No. L/2012/88/71-LRII.]

New Delhi, the 9th September 1971

8.0. 3418.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Messrs R. B. Seth Shreeram Durgaprasad and Fatechand Narasingdas, Sankalapuram Mine, Post Box No. 38, Hospet, Bellary District and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 28th August, 1971.

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL IN MYSORE, BANGALORE

Dated the 23rd August 1971

PRESENT:

Sri Narayan Rai Kudoor, B.A., B.L., Presiding Officer.

REFERENCE No. 5 of 1971 (CENTRAL)

I Party:

The workmen of M/s. R. B. Seth Shreeram Durgaprasad and Fatehchand Narasingadas, Sankalapuram Mine. P.B. No. 38, Hospet, Bellary District.

Vs.

II Party:

- (a) The Management of M/s. R.B. Seth Shreeram Durgaprasad and Fatehchand Narasingadas, Sankalapuram Mine, P.B. No. 38, Hospet, Bellary District.
- (b) Sri G. Vadivelu and 5 others, Contractors, c/o The Agent, M/s. R. B. Seth Shreeram Durga Prasad and Fatehchand Narasingadas, Sankalapuram Mine P.B. No. 38, Hospet, Bellary District.

Order of Reference

(Government Order No. 8(24)/70-LR-IV dated 3/4-3-1971)

AWARD

The Central Government, by its Order of reference No. 8(24) [70-LR-IV dated 3/4-3-1971 has referred an industrial dispute existing between the Employers in relation to the Management of Messrs, R. B. Seth Shreeram Durga Prasad and Fatehchand Narasingadas, Mine Owners, Hospet and G. Vadivelu and 5 others, contractors, Sankalapuram Iron Ore Mine and their workmen, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 7(A) and clause (d) of Sub-Section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, (XIV of 1947) to this Tribunal for adjudication on the following point of dispute:—

- "Whether the management of R. B. Seth Shreeram Durga Prasad and Fatehchand and Narasingadas, Mine Owners, Hospet or their Contractors namely: Sarvashri (i) G. Vadivelu (2) Pachappa (iii) B. M. Setty (iv) Kullayappa (v) Shreemathi Muniyamma and (vi) Smt. Ameenabi are responsible to implement the recommendations of the Central Wage Board for Iron Ore Mining Industry to the piece rated workmen, said to be employed through the abovenamed contractors in their Sankalapuram Iron Ore Mine, Hospet? If so, to what relief are the workmen entitled and against whom?"
- 2. On receipt of the above Reference, it was taken on file as Central Reference No. 5 of 1971. The workmen represented by the President, Industrial and General Labour Union, Hospet, Bellary District, is the I Party. The Management of Messrs. R. B. Seth Shreeram Durgaprasad and Fatehchand Narasingadas Sankalapuram Mine and Sri G. Vadivelu and 5 others contractors are II Party (A) and (B) respectively.
- 3. Notices were issued to the parties. The I Party workmen and the II Party—A, the Management, have sent separate written intimations stating that the matter in dispute under reference was amicably settled between the workmen and the management and the proceedings may be dropped. Among the II Party—B, one of the contractors by name, Vadivelu has sent his statement denying his liability to implement the recommendations of the Central Wage Board for Iron Ore Mine Industry to the piece rated workmen.
- 4. The effect of the representations sent by the I Party—workmen and the Management is that the demand raised by the workmen covered under the Order of

Reference is not pressed by the workmen for a decision at the hands of this Tribunal. That being the case, there is no need to consider the plea put forward by one of the contractors in his statement, that he is not liable to implement the recommendations of the Central Wage Board. In view of the stand taken by the workmen and the Management, the reference is liable to be rejected.

- In the result, I passes an Award rejecting the Reference.
- Under the circumstances, I make no order as to costs.

NARAYAN RAI KUDOOR. Presiding Officer.

[No. 8(24)/70-LR-IV.]

New Delhi, the 14th September 1971

S.O. 3419.—Whereas an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of East Nimcha Colliery, Post Office Jaykaynagar, District Burdwan (hereinafter referred as the said Company) and their workmen represented by the Colliery Mazdoor Congress (HMP), Ushagram, Asansol, District Burdwan (hereinafter referred to as the said Union).

And whereas the said Company and the said Union have by a written agreement in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), agreed to refer the said dispute to arbitration of the person specified therein, and a copy of the said arbitration agreement has been forwarded to the Central Government.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (3) of the section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the said arbitration agreement.

Agreement

(Under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947)

BETWEEN

NAME OF THE PARTIES:-

Representing the employers-Shri H. C. Saini, Agent, East Nimcha Colliery, P.O. Jaykaynagar, District Burdwan.

Representing the workmen-Shri Vinay Kumar, Joint Secretary, Colliery Mazdoor Congress (HMP), Ushagram, Asansol, District Burdwan.

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following industrial disputes to the arbitration of Shri O. Venkatachalam, Chief Labour Commissioner (Central), New Delhi.

- (i) Specific matters in dispute: -
- "Whether the management of East Nimcha Colliery Post Office Jaykaynagar, District Burdwan is justified in making deduction of wages
 for 6 days during week ending 19th June, 1971 from the workers
 employed in Pit Nos. 2, 3 and 4 of their colliery under the proviso
 to Section 9(2) of Payment of Wages Act, 1936 and the rules framed
 thereunder? If not, to what relief are the workmen concerned entiti-
- (ii) Details of the parties to the dispute including the names and address of Estts. or undertaking involved.—East Nimcha Colliery P.O. Jaykay-nagar, Distt. Burdwan.
- (iii) Name of the Union, if any representing the workmen in question.

 Colliery Mazdoor Congress (HMP), Ushagram, Asansol, Dist Distt. Burdwan.
- (iv) Total number of workmen employed in the under-taking affected.—2800.
- (v) Estimated Number of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute.—About 1400.

We further agree that the decision of the arbitrator shall be binding on us.

The arbitrator shall make his award within a period of six months or within such further time; as is extended by mutual agreement between us in writing. In case the award is not made within the period aforementioned, the reference to arbitration shall stand automatically cancelled and we shall be free to negotiate for fresh arbitration.

Signature of the parties. (Sd.) H. C. SAINI. 24-8-71.

Representing the employers. (Sd.) VINAY KUMAR. 24-8-71.

Representing the workmen.

Witness:

- 1. ILLEGIBLE, 24-8-71.
- 2. ILLEGIBLE, 24-8-71.

[No. L/1913/11/71-LRII.]

(अम और रोजगार विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 14 सितम्बर, 1971

का • भा • अव • 3419.—यतः ईस्ट निम्चा कोलियरी, डाकघर जेके नगर, जिला बर्दवान (इसके बाद उक्त कम्पनी के रूप में निर्देशित किया गया है) के प्रवस्थ मण्डल से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों श्रीर उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, जिनका प्रतिनिधित्व कोलियरी मजदूर कांग्रेस (एच • एम • पी •) उषा ग्राम, श्रासनसोल, जिला बर्दवान (इसके बाद उक्त यूनियन के रूप में निर्देशित किया गया है) करती है, एक श्रीद्योगिक विवाद विश्वमान है ;

श्रीर यत: उक्त नियोजकों श्रीर कर्मकारों ने श्रीद्योगिक विवाद श्रधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10-क की उपधारा (1) के उपबन्धों के श्रनुसरण में एक लिखित करार द्वारा उक्त विवाद को उसमें विणत व्यक्ति के माध्यस्थम के लिए निर्देशित करने का करार लिया है श्रीर उक्त माध्यस्थम करार की एक प्रति केन्द्रीय सरकार को भेजी गई है;

श्रतः, श्रव, श्रौद्योगिक विवाद श्रिधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10-क की उपधारा (3) के उपबन्धों के श्रनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त मध्यस्थम, करार को, एतद्द्वारा प्रकाशित करती है।

(ग्रीधोगिक विवाद प्रधिनियम, 1947 की धारा 10-क के प्रधीन)

करार

पक्षकारों के नाम:

नियोजकों का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले :

श्री एच ० सी ० सैनी, श्रभिकर्त्ता, ईस्ट निम्चा कोलियरी, डाकचर जेकेनगर, जिला बर्दवान

कर्मकारों का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले

श्री विनय कुमार, संयुक्त सचिव, कोलियरी, मजबूर कांग्रेस (एच ० एम ० पी ०) उषाग्राम, ग्रासनसोल, जिला वर्दवान ।

पक्षकारों के बीच निम्नलिखित श्रीद्योगिक विवाद को एतद्द्वारा श्री श्रो ० वेंकटाचलम, मुरुष्ट श्रमायुक्त (केन्द्रीय), नई दिल्ली के मध्यस्थ्म के लिए निर्देशित करने का करार किया गया है।

1. विनिर्दिष्ट विवाद ग्रस्त विषय :

"क्या ईस्ट निम्चा कोलियरी, डाकघर जेके-नगर, जिला बर्दवान के प्रबन्धमण्डल का मजदूरी भुगतान ग्रिधनियम, 1936 की

धारा 9(2) के पर तुक और उसके अन्तर्गत बनाए गए नियमों के अधीन अपनी कोलियरी की पिट संख्या 2, 3 और 4 में नियोजित कर्मकारों से 19-6-1971 को समाप्त होने वाले सप्ताह के दौरान 6 विन की मजदूरी की कटौती करना न्यायोचित है ? यदि नहीं तो संबंधित कर्मकार किस अनुतोष के हकदार हैं ?"

- (2) विवाद के पक्षकारों का विवरण, जिसमें श्रन्तैवलित स्थापन या उपक्रम का नाम ग्रीर पता भी सम्मिलित है
- ईस्ट निम्चा को लियरी, डाकवर जेकेनगर, जिला बर्दवान ।
- (3) यदि कोई संघ प्रक्रनगत कर्मकारों का प्रति-निधित्व करता हो तो उसका नाम
- कोलियरी मजदूर कांग्रस (एच० एम० पी०) उषाग्राम, श्रासनसोल, जिला बर्देवान।
- (4) प्रभावित उपक्रम में नियोजित कर्मकारों की कुल संख्या
- ्लगभग 1400

2800

(5) विवाद द्वारा प्रभावित या सम्भाव्यतः प्रभावित होने वाले कर्मकारों की प्रक्किलत संख्या

हम यह करार भी करते हैं कि मध्यस्थ का विनिश्चय हम पर ग्राबद्ध कर होगा।

मध्यस्थ श्रवना पंचाट छः मास की कालाविध या इतने श्रौर प्समय के भीतर जो हमारे बीच पारस्परिक लिखित करार द्वारा बढ़ाया जाय, देगा । यदि पूर्व वर्णित कालाविध के भीतर पंचाट नहीं दिया जाता तो माध्यस्थम के लिए निवध स्वतः रद्द हो जायगा श्रौर हम नये माध्यस्थम के लिए बातचीत करने को स्वतंद्र होंगे ।

पक्षकारों के हस्ताक्षर,

साक्षी

ह ०—-श्रस्पष्ट

24-8-1971

ह •---एच ० सी ० सैनी 24-8-71

नियोजकों का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले

2. ह*०*—ग्रस्पष्ट 24-8-1971 [ह ⊶—विनय कुमार 24-8-71

कर्मकारों का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले

[संख्या एल ०/1913/11/71-एल • श्रार०-2]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 29th May 1971

S.O. 3420.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Kotma Colliery of Associated Cement Company Limited, Post Office Kotma. District Shahdol (Madhya Pradesh) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed.

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of Kotma Colliery of Associated Cement Company limited, Post Office Kotma Colliery, District Shahdol, Madhya Pradesh, in not placing the following 14 pump drivers, who operate more than one pump of 35 HP or above, in category IV, with effect from the 15th August, 1967, as per the Recommendations of the Central Wage Board for Coal Mining Industry as contained in Appendix V. Volume II. is justified? If not, to what relief the workmen concerned are entitled and from what date?"

Names of the workmen

-	Shri	S. N. Mishra	•					•			Pump Drver.
2,	",	Ramgopal	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	"
3.		Rajaram	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	
4.	,,	Ramnarain,	•	•					•	•	2.2
5. 6.	33	Rampromode			•		•		•	•	,,
6.	.,	Lurjhur .									
7.	"	Ramcharit									**
7. 8.	"	Kailashnath .									***
9.	2.3	Budha .									83
ÍΟ.	**	Jai Singh									31
II.	,,	A. C. Chakraborty									79
12,	íí	Negiram									7.5
13.	**	Gangaram	-				_	i	_		1)
	**	Ramujagr	•	•	-	-	•	•	-	•	**
14.		I/milim) mer	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	

[No. 1/76/79 LR.II.]

मावेश

नई बिल्ली, 29 मई, 1971

का॰ ग्रा॰ 3420—यतः केन्क्रीय सरकार की राय है कि इससे उपाबद्ध श्रनुसूची में विनिष्टि विषयों के बारे में एसोसियेटिड सीमेट कम्पनी लिमिटेड डाकचर कोत्मा कोलियरी, जिला श्रहडोल, (मध्य प्रदेश) की कोत्मा कोलियरी के प्रबन्ध से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों श्रीर उनके कर्मकारों के बीच एक श्रीद्योगिक विवाद विद्यमान है;

श्रौर यत: केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करना वांछनीय समझती है;

म्रतः, म्रब, म्रोद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (घ) द्वारा प्रदत्स गक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतदद्वारा उक्त विवाद को उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7-क के म्रधीन गठित केन्द्रीय सरकार म्रीद्योगिक म्रधिकरण जद्यलपूर को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशिन करती है।

ग्रनुपूची

"क्या एसोसियेटेड सीमेंट कम्पनी लिमिटेड, डाकघर कोत्मा कालियरी, जिला ग्रहडोल, मध्य प्रदेश की कोश्मा कोलियरीके प्रत्यध मण्डल के निम्नलिखित 14 पंप वालकों को, जो 35 एच०पी० या उससे अधिक ग्राम्स के एक से प्रधिक पंप चलाते हैं कोयला खान उद्योग सम्बन्धी केन्द्रीय मंजदूरी बोर्ड की सिफारिणों के प्रनुसार जो परिशिष्ट V, खण्ड II में दी गई हैं, 15 श्रगस्त, 1967 से श्रेणी IV में न रखने की कार्यमही न्यायोचित हैं?

यदिनही, तो सम्बन्धित कर्मकार किस भ्रनुतोष के श्रीर किस तारीख से हकवार है?"

कर्मकारी के नाम

	श्री एस० एन० मिश्र						पंप चालक
2	श्री रामगोपाल				•	•	11
3	श्रीराजाराम .				•	•	u
4	श्री रामनारायण	•					и
5	श्री राम प्रामोद		•			•	"
6	श्रीलर्भुर .		•		•	•	11
7	श्री रामचरित		•				"
8	श्री केलासनाथ		•		Ē	•	"
9	श्रीबुधा .	•					"
10	श्रीजयसिंह .		•				"
11	श्रीए० सी० चक्रवर्ती		•		•		"
	श्री नेगीराम .		•	•			и
	श्री गंगाराम .		•	•	•		44
	श्री रामुजा गिर		•	•			"

[सं॰ 1/76/70-एल॰भार॰-2]

New Delhi, the 14th June 1971

S.O. 3421.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Chasnalla Colliery of Messrs Indian Iron and Steel Company Limited, Post Office Patherdih, District Dhanbad, Messrs Pohlig-Heckel-Bleichert Vereinigte Maschinenfabrike Ag. Ropeways and Mechanical Handling Plants, Post Office Patherdih, District Dhanbad and Messrs P.S. Golan and Company, Care of Chasnalla Colliery, Post Office Patherdih, District Dhanbad and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of subsection (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 1), Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

- "Whether the demand of the following workmen for payment of wages in accordance with the recommendations of the Central Wage Board for Coal Mining Industry as accepted by the Government of India is justified? If so,—
 - (a) the relief to which the workmen are entitled;
 - (b) the date from which they are entitled to the relief; and

(c) is the relief to be claimed from the management of the Chasnalla Colliery of Messrs Indian Iron and Steel Company Limited or from Messrs Pohlig-Heckel-Bleichert Vereinigte Maschinenfabrike Ag. Ropeways and Mechanical Handling Plants and Messrs P.S. Golan and Company?"

. No.	Name o	f the	₩c	rkmen				Designation
ī.	Shri Darwara Singh					•		Mechanical Fitter.
2.	Shri Mahesh Prasad							33
3.	Shri Gauri Shankar							••
4.	Shri Surendra Singh							• •
5.	Shri S. N. Pandey		•			•	•	,
6.	Shri Nitya Singh						•	23
7•	Shri Ranvir Singh			•		•	•	Exp. Fitter
8.	Shri Swar Singh				•		•	
_9.	Shri Md. Khalil		•				•	Fitter Helper
10.	Shri Shakti Pado Modi	L	•	•	•	•	•	Welder G. Cutter
II.	Shri Jodh Singh		•			•	•	Welder G. Cutter
12.	Shri Md. Idris		•	•		•	•	M. Fitter
13.	Shri P. S. Marma		-	•	٠	•	•	
14.	Shri Gaulka Singh		•		•	•	•	Watchman
15.	Shri Chandra Yaday		•	•		•	•	Mechanical Fitter
16.	Shri S. K. Ahmed				•	•	•	
17.	Shri Kamati Singh		٠	•	٠	•		Watchman

[No. 2/162/70-LRII].

नई दिल्ली, 14 जून, 1971

का॰ आ॰ 3421—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि इससे उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट विषयों के बारे में मेंसर्स ईडियन श्रायरन एन्ड स्टील कम्पनी लिमिटेड की चासनाला कोलियरी, डाकघर पाथरडीह, जिला धनवाद के प्रबन्धतंत्र मेंसर्स पोहलिक-हेकेल-स्लीचर्ट बीरीनिगटे माश्चिनन फेबाइक एजेंट रोपवेज एन्ड मेकेनिकल हैडिलिंग प्लांट्स, डाकघर पाथरडीह, जिला धनवाद और मेंसर्स बी॰ एस॰ गोलन एन्ड कम्पनी मार्फत चासनाला कोलियरी, डाकघर पाथरडीह, जिला धनवाद के प्रबन्ध से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कमकारों के बीच एक भौधोगिक विवाद विद्यमान है;

श्रीर यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निद्यालित करना वांछनीय समझती है ;

श्रतः, श्रवः, श्रीद्योगिक विवाद प्रिधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (घ) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुये, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा उक्त विवाद को उक्त श्रधिनियम की धारा 7-क के श्रधीन गठित केन्द्रीय सरकार श्रीद्योगिक श्रधिकरण (स॰ 1) धनवाद को न्यायनिणयन के लिए निर्देशित करती है।

मन्सूची

''क्या निम्नलिखित कर्मैकारों की, भारत सरकार द्वारा स्वीकृत कोयला खान उद्योग सम्बन्धी केन्द्रीय मजदूरी बोर्ड की सिफारिशों के श्रनुसार, मजदूरी के संवाय की भ्रदायगी की मांग न्यायोचित है ? यदि हां, तो---

- (क) कर्मकार किस प्रनुतोष के हकवार हैं ;
- (ख) वे किस सारीख से अनुतोष के हकदार हैं ; भीर

(ग) क्या श्रनुतोष का दावा मैंसर्स इंडियन ग्रायरन एन्ड स्टील कम्पनी लिमिटेड की चासत नाला कोलियरी के प्रबंधमण्डल से या मैंसर्स पोहलिकहेकेल ब्लीचर्ट वीरीनीगटे मारियनेन फैंबाइक एजेंट रोपवे एन्ड मेकेनिकल हैंडलिंग प्लांट्स श्रीर मैंसर्स पी० एस० गोलन एन्ड कम्पनी से किया जायगा ?"

हम सं०	कर्मकार का ना	Ħ					पदनाम
1	श्री दरवारा सिंह						भेकेनिकल फिटर
2	श्री महेश प्रसाद			•	•		,,
3	श्री गौरी शकर		•				,,
4	श्री सुरेन्द्र सिंह					•	97
5	श्री एस० एन० पांडे						"
6	श्री नित्या सिंह		,	•			,,,
7	श्री रनवीर सिंह				•		11
8	श्री स्वार सिह		•				एक्स प० फिटर
9	श्री मुहम्मद खलील		•		•		फिटर हैल्पर
10	श्री शक्ति पादो मोवी						,,
11	श्री जोघ सिंह					•	वैल्डरजी० कटर
12	श्री मुहम्मद इद्रिस			•	•		"
13	श्री पी० एस० मार्मा		•				एम० फिटर
14	श्री गौलका सिंह		•				घौकीदार
15	श्री चन्द्र यादव			•			,,
16	श्री एस० के० ग्रहमद		•	•	•		मेकेनिकल फिटर
17	श्री कमाती सिंह		•	•	•	•	घौ कीदार

[सं० 1/162/70-एल०म्रार०-2]

New Delhi, the 6th July 1971

S.O. 3422.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Hirakhun Colliery, Post Office Neturia, District Purulia, and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed:

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, threfore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of Hirakhum Colliery, Post Office Neturia, District Purulia in dismissing Sri Panchanan Banerjee, Lamp Chargeman, with effect from the 6th February, 1971 is justified? In not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?"

[No. L-1912/67/71-LR.II.]

नई दिल्ली, 6 जुलाई, 1971

का॰ आ॰ 3422.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि इससे उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में विनिदिष्ट विषयों के बारे में हीराखुन कोलियरी, डाकघर नेतुरिया, जिला पुर्वेलिया के प्रवृत्थसे सम्बद्ध नियोजकों भीर उनके कर्मकारों के बीच एक भौधोगिक विवाद विद्यमान है;

श्रीर यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिये निर्देशित करना बांछनीय समझती है;

श्रतः श्रव, श्रौद्योगिक विवाद श्रिधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (घ) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतदद्वारा उक्त विवाद को उक्त श्रिधिनियम की धारा 7-क के श्रधीन गठित केन्द्रीय सरकार श्रीद्योगिक श्रिधिकरण, कलकत्ता को न्याय निर्णयन के लिये निर्देशित करती है।

भनुसूची

"क्या हीराखुनी कोलियरी, डाकधर नेतुरिया, जिला पुरुलिया के प्रबन्ध मंडल की श्री पंचानन बनर्जी, लेम्प चार्जमेन को 6 फरवरी, 1971 से पदच्यूत करने की कार्यवाही न्यायोचित हैं? यदि नहीं, तो सम्बन्धित कर्मकार कि श्रानुतीष का हकदार हैं?"

[सं० एल० 19 12/67/71-एल० श्रार० II]

New Delhi, the 26th July 1971

S.O. 3423.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Nowrozabad Colliery of Associated Cement Company Limited, Post Office Nowrozabad Colliery, District Shahdol (Madhya Pradesh) and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the seven paid festival holidays granted by the management of Nowrozabad Colliery of Associated Cement Company Limited. Post Office Nowrozabad Colliery, District Shahdol, to their workmen should count as days of attendance under the terms of authorised leave under section 25(B) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for the purpose of payment of lay-off compensation? If so to what relief the workmen who have not been paid lay off compensation are entitled?"

[No. 1/51/70-LR.II.]

नई दिल्ली 26 जुलाई, 1971

का० गा० 3423. ---यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि इससे उपाबद्ध श्रनुसूची में विनि-विष्ट विषयों के बारे में एसोसियेटेड सीमेंट कम्पनी लिमिटेड डाकघर नोरोजाबाद कोलियरी जिला गहडोल (मध्य प्रदेश) की नौरोजाबाद कोलियरी से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच एक औढोगिक विबाद विद्यमान है; भौर यतः केन्द्रीम सरकार उक्त विवाद को न्यायनिर्णमन के लिए निर्देशित करना वांछनीय समझती है ;

श्रात: मान भी ग्रोगिक विवाद ऋधिनियम 1947 (1947 का 14) की घारा 10 की उप-धारा (1) के खण्ड (भ) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिवतयों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा उक्त विवाद को उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7-क के अधीन गठित केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण जवलपूर को न्यायमिर्णयन के लिए मिर्देशित करती है।

ग्रन्श्ची

"क्या एसोशियेटेड सीमेंट कम्पनी लिमिटेड डाकघर नोरोजाबाद कोलियरी, जिला शहुडोल की नोरोजाबाद कोलियरी के प्रबन्ध मंडल द्वारा श्रपने कर्मकारों को मंजूर किये गये सात सबेतन उत्सब श्रवकाश दिनों को कामबन्दी के प्रतिकर के भूगतान के खिए श्रोंखोगिक विवाद श्रिष्टिनयम, 1947 की धारा 25 (ख) के श्रिष्टीन प्राधिकृत छुट्टी के निबन्धनों के श्रनुसार उपस्थित के दिनों के रूप में गिनी जानी चाहिए में यदि हो, तो कर्मकार जिन्हें कामबन्दी के प्रतिकर का भगतान नहीं किया गया, किस भनतोष के इकदार हैं ?

[सं० 1/51/70-एस० ग्रार०-2]

New Delhi, the 4th August 1971

S.O. 3424.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to themanagement of the Andhra Cement Company Limited, Vijaywada and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal with Shri T. Chandrasekhara Reddy, as the Presiding Officer with headquarters at Hyderabad and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Industrial Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management of Messrs Andhra Cement Company Limited, Vijayawada, in paying to the re-employed workers of Jayan-thipuram mines wages lower than those they were drawing at the time of their retrenchment in June, 1968, is justified? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?

[No. L-29011/13/71-LR-IV.]

नई विस्ली, 4 ग्रगस्त 1971

का॰ भा॰ 3424. — यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार की राये है कि इससे उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट विषयों के बारे में श्रान्ध्रसीमेंट कम्पनी लिमिटेड, विजयवाडा के श्रन्वध से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों भीर उनके कर्मकारों के बीच एक श्रीद्योगिक विवाद विद्यमान है;

ग्रीर यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद को न्याय निर्णयन के लिये निर्वेशित करना बोक्टनीय सम्बक्त है।

मतः श्रव, श्रीद्योगिक विवाद श्रधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 7 क श्रीर घारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (घ) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतदद्वारा एक श्रीद्योगिक श्रधिकरण गठित करती है जिसके पीठासीन श्रिष्ठकारी श्री टी॰ जन्त्रभेखर रेड्डी होंगे, जिनका मुख्यालय हैक्सबाद होगा भीर उक्त विवाद को उक्त श्रीशोगिक अधिकरण को न्याय निर्णयन के लिये निर्वेशित करती है।

बानुसूची

"क्या भैसर्स झांन्ध्र सीमेंट कम्पनी सिमिटेड, विजयबाडा के प्रवर्ध संबस की जयं-पुरम माइन्स के पुर्नीत्युक्त कर्मकारों को जून, 1968 में हुई उनकी छंटती के समय मिलने बाली भजवूरी ते कस मजदूरी देने की कार्यक्छी न्यायोजित है? यदि नहीं सो कर्मकार किस मन्तोष के हकदार हैं?

[सं॰ एल॰ 29011(13)/71-एल॰ म्रार॰ 4]

S.O. 3425.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Goa Quarry, Mapusa, Goa owned by Shri M.D. Sawkar and their workman in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to rafer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 2) Bombay constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action taken by the management of Gos Quarry, Mapusa Gos owned by Shri M. D. Sawkar in terminating the services of Shri Atmaram Bhau More, Ex-Crusher on the 3rd August, 1970 was justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

[No. L-29012/13/71-LRIV.]

कां भां 3425. — यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि इससे उपायद्ध ध्रनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट विषयों के बारे में श्री एम॰ डी॰ सावकर की गोवा क्वरी, मापूसा, गोवा के अबन्ध से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच एक श्रीद्योगिक विवाद विद्यमान है;

श्रीर यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद को न्याय निर्णयन के लिये निर्देशित करना बांछनीय समझती है ;

श्रतः श्रव, श्रौद्योगिक विवाद ग्रिधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (घ) द्वारा प्रदत्त मिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतदद्वारा उक्त विवाद को उक्त श्रिधिनियम की धारा 7क के श्रधीन गठित केन्द्रीय सरकार श्रीद्योगिक श्रधिकरण (संख्या 2) बम्बई को न्यायिनर्णयन के लिये निर्देशित करती है।

प्रनुसूची

"क्या श्री एम० श्री० साथकर की गोवा क्वैरी, मापूसा, गोवा के प्रबन्ध मंडल की श्री ब्रात्माराम भाऊ मोर, भूतपूर्व कशर की सेवाएं 3 धगस्त, 1970 को समाप्त करने की कार्यवाही न्यायोचित थी ? यदि नहीं, तो कर्मकार किस अनुतोष का हकदार है ?

[सं० एल० 29012/13/71-एल० घार० 4]

New Delhi, the 12th August 1971

S.O. 3426.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Messrs Kalyanpur Lime and Cement Works Limited, Banjari and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed:

And, whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 2) Dhanbad constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of Messrs Kalyanpur Lime and Cement Works Limited, Banjari on the 2nd August, 1960 was justified in not allowing Shri Usman Mian on duty after recovery from accident? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

[No. 36(13)/68-LR-IV.]

R. KUNJITHAPADAM, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 12 ध्रगस्त, 1971

का० झा० 3426—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि इससे उपाबद्ध ध्रतुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट विषयों के बारे में मैसर्स कल्याणपुर लाइम एण्ड सीमेन्ट वर्क्स लिमिटेड, बनजारी के प्रबन्ध से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों भीर उनके कर्मकारों के बीच एक भौद्योगिक विवाद विद्यमान है;

श्रीर यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करना वांछनीय समझती है ;

श्रतः श्रव, श्रौद्योगिक विवाद श्रिधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 10 की उनधारा (1) के खण्ड (घ) द्वारा प्रदक्त मिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा उक्त विवाद की उक्त श्रिधिनियम की घारा 7-क के श्रधीन गठित केन्द्रीय सरकार श्रीद्योगिक श्रिधिकरण (सं० 2) धनवाद को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करती है।

भ्रनुसूची

"क्या मैसर्स कल्याणपुर लाइम एंड सीमेन्ट वर्क्स लिमिटेड, बनजारी के प्रबन्ध मण्डल, की श्री उसमान मियां को दुर्घटना से स्वस्थता प्राप्त करने के बाद काम पर न ग्राने देने की 2 ग्रगस्त, 1960 की कार्रवाई न्यायोजित थी ? यदि नहीं तो कर्मकार किस ग्रनुतोय का हकदार है ?"

> [संख्या 36(13)/68-एल० मार०-4] भार० कुंजीयापदम, भवर सचिव।

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 4th August 1971

S.O. 3427.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as The Selected Baraboni Coal Company Private Limited, 18, Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta-1 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirty first day of July, 1969.

[No. S. 35018/12/71-PF. II (i).]

(अम ग्रीर रोजगार विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 4 ग्रगस्त, 1971

कः आठ 3427.—यतः केन्द्रोय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि दी सिलेक्टिड बरवीनी कोल कम्पनी प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, 18, नेताजी सुनाष रोड, कलकत्ता-1 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक ग्रीर कर्मचारियों को बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारा भिवष्य निधि ग्रीर कुरुम्ब पेशन निधि ग्रीधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

श्रतः, स्रव, उक्त स्रधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त स्रधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्द्वारा लागू करती है।

यह प्रधिसूचना 1969 की जुलाई के इकत्तीसवें दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[संख्या एस 9 3 5 0 18 (12) / 71-पी ० एफ ० 2 (1)]

S.O. 3428.—In exercise of the powers conferred by first provise to section 6 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government, after making necessary enquiry into the matter, hereby specifies with effect from the 31st July, 1969 the establishment known as The Selected Baraboni Coal Company Private Limited, 18, Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta-1 for the purposes of the said proviso.

[No. S. 35018/12/71-PF. II(ii).]

का॰ भा॰ 3428.—केन्द्रीय सरकार कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि श्रौर कुटुम्ब पेंशन निधि श्रीधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 6 के प्रथम परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शिवन्यों का प्रयोग करते हुए, सम्बद्ध विषय में ग्रावश्यक जांच करने के पश्चात् 31 जुलाई, 1969 से दी सिलैक्टिड बरवाँनी कोल कम्पनी प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, 18, नेताजी सुभाष रोड, कलकत्ता-1, नामक स्थापन को एतद्द्वार उक्त परन्तुक के प्रयोजनों के लिए विनिर्दिष्ट करती है।

[सं० एस० 35018(12)/71-पी०एफ०- 2ii))]

S.O. 3429.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Garkals Private Limited, A-416, Defence Colony, New Delhi-3 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to $th_{\rm C}$ said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of July, 1970.

[No. S. 35019(40)/71-PF. II(1).]

का० आ० 3429.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स गरकल्स प्राइतेट निभिन्नेड, ए-416, डिफेन्स कालोनी, नई दिल्ली-3 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक भौर कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि भौर कुटुम्ब पेंशन निधि श्रविनियम 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपजन्ध उक्त स्थापन को नाग् किए जाने चाहिएं;

श्रतः, श्रव, उक्त श्रविनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त श्रविनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्द्वारा लागू करती है।

यह श्रधिसूचना 1970 की ज्लाई के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[संख्या एस॰ 35019(40)/71-पी॰एफ॰ 2(i)]

S.O. 3430.—In exercise of the powers conferred by first proviso to section 6 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government, after making necessary enquiry into the matter, hereby specifies with effect from the 1st Septemer, 1970 th eestablishment known as Messrs Garkals Private Limited, A-416, Defence Colony, New Delhi-3 for the purpose of the said proviso.

[No. S. 35019(40)/71-PF.II-(ii).]

का० ग्रा० 3430.— कर्म चारी भविष्य निधि ग्रीर कुटुम्ब पेंशन निधि ग्रिधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 6 के प्रथम परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार इस विषय में ग्रावध्यक जांच कर लेने के पश्चात् एतद्द्वारा गरकत्स प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, ए-416, डिफेन्स कालोनी, नई दिल्ली-3 नामक स्थापन को 1970 की जुलाई के प्रथम दिन से उक्त परन्तुक के प्रयोजनों के लिए विनिर्दिष्ट करती है।

[सं॰ एस॰ 35019(40)/71-पी॰एफ॰ $2({f ii})$]

S.O. 3431.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Pharma Mach. 10-Chaulpatty Road, Calcutta-10, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirty-first day of March, 1970.

[No. S. 85018(7)/71-PF.II.]

का॰ ग्रा॰ 3431.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मेसर्स फार्मा मैच, 10-चौलपट्टी रोड, कलकत्ता-10 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक ग्रौर कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि ग्रौर कुटुभ्ब पेंशन निधि ग्रिधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

म्रतः, म्रब, उक्त म्रधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त म्रधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतदद्वारा लाग् करती है।

यह म्रिधिसूचना 1970 के मार्च के इकतीसवें दिन की प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[संख्या एस॰ 35018 (7)/71-पी॰एफ॰ 2]

S.O. 3432.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Uma Castings, Amman Kulam Road, P. N. Palayam, Coimbatore-18 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of March, 1971.

[No. S. 35019/15/71-PF.II.]

का॰ मां० 3432.—प्रतः केन्द्रीय सरकारको यह प्रतीतहोता है कि मैसर्स उमा कास्टिंगस, प्रम्मन कुलम रोड़, पी॰ एन॰ पालायम, कोइम्बट्टर-18 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक ग्रौर कर्म- चारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमतहो गई है कि कर्मचारी भिवष्य निधि ग्रौर कुटुम्ब पेंशन निधि ग्रीसिम प्रियम 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

श्रतः, श्रव, उक्त श्रधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त, सक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त श्रधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतदद्वारा लागू करती है।

यह प्रधिसूचना 1971 के मार्च के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[संख्या एस० 35019 (15)/71-पी०एफ०2]

S.O. 3433.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishments known as Messrs Advance Paints Private Limited, 125, Nagindas Master Road, Fort, Bombay have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of March, 1970.

[No. 8(217)/70-PF.II.]

का० ग्रा० 3433.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स एडवांस पेन्टस प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, 125, नगीनदास मास्टर रोड, फोर्ट, मुम्बई, नामक स्थानन से सम्ब ः नियोजक श्रीर कर्म चारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्म चारी भविष्य निधि श्रीर परिवार पोंशन निधि श्रीधनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उनबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

श्रतः, श्रव उक्त ग्रधिनियम उपधारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते ृहुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त श्रधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतदद्वारा लागू करती है।

यह प्रधिसूचना 1970 के मार्च, के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[संख्या 8 (217)/70-पी०एफ० 2]

S.O. 3434.—In exercise of the powers conferred by first proviso to section 6 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government, after making necessary enquiry into the matter, hereby specifies with effect from the first day of December, 1970 the establishment known as Messrs Yatin Industries, Old Madras Road, Bangalore-14 for purposes of the said proviso.

[No. $S_{35019}(14)/71-PF.II(ii).$]

का॰ ग्रा॰ 3434.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि ग्रौर कुटुम्ब पेंगन निधि ग्रिधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 6 के परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुं, इन विषय में श्रावश्यक जांच करने के पश्चात, दिसम्बर, 1970 के प्रथम दिन से मैंसर्स पत्तिन्नों इण्डस्ट्रीज, श्रोल्ड मद्रास रोड, बंगलौर 14को एतदद्वारा उक्त परन्तुक के उद्देश्यों के लिए धिनिदिष्ट करती है।

[संख्या एस० 35019 (14)/71-पी० एफ०-2 (ii)]

S.O. 3435.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Contemporary Arts and Crafts, 19, Nepean Sea Road, Bombay-6, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirtieth day of September, 1970.

[No. S.35017/1/71-PF.II(1).]

का॰ भा॰ 3435.---यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स कनटेम्परेरी आर्टस एण्ड आफ्टस-19, नैपीन सी रोड, मुम्बई-6 स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहु संख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और परिवार पेंशन निधि प्रधिनियम,. 1952 (1962 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिएं;

त्रतः, स्रब, उक्त स्रधिनियम, को धारा । की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त गक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त स्रधिनियम के उपबन्क उक्त स्थापन को एतदहारा लागू करती है।

यह प्रधिसूचना 1970 के सितम्बर, के तीसव दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[संख्या एस॰ 35017 (1)/71-पी०एफ० 2 (i)]

S.O. 3436.—In exercise of the powers conferred by first proviso to section 5. of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government, after making necessary enquiry into the matter, hereby specifies with effect from the 30th September, 1970 the establishment known as Messrs Contemporary Arts and Crafts, 19, Nepean Sea Road, Bombay-6 for the purposes of the said proviso.

[No. S.35017/1/71-PF.II(ii).]

का० ग्रा० 3436.—कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि श्रीर परिवार पेंशन निधि श्रिधिनियम 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 6 के प्रथम परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार इस विषय में श्रावश्यक जांच कर लेने के पश्चात् एतद्वारा मैंसर्स कनटेम्परेरी श्रार्ट्स एण्ड काफ्ट्स, 19नैनीन सी रोड, बम्बई, 6 नामक स्थापन को 30 सितम्बर, 1970 से उक्त परन्तुक के प्रयोजनों के लिए विनिर्दिष्ट करती है।

[संख्या एस०३५० 17 (1)/71-पी० एफ० 2 (ii)]

S.O. 3437.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Amar Automobiles, 46, Wadi Bunder, Bombay-10 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirtieth day of June, 1970.

[No. S.35017/16/71-PFII..]

का० आ० 3437.—यतः केन्द्रीय रारकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मेसर्स अमर आटो-भोबाइलस, 46, वादी बुदेर बम्बई-10 नामक स्थापन से सबंद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहु-संख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य विधि और कु दुम्ब पेन्शन निधि अधिनियम,, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

श्रतः श्रब, उक्त श्रधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4)द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हु ए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त श्रधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्द्वारा लागू करती है।

यह ग्रधिसूचना 1970 के जून के तीसवें दिन की प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[संख्या एस० 5017 (16)/71-भी०एफ-2]

S.O. 3438.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Bagaria Commercial Company, 17 Ganesh Chandra Avenue, Calcutta-13.

Inave agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirty-first day of March, 1970.

[No. S.35018(14)/71-PF.II-(1).]

कार प्राप्त 3438 — यत: केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैनर्स बनारिया कर्माश्यल कम्पनी, 17-एणेश चन्द्र एवेन्यु कलकत्ता-13 नामक स्थापन से सबंद्र नियोजक श्रीर कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भिविष्य निधि श्रीर क्टुम्ब पेंशन निधि श्रिधिनियम 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

त्रतः, श्रव, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हु १ केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्द्वारा लागू करती है ।

यह अधिसूचना 1970 के मार्च के इकत्तीसवें दिन को प्रवृत हुई समझी जाएगी।

[संन्या एस 0-35018 (14)/71-पी०एफ 0-2 i]

S.O. 3439.—In exercise of the powers conferred by first provise to section 6 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government, after making necessary enquiry into the matter, hereby specifies with effect from the 31st March, 1970 the establishment known as Messrs Bagaria Commercial Company, 17-Ganesh Chandra Avenue, Calcutta-13 for the purposes of the said provise.

[No. S. 35018/14/71-PF.II(ii)]

का० द्यां 3439.—केन्द्रीय सरकार कर्मवारी भविष्य निधि श्रीर कुटुम्ब पेशन निधि, श्रीधिनियम, 1952 (1952 दा 19) की धारा 6 के प्रथम परन्तुक द्वारा प्रवत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, सम्बद्ध विषय में श्रावश्यक जांच करने के पश्चात् 31 मार्च, 1971 से मैसर्स बगारिया कर्मिशयल कम्पनी, 17-गणेश चन्द्र ऐवेन्यू, कलकत्ता-13 नामक स्थापन को एतद्द्वारा उक्त परन्तुक के प्रयोजनों के लिए विनिदिष्ट करती है।

S.O. 3440.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Narankar Auto Engineering Works, G.T. Road, Goraya, District Jullundur have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of January, 1971.

[No. S. 35019/41/71-PF, II(i)]

का० भा० 3440.—पतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स नरंकार आटो इन्जीनियरिंग वर्क्स, जी० टी० रोड़, गोराया, जिला जलन्धर नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक भौर कर्मचारियों की बहुमंख्या इम बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि भौर कुटुम्ब पेंशन देनिधि प्रधिनियम 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए:

श्रतः, श्रव, उक्त श्रधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय संरकार उक्त श्रधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्द्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1971 की जनवरी के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएंगी।

[संख्या एस०-35019(41)/71-पी०एफ०2(i)]

S.O. 3441.—In exercise of the powers conferred by first proviso to section 6 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government, after making necessary enquiry into the matter, hereby specifies with effect from the first day of January, 1971 the establishment known as Messrs Narankar Auto Engineering Works, G. T. Road, Goraya, District Jullundur for the purposes of the said proviso.

[No. S. 35019/41/71-PF.II(ii)]

का॰ मा॰ 3441.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि भीर कुटुम्ब पेंशन निधि, मिधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 6 के प्रथम परन्तुक द्वारा प्रवत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, सम्बद्ध विषय में भावश्यक जांच करने के पश्चात् जनवरी 1971 के प्रथम दिन से मैंसर्व निरंकार बाटो इंजीनियरिंग वक्से, जी॰ टी॰ रोड, गोराया, जिला जालन्धर नामक स्थापन को एतदुद्वारा उक्त परन्तुक के प्रयोजनों के लिए विनिविष्ट करती है।

[संख्या एस-35019(41)/71-पी० एफ० 2(ii)]

S.O. 3442.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Tara Tiles, Bandora, Ponda, Goa have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirty-first day of January, 1970.

[No. S-35019(84)/71-PF.II.]:

का॰ प्रा॰ 3442.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स तारा टाईल्स बन्दोरा, पोंडा, गोग्रा नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक ग्रौर कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि ग्रौर परिवार पेंगन निधि ग्रिधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागृ किए जाने चाहिए;

श्रतः, श्रव, उक्त श्रधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त सक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त श्रधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्द्वारा लागू करती है।

यह प्रधिसूचना 1970 की जनवरी के इकत्तीसवें दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[संख्या एस०-35019(84)/71-पी० एफ० 2]

S.O. 3443.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Bharathan Theatres Limited, Tenkasi, Tirunelvell District have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of September, 1970.

[No. 8(234)/70-PF.II]

का० ग्रा० 3443.—यत: केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स भारथन थियेटर्स लिमिटेड, तेनकासी, तिरुनेलवेली जिला नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक ग्रीर कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि ग्रीर कुटुम्ब पेंशन निधि ग्रीध-नियम 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

श्रतः, ग्रब, उक्त ग्रिधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त ग्रिधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एसब्द्वारा लागु करती है।

यह ग्रंधिसूचना 1970 की सितम्बर के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[संख्या 8(234)/70-पी० एफ० 2]

S.O. 3444.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Haryana State Industrial Development Corporation Limited, Bank of India Building, Post Box No. 22, Sector 17, Chandigarh have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952, (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of October, 1970.

[No. S. 35019(48)/71-PF.II]

का॰ आ॰ 3444.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैंसर्स हरयाणा स्टेट इन्डस्ट्रीयल डिवेलपमेंट, कारपोरेशन लिमिटेड, बैंक ग्राफ इन्डिया बिल्डिंग, पोस्टबाक्स संख्या 22, सैक्टर 17, चण्डीगढ़ नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक श्रौर कर्मचारियों की बहुसख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि श्रौर कुटुम्ब पेंशन निधि श्रिधिनियम 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

अत:, श्रव, उक्त श्रधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते पूर केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त श्रधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्द्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1970 के अक्टूबर के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[संख्या एस०-35019(48)/71-पी०एफ० ii]

S.O. 3445.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Mssrs Mukherjee & Biswas, 10, Old Post Office Street, Calcutta-1 have agreed that the Provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 195 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of April, 1967.

[No. S. 35018/19/71-PF.II.]

का॰ ग्रा॰ 3445.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स मुखर्जी एण्ड विश्वास, 10, श्रोल्ड पोस्ट श्राफिस स्ट्रीट, कलकत्ता-1, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्म-चारियों की बहु संख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि श्रौर कुटुम्ब पेंशन निधि श्रधिनियम 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

श्रतः, श्रव, उक्त श्रधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रोय सरकार उक्त प्रधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एनदद्वारा लाग करती है।

यह श्रधिसूचना 1967 के अप्रैल के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[मंख्या एस०-35018(19)/71-पी० एफ० 2]

S.O. 3446.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Lotus inks, 47-A/1, Brick Kiln Road, Madras-7 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (1951) about Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (1951) about Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (1951) about Pension Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (1951) about Pension Funds and Family Pension Funds Act, 1952 (1951) about P of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of September, 1970.

[No. 8(292)/70-PF.II(i)]

का० भ्रा० 3446.----यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स लोटस इन्क्स, 47-v/1, ब्रिक किन रोड, मद्रास-7 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक श्रोंर कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि प्रोर परिवार पेंग्रन निधि प्रधि-नियम 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उवत स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए ;

श्रत:, श्रब, उक्त श्रधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग **भरते ह**ए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त <mark>श्रधिनियम के उ</mark>पबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्द्वारा लागू करती है ।

यह प्रधिसूचना 1970 के सितम्बर, के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

S.O. 3447.—In exercise of the powers conferred by first proviso to section 6 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government, after making necessary enquiry into the matter, hereby specifies with effect from the 1st September, 1970 the establishment known as Messrs Lotus Inks, 47-A/1, Brick Kilm Road, Madras-7 for the purpose of the said proviso.

[No. 8(292)/70-PF.II(ii).]

का० बा० 3447.—कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि श्रीर कुटुम्ब पेंशन निधि श्रिधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 6 के प्रथम परन्तूक द्वारा प्रदत्त गक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार **इ**स विषय में ग्रावश्यक जांच कर लेने के पश्चात् एतद्द्वारा मैसर्स लोटस इंक्स, 47-ए/1, ब्रिक किन रोड, मद्रास-7 नामक स्थापन को प्रथम सितम्बर, 1970 से उक्त परन्तुक के प्रयोजनों के लिए विनिर्दिष्ट करती है।

[संख्या 8(292)/70-पी॰ एफ॰ 2 (ii)]

S.O. 3448.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Central Cycle and Motor Company, 170, Broadway, Madras-1 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 [19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the establishment;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of March, 1970.

[No. 8(96)/70-PF.II]

का० ग्रा० 3448.यत: केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स सैन्ट्रल साइकिल एण्ड मोटर कम्पनी, 170-ब्रॉडवे, मद्रास-। नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक श्रौर कर्मचारियों की बहुर्सेख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि स्रौर परिवार पेंशन निधि स्रधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागु किए जाने चाहिएं ;

श्रतः,श्रव, उस्त श्रविनियम की धारा । की उपवारा (४) द्वरारा प्रवत्ताः क्तिसों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त प्रतिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एनद्द्रारा लागु करती है ।

यह अधिसूचना 1970 के मार्च के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जायेगी।

[र्संख्या 8(96)/70-पी० एफ० 2]

S.O. 3449.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Porascole Coal Company Limited, 18, Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta-1 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirty-first day of July, 1969.

[No. \$.35018/11/71-PF.II(i).]

कार बार 3449. यत:, केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स परिसकोल कम्पनी लिमिटेड 18, नेताजी सुभाष रोड, कलकत्ता-1 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक ग्रीर कर्नवारियों की बहुपंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्नवारी भविष्य निधि ग्रीर परिवार पेंशन निधि ग्रिध-**नियम**, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लाग किए जाने चाहिएं ;

श्रतः, श्रव, उनत श्रधिनियम, की धारा । की उपवारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त प्रधिनियम के उनवन्ध उक्त स्थानन को एनद्द्रारा लागू करती है।

यह भ्रधिसूचना 1969 की जुलाई के इकतीसवें दिन की प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जायेगी। [संख्या एस० 35018(11) / 71 पी० एक**०** 2(I)]

New Delhi, the 16th August 1971

S.O. 3450.—In pursuance of paragraph 4 of the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952, the Central Government hereby sets up a Regional Committee for the Union Territory of Delhi, consisting of the following persons, namely:—

Chairman

- 1. Secretary (Labour), Delhi Administration, 15-Rajpur Road, Delhi.
- 2. Joint Director Industries Delhi Administration, Old
- Secretariat, Delhi.
 3. Deputy Labour Commissioner, Delhi Administration, 15-Rajpur Road, Delhi.
- Shri R. N. Agarwala, Prag Distilleries Water and Ice Factory, Subzi Mandi, Delhi.
 Shri S. K. Jagnani, Manager, Birla Cotton Spinning and Weaving Mills Limited, Subzi Mandi, Delhi-7.
 Shri S. K. Wadhawan, D.C.M. Chemical Works, Najaf-
- garh Road Industrial Area, Delhi-15.

Representatives of the Delhi Administration.

Representatives of employers.

- Shri J. R. Narang, Member INTUC Delhi Ad-Hoc Committee, B-9, HIL Colony, Najafgarh Road, New Delhi.
- Shri Hari Krishan Pathak, General Secretary, Bhartiya Mazdoor Sangh 5239, Ajmeri Gate, Delhi-6.
- Shri B. D. Joshi, C/o Delhi State Committee of All India Trade Union Congress, 4215, Tel Mandi, Pahar Ganj, New Delhi-55.

Representatives of Employees.

[No. PF. II/10(3)/59.1

नई विल्ली, 16 प्रगस्त, 1971

का० ग्रा० 3480 कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि स्कीम 1952 के पैरा 4 के भनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार संघ क्षेत्र दिल्ली, के लिए एत्दद्वारा एक प्रावेशिक समिति की स्थापना करती है, जिस में निम्न-लिखित व्यक्ति होंगे, अर्थात:—

ग्रध्यक्ष

1. सचिव (श्रम)

विल्ली प्रशासन 15, राजपुर रोड, दिल्ली।

- 2. संयुक्त निदेशक उद्योग, दिल्ली प्रशासन, भ्रोल्ड सेके रिएट, दिल्ली।
- उप-श्रम भ्रायुक्त,
 दिल्ली प्रशासन, 15, राजपूर रोड, दिल्ली ।
- 4. श्री श्रार० एन० श्रग्रवाल, श्राग डिस्टिलरीय वाटर एण्ड श्राइस फैक्टरी, सब्जी मण्डी, देहली।
- 5. श्री एस० के० जगनानी, प्रबन्धक बिरला काटन स्पिनिग एण्ड वीविंग मिल्स लिमिटेड. सब्जी मण्डी, दिल्ली~7।
 - 6. श्री एस० के० बधावन, डी० सी० एम० कैमिकल वक्स, नजफगढ़ रोड, इण्डस्टियल एरिया दिल्ली—15 ।
- 7. श्री जे० झार० नारंग, सदस्य इंडियन नेशनल ट्रेड यूनियन कांग्रेस, दिल्ली तदर्थ समिति बी-9, हिल कालोनी, नजफगढ़ रोड, नई दिल्ली ।
- श्री हरिक्वाण्ण पाठक,
 महासचिव, भारतीय मजदूर संघ, 5239, श्रजमेरी गेट,
 दिल्ली-6।
- 9. श्री बी० डी० जोशी, मार्फत श्राल इंडिया ट्रेड यूनियन कांग्रेस, की दिल्ली राज्य समिति, 4215, तेल मण्डी, पहाड़ गंज, नई दिल्ली-55।

दिल्ली प्रशासन के प्रतिनिधि ।

तियोजकों के प्रतिनिधि ।

कर्मचारियों के प्रतिनिधि ।

[संख्या पी० एफ० $II_{-10(3)/59}$]

New Delhi, the 21st August 1971

S.O. 3451.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of Section 5A of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri P. C. Misra, as a member of the Central Board of Trustees, and makes the following:

further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the late Department of Social Security No. S.O. 1156, dated the 1st April, 1965, namely:—

In the said notification, for the entry against serial number 3, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"Shri P. C. Misra, Internal Financial Adviser and Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Rehabilitation, (Department of Labour and Employment), New Delhi.".

[No. 12(2)/68-P.II.]

नई दिल्ली, 21 घगम्त, 1971

का॰ भा॰ 3451—.कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि तथा परिवार पेंग्रन निधि ग्रिधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 5-क की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ख) के धनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार एतर्बारा श्री पी॰सी॰ मिश्र, ग्रांतरिक वित्तीय सलाहकार ग्रौर उप सचिव, भारत सरकार, श्रम भौर पुनर्वास मंत्रालय (श्रम ग्रौर रोजगार विभाग) को केन्द्रीय यासी बोर्ड का सदस्य नियुक्त करती है भौर भारत सरकार के भूतपूर्व सामाजिक सुरक्ष विभाग की ग्रिधिसूचना संख्या का॰ ग्रा॰ 1156 तारोख प्रथम अर्प्रल, 1965 में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है; ग्रर्थात्:—

उक्त श्रिबिसूचना ऋम संख्या 3 के सामने की प्रविष्टि के स्थान पर, निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टि प्रतिस्थापित की जाएगी, श्रर्थात् :---

''श्री पो०सी० मिश्र, भांतरिक वित्तीय सलाहकार भ्रौर उप सचिव, भारत सरकार, श्रम श्रौर पुनर्वास मंत्रालय; (श्रम श्रौर रोजगार विभाग), नई दिल्ली''।

[संख्या 12(2)/38-फी०एफ०-2]

S.O. 3452.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Maneklal and Sons Agency, 29, Thambu Chetty Street, Madras-1, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of August, 1969.

[No. 8(30)/70-PF.II.]

का॰ भा॰ 3452.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स मानेकलाल एण्ड सन्स एजेन्सी, 29, थम्बू चेट्टी स्ट्रीट, मद्राय—1 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक ग्रीर कर्मचारियों की बहुमंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि ग्रीर परिवार पेंशन निधि प्रधिनयम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए:,

श्रतः, अत्र, उक्त श्रधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिवतियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त श्रधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्तस्थापन को एतद्द्वारा लागू करती है।

यह श्रविसूचना 1969 के अगस्त के प्रथम दिल को प्रवत हुई समझी जाएगी।

सिंख्या 8 (30) / 70-पी० एफ० 2]

New Delhi, the 31st August, 1971

S.O. 3453.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Vidyut Motors. 264, Charni Road, Opposite Central Cinema, Bombay-4 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirtleth day of September, 1970.

[No. S. 35017(9)/71-PF-II.]

का० था० 3452.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स विद्युत् मोटर्स 264, चर्तो रोड, सैन्ट्रल सिनेमा के सामने, मुम्बई-4 नामक स्थानन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक श्रीर कर्म चारियों की गहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि श्रीर परिवार पेंगन निधि श्रीधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किये जाने चाहिए;

श्रतः, श्रव, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्द्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1971 के सितम्बर, के तीसवें दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जायेगी। [संख्या vx-35017(9)/71-v10vx-2]

S.O. 3454.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs South India Aluminium Company, 35, Mini Street, Madras-3, including factory at Mahabalipuram Road, Madras-41 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of November, 1970.

[No. 8(265)/70-PF.IL]

का० ग्रा० 2454.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसेस साउथ इण्डिया

ए गुमिनियम कम्पनी, 35—मिन्ट स्ट्रीट, महास—3, जिसमें महाबलीपुरम रोड, महास—41 में स्थित
कारखाना भी सम्मिलित है, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक ग्रीर कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस
बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि ग्रीर कुट्ट पेशन निधि ग्रिधिनियम, 1952

(1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किये जाने चाहिए;

श्रतः, श्रव, उक्त श्रधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त श्रधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एटद्द्वारा लागू करती है।

यह ग्रिधसूचना 1970 के नवम्बर के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जायेगी। [संख्या 8/265/70-पी०एफ०-2]

S.O. 3455.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Nanavati Dies & Tools (Private) Limited 4, Shivaji Colony Perera Hill Road, Off M. Vassanji Road, Andheri Bombay-69 have agreed that the provisions

of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of August, 1970.

[No. S. 35017/22/71-PF.II(1).]

कार आर 3455.—यत: केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैंसर्स नानावती डाइज एण्ड टूल्स (पी०) लिमिटेड, 4, शिवाजी कालोनी, परेरा हिल रोड, आपफ एम० वस्सनजी रोड, अन्धेरी, मुम्बई-69 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि श्रीर परिवार पेंशन निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किये जाने चाहिए;

श्रतः, श्रव, उक्त श्रधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्षितयों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त श्रधिनियम के उपवन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्द्वारा लागू करती है ।

यह म्रिधिसूचना 1970 के अगस्त के प्रथम दिन को प्रकृत हुई समझी जायेगी।

[संख्या एस०
$$35917(22)/71$$
-पी॰एफ॰ $-2(i)$]

S.O. 3456.—In exercise of the powers conferred by first proviso to section 6 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government after making necessary enquiry into the matter, hereby specifies with effect from the first day of August, 1970, the establishment known as Messrs Nanavati Dics and Tools (Private) Limited, 4, Shivaji Çolony, Pereira Hill Road Off, M. Vassanji Road, Andheri, Bombay-69 for the purposes of the said proviso.

[No. S-35017/22/71-PF.II(ii).]

का॰ आ। 3456.—केन्द्रीय सरकार कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और कुटुम्ब पेंशन निधि, अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 6 के प्रथम परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त णिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए. संबद्ध विषय में आवश्यक जांच करने के पश्चात् श्रगस्त 1970 के प्रथम दिन से मैसर्स नानावती डाइज टूल्स (पी) लिमिटेड, 4-शिवाजी कालोनी, परेरा हिल रोड, श्राफ्फ एम० यस्सनजी रोड, अन्धेरी, मुम्बई—69 नामक स्थापन को एतद्द्वारा उक्त परन्तुक के प्रयोजनों के लिए विनिदिष्ट करती है।

[संख्या एस०-35017(22)/71-पी०एफ०-2
$$(ii)$$
]

S.O. 3457.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Everest Blocks, Jay Gopal Industrial Estate, 510, Bhavani Shankar Cross Road, Unit No. 305, 3rd Fjoor, Dadar, Bombay-28 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of January, 1971.

[No. S.35017(8)/71-PF-II.]

का० ग्रा० 3457.-यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मेसर्स एवरेस्ट ब्लाक्स, जयगोपल इण्डस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, 510, भवानीशंकर क्रास रोड, यूनिट सं० 305, तीसरी मंजिल, दादर, मुम्बई-28 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक ग्रीर कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि श्रीर परिवार पेंजन निधि श्रिधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किये जाने चाहिए;

श्रतः, श्रव, उक्त श्रधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त श्रधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्द्वारा आगू करती है।

यह भ्रधिसूचना 1971 की जनवरी के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जायेगी।

S.O. 3458.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Kumudam Process, 47-A, Brick Kiln Road, Madras-7 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of September, 1970.

[No. 8(291)/70-PF-II(i).]

का० भा० 3458.-यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि कुमुदम प्रोसेस, 47-ए, ब्रिक किल्न रोड, मद्रास-7, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक श्रीर कर्म बारियों की बहुसंख्या स बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि श्रीर कुटुम्ब पेंशन निधि श्रिधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागु किये जाने चाहिए;

म्रतः, श्रव, उक्त श्रधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त गक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त ग्रधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्द्वारा लागू करती है।

यह श्रिधिसूचना 1970 के सितम्बर के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जायेगी।

S.O. 3459.—In exercise of the powers conferred by first proviso to section 6 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act. 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government, after making necessary enquiry into the matter, hereby specifies with effect from the 1st September. 1970 the establishment known as Messra Kumudam Process, 47-A, Brick Kiln Road, Madras-7 for the purpose of the said proviso.

[No. 8(291)/70-PF-II(ii).]

का॰ आ॰ 3459.—कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि और कुटुम्ब पेंशन निधि श्रिधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 6 के प्रथम परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार इस विषय में श्रावश्यक जांच कर लेने के पश्चात् एत् इद्वारा मेसर्स कुमुदम प्रोसेस, 47-ए, क्रिक किल्न रोड, मद्वास-7 नामक स्थापन को प्रथम सितम्बर, 1970 से उक्त परन्तुक के प्रयोजनों के लिए विनिर्दिष्ट करती है।

S.O. 3460.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Sri Ganapathy Motor Service Burmah Shell Dealer, Nagercoil, Kanyakumari District, Tamil Nadu have agreed that the povisions of the Employees' Povident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force or, the first day of February, 1970.

[No. 8(192)/70.PF-II(i).]

का॰ आ॰ 3460.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मेसर्स श्री गणपित मोटर सर्विस बर्मा शैल डीलर, नागरकोइल, कन्याकुमारी जिला, तिमलनाडू नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक ग्रीर कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि ग्रीर कुटुम्ब पेंशम निधि ग्रिधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किंग्रे जाने चाहिए;

श्रतः, श्रव, उक्त ग्रिधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त श्रिधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्द्वारा लागू करती है।

यह ग्रधिसूचना 1970 की फरवरी के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत हुई समक्षी जारेगी।

S.O. 3461.—In exercise of the powers conferred by first provise to section 6 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government, after making necessary enquiry into the matter, hereby specifies with effect from the 1st February, 1970 the establishment known as Messrs Sri Ganapathy Motor Service, Burman Shell Dealer, Nagercoil, Kanyakumari District, Tamil Nadu for the purposes of the sald provise.

[No.8(192)/70-PF-II(ii).]

का० आ० 3461. को चारी भिराष्य निधि प्रधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 6 के प्रथम परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त प्रकित गों का प्रशोग करते हुए के श्रीय सरकार इस विषय में आवश्यक जांच कर लेने के पश्चा। एतद्वारा मेसर्स, श्री भणाति मोटर सर्विस बर्ग-शैल डीजर, नागरकोइन, कन्याकुमारी जिला, तिमलनाडु नामक स्थापन को प्रथम फरवरी, 1970 से उक्त परन्तुक के प्रशोजनों के लिए विनिद्धिट करती है।

[संख्या 8(192)/7)-पी० एफ०-2]

दनजीत सिंह,

श्रार सचित्र।

S.O. 3462.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs. District Sports Council, Stadium Grounds, Salem-7, Salem District have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment with effect from the 31st August, 1971.

[No. S. 35019(75)/71-PF-II]

का॰ पा॰ 3462.—यत : केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मेससं डिस्ट्रिक्ट स्पोर्ट्स कौसिल, स्टेडियम ग्राजिंड्स, सालेम-7, सालेम डिस्ट्रिन्ट नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक ग्रीर कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि ग्रीर कुटुम्ब 'पेंशन निधि ग्रीधिनयम, 1552(1952 का 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन की लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

श्रत. श्रव, उक्त श्रधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग कारते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त श्रधिनियम के उपबन्ध को एतद्द्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1971 की अगस्त के 31वें दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[सं॰ एस॰-350/19 (75)/71-पी॰एफ॰ 2]

S.O. 3463.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs. Madhu Mudrak, 35, Industrial Area, Chandigarh have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of December, 1970.

[No. 8(271)/70-PF.II(1)]

का० भ्रा० 3463.—यतः बेन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मसर्स मधु मुद्रक, 35, इण्डस्ट्रीयल एरिया, चण्डीगढ नामक स्थापन से सम्बन्द्ध नियोजक भ्रोर कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्गचारी भविष्य निधि भ्रोर परिवार पेंशन निधि श्रधिनियम-1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए ;

श्रतः, श्रव, उक्त ग्रधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त गवितयों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त ग्रधिनियम के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करती है।

यह ग्रिविमुचना 1970 के दिसम्बर, के प्रथम दिन को प्रवत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

S.O. 3464.—In exercise of the powers conferred by first provise to section 6 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government, after making necessary enquiry into the matter, hereby specific with effect from the 1st December, 1970 the establishment known as Messrs, Madhu Mudrak 35, Industrial Area, Chandigarh for the purposes of the said proviso

[No. 8(271)/70-PF II(ii)]

का० ग्रा० 3464.—कर्मचारी भिवाय निश्चि ग्रिधिनियम, 1952 (1952 वा 19) की धारा 6 के प्रथम परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरनार इस विषय में ग्रावश्यक जांच कर लेंने के पश्चात् एनइइरा मेसर्स, मधुमुद्रक 35, इण्डस्ट्रीयल एरिया, चण्डीगढ़ नामक स्थापन को प्रथम दिसम्बर, 1970 से उक्त परन्तुक के प्रयोजनों के लिए विनिर्दिष्ट करती है।

S.O. 3465.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs. Diamond Service Station, Great Eastern Road Raipur have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment with effect from the 31st August, 1971.

[No. S. 35019(76)/71-PF-I]

का० ग्रा ०3465.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मेसर्स डायमण्ड सर्विस स्टेशन , ग्रेट ईस्टेन रोड, रायपुर, नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक ग्रोर कर्मारियो की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि ग्रीर कुटुम्ब पेंगन निधि ग्रिधिनियम, 1952: (1952 का 19) के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए ;

श्रतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनयम के उपबंध उक्त स्थापन को एतव्द्वारा 31 अगस्त, 1971 लागू करती है।

S.O. 3466.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs. Western Gas Company, 107-K Block, 'F', New Alipore, Calcutta-53, have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of J_{ν} , 1970.

[No. S-35018/29/71-PF.II]

का॰ गा॰ 3466.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मेसर्स वैस्टर्न गैस कम्पनी, 107 के॰ —ब्लाक 'एफ', न्यू श्रलीपुर, कलकत्ता—53 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक श्रीर कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि श्रीर परिवार पेंशन निधि श्रीधनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उनवन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

श्रतः, श्रव, उक्त श्रधिनियम की धारा 1 की उनधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त सक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त श्रधिनियम के उनवन्ध उक्त स्थानन को एतक्द्वारा लागू करती है।

यह भ्रधिसूचना 1970 की जुलाई के 31वें दिन को प्रवृत हुई समझी जाएगी।

New Delhi, the 1st September 1971

- S.O. 3467.—Whereas the Central Government was satisfied that:
 - (1) Dholekote Workshop
 - (2) Krishna Foundry

were situated in Village Dholekote areas which was a sparse area (that is, an area whose insurable population was less than 500) in the district of Ambala in the State of Haryana.

And, whereas by virtue of their location in a sparse area, the aforesaid factories were granted exemption from the payment of the employer's special contribution under section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) until enforcement of the provisions of Chapter V of the Act in that area by the Central Government in the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Labour and Employment No. S.O. 1367 dated the 6th May, 1963.

And, whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the insurable population of the Village Dholekote area in the district of Ambala in the State of Haryana has now exceeded 500, and it is no longer a sparse area;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the said notification, namely:—

In the Schedule to the said notification against Serial No. 1, the entry "Village Dholekote" in column 3 and the entries corresponding thereto in column 4 shall be omitted.

नई दिल्ली, 1 सितम्बर, 1971

का॰ भा॰ 3467:—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार का यह समाधान हो गया था कि(1) ढोले कोटे धर्कशाप (2) कृष्णा फाउण्ड्री ग्राम ढोले कोटे क्षेत्र में स्थित ण जो हरियाणी राज्य के श्रम्बाला जिले में बिखरी हुई आवादी का क्षेत्र श्रर्थात् ऐसा क्षेत्र जिसकी बीमा योग श्राबादी 500 से कम थी था;

श्रीर यतः उसकी दिखरी हुई श्राबादी के क्षेत्र में श्रवस्थिति के श्राधार पर केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उपर्युक्त कारखाने को भारत सरकार के भतपूर्व श्रम श्रीर रोजगार मंद्रालय की श्रधिसूचना संख्या का० श्रा० 1967 तारीख 6 मई 1963 द्वारा कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा श्रधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73 व के श्रधीन नियोजक के विशेष श्रभिदाय के सन्दाय से तब तक के लिए छूट दे दीथी जब तक की उस श्रधिनियम के श्रष्ट्याय 5 के उपबन्ध उस क्षेत्र में प्रवर्तित नहीं हो जाते

श्रीर यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार का यह समाधान हो वया है कि हरियाणा राज्य के श्रम्बाला जिले मे ग्राम ढोलेकोटे क्षेत्र की बीमा योग्य श्राबादी श्रब 500 से बढ गई है श्रीर वह बिखरी हई श्रावादी का क्षेत्र नहीं है

अतः,श्रव, कर्मचारी राज्य ीमा श्रधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 73च द्वारा शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा उक्त श्रधिसूचना में और आगे निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है, अर्थात :--

उक्त श्रिधसूचना में, कम संख्या 1 के सामने, रूप 3 में, "ग्राम ढोलेकोटे" स्तम्भ 4 में तत्स्थानी प्रविष्टियों का लोग कर दिया जायेगा

[संख्या फा० 603 (5)/70- एच० म्राई०]

New Delhi, the 2nd September 1971

S.O. 3468.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 13 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 61 dated the 11th December, 1970, the Central Government hereby appoints Sarvashri G. Pullaiah and P. Srirangachari to be Inspectors for the whole of the State of Tamil Nadu for the purposes of the said Act and of any Scheme framed thereunder, in relation to any establishment belonging to, or under the control of the Central Government or in relation to any establishment connected with a railway company, a major port, a mine or an oil field or a controlled industry.

[No. A. 12015(5)/71-PF.I(1).1

नई दिल्ली, 2 सितम्बर, 1971

का॰ ग्रा॰ 3468.—कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि श्रीर कुंटुम्ब पेंगन निधि श्रिधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 13 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त गवितयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, श्रीर भारत सरकार ने श्रम, रोजगार श्रीर पुनर्वास मंत्रालय (श्रम श्रीर रोजगार विभाग) की श्रिधिस्थना संख्या का॰ ग्रा॰ 61 तारीख 11 दिसम्बर, 1970 को श्रिधिकान्त करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा सर्वश्री जी॰ पुलह्या श्रीर पी॰ श्री रंगाचारी को उक्त श्रिधिनियम के लिए श्रीर तद्धीन बनाई गई किसी स्कीम के प्रयोजनों के लिए, केन्द्रीय सरकार के नियंत्रणाधीन या उसके स्थापन के सम्बन्ध में या किसी रेलवे कम्पनी, किसी मुख्य पतन, किसी खान या किसी तेल क्षेत्र या किसी नियंत्रित उद्योग से सम्बन्धित किसी स्थापन के सम्बन्ध में सम्पूर्ण तिमल नाडू राज्य के निरीक्षक नियुक्त करती है।

[सं॰ फा॰ ए-12015(5)/71-पी॰एफ-1 (i)]

S.O. 3469.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 13 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment No. S.O. 2414, dated the 29th June, 1968, namely:—

In the said notification for the words and letters "Sarvashri D. Vadivelu, M. C. Hirudayanathan" the words and letter "Sarvashri D. Vadivelu" shall be substituted.

[No. A. 12015(5)/71-PF.I(ii).]

का० भा० 3469.—कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि श्रीर कुटुम्ब पेंशन निधि श्रिधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 13 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा भारत सरकार के श्रम, रोजगार श्रीर पुनर्वास मंत्रालय (श्रम श्रीर रोजगार विभाग) की श्रिधसूचना संख्या का० श्रा० 2414, तारीख 29 जून, 1968 में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है, श्रथित्:—

उक्त स्रधिसूचनास्रों में ''सर्वश्री डी० वादिवैलू, एम० सी० हुंदयानायनन'' शब्द स्नौर स्रक्षरों के स्थान पर ''सर्वश्री डी० वादिवैलू'' शब्द स्नौर श्रक्षर प्रतिस्थापित किये जायेंगे।

[संख्या फा॰ ए-12015(5)/71-पी॰एफ-1(ii)]

New Delhi, the 7th September 1971

S.O. 3470.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Indian Red Cross Society, Coimbatore-18 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of November, 1970.

[No. 8(295)/70-PF-II,]

नई दिल्ली, 7 सितम्बर, 1971

का० ग्रा० 3470.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार की यह प्रतीत होता है कि मेसर्स इंडियन रेड कास सोसाइटी, कोयम्बदूर—18 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक श्रीर कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि श्रीर कुटुम्ब पैंशन निधि श्रीधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने वाहिए।

ग्रतः, ग्रब, उक्त ग्रिधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त ग्रिधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतदद्वारा लागू करती है ।

यह ग्रधि सूचना 1970 के नवम्बर, के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[संख्या 8/295/70-पी० एफ-2]

S.O. 3471.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Wood Craft, Mallick Kashim Hat, Post Office Chinsurah, Hoogly have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirtieth day of April, 1970.

[No. S-35018(26)/71-PF. II.]

कां आ 3471.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स वुड कापट, मलिक कशीम हट, पोस्ट श्राफिस चिन्सूरा, हुगली नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक श्रौर कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर महमन हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भिवष्य निधि श्रौर कुटुम्ब पेंद्रन निधि श्रीधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन की लागू किए जाने चाहिएं;

श्रतः, श्रांत्र, उक्त श्रधिनियम की धारा 1की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त सक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त श्रधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्द्वारा लागुकरती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1970 के अप्रैल के तीसवेदिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

S.O. 3472.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Mahindra and Mahindra Limited, Employees' Cooperative Canteen Society Limited, Narayan Nagar, Agra Road, Ghatkopar, Bombay-77 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirtieth day of June, 1970.

[No. S-35017(26)/71-PF. II.]

कां कां अव 3472 — पतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स महिन्द्रा एण्ड महिन्द्रा लिमिटेड, एमप्नोईज कोग्रापरेटिव केन्टीन सोसाइटी लिमिटेड, नारायण नगर, ग्रागरा रोड, घाटकोपर, मुम्बई—77 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक ग्रीर कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहसत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि ग्रीर कुंटुम्ब पेंजर निधि ग्रिधिनियम, 1952, (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिएं;

श्रतः, प्रानं, उन्तामिधिनियमं को धारा 1 की उपयारा (4) हाराप्रश्त सक्तियों का स्योग करते हुए केन्द्रीय परकार उन्तामिधिनियम के उपयन्य उन्तास्थापन को एनद्द्रारा लागू करती हैं।

यह स्रवियुचना 1970 के जून के तीसवें दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

S.O. 3473.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Laxmiraj Printers, A-38, Royal Optical Industrial Estate, Naigaum Cross Road Wadala, Bombay-31 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the Thirty first day of August, 1970.

[No. S-35017(27)/71-PF-II.]

कां जां 3473.—यत: केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मँसर्स लक्ष्मीराज प्रिटर्स ए-38, रायल ग्राप्टिकल इन्डिस्ट्रियल एस्टे, नईगाम कास रोड, बाडला, मुम्बई – 31 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक ग्रीर कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारों भविष्य निधि ग्रीर कुरुम्ब पेंन्शन निधि ग्रिधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन की लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

अतः , श्रव, उक्त श्रधिनियम की धारा 1 की उप-धारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त मिन्तयो का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त श्रधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन की एतद्द्वारा लागू करती है।

यह श्रधिस्चना 1970 के श्रगस्त के वकतीसवें दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी ।

S.O. 3474.—In exercise of the powers conferred by first proviso to section 6 of the Employees' Provident Funds and Family Pension Fund Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government, after making necessary enquiry into the matter, hereby specifies with effect from the 31st May, 1969, the establishment known as Messrs Lotus Knitting Industries, Orkay Compound, Kurla, Andheri Road, Saki Naka, Bombay-72, for the purposes of the said proviso.

[No. S-35017(25)/71 PF-II(ii).] DALJIT SINGH, Under Secy.

का ० ग्रा ० 3474.— कर्नचारी भविष्य निधि ग्रौर कुटुम्ब पेंग्रन निधि ग्रधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा ७ के प्रथम परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार इस विषय में श्रावश्यक जांच कर लेने के पश्चात् एतद्द्वारा मैसर्स लोटस निर्दिग इण्डस्ट्रीज, ग्रोरके कम्पाउण्ड, कुर्ला, ग्रन्धेरी रोड, साकी नाका, मुम्बई—72 नामक स्थापन को 31 मई, 1969 से उक्त परन्तुक के प्रयोजनों के लिए विनिर्दिष्ट करती है।

[संख्या एस-35017(25)/71—पी ०एफ ० $- ext{II}(ext{ii})$]

दलजीत सिंह, भवर सचिव।

(Department of Rehabilitation)

(Office of the Chief Settlement Commissioner)

New Delhi, the 19th August 1971

S.O. 3475.—This office notification No. 10(10)/Admn.II/71, dated the 6th April, 1971, is hereby cancelled.

[No. 10(10)/Admn.II/71.]

(पुनर्वास विभाग)

म्ख्य बन्दोबस्त ग्रायुक्त का कार्यालय

नई दिल्ली, 19 भ्रगस्त, 1971

एस० ग्रो० 3475.—इस कार्यालय की श्रिधसूचना संख्या 10(10) एडमिन II/71, दिनांक 6 ग्रग्नेंस, 1971 विलोपित की जाती है।

[संख्या 10(10)/एडमिन II/71]

New Delhi, the 30th August 1971

S.O. 3476.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (i) of Section 3 of the Displaced Persons (Com. & Reh.) Act, 1954 (44 of 1954). The Central Government hereby appoints Shri G. C. Mogha as Deputy Chief Settlement Commissioner for the purpose of performing the functions assigned to such Commissioners by or under the said Act, with immediate effect.

[No. 5(4)/Admu II/70] G. L. GUPTA, Settlement Commissioner (Λ) & Ex-Officio Under Secretary.

नई विल्ली, 30 भगस्त 1971

एस० श्रो० 3476.—विस्थापित ध्यक्ति (प्रतिकर तथा पुनर्वास) श्रिधिनियम 1954 (1954 का 44) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) की प्रदत्त गिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा तत्काल श्री जी० सी० मोगा को उपबन्दोबस्त धायुक्त नियुक्त करती है ताकि वे उन कार्यों को कर सकें जो ऐसे श्रायुक्तों के पद के लिए उपरोक्त श्रिधिनियम के द्वारा या श्रन्तर्गत निरधारित किए गए हैं।

[संख्या 5(4)एडमिन $II_{/70}$]

जी० एल० गुप्ता,

बन्दोबस्त म्रायुक्त (प्रशासन) एवं पदेन अवर सचिव।

(Department of Rehabilitation)

(Office of the Chief Settlement Commissioner)

New Delhi, the 23rd August 1971

S.O. 3477.—In exercise of the powers conferred on the Chief Settlement Commissioner by Sub-Section (2) of Section 34 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (44 of 1954), he hereby delegates to Shri S. N. Bahl, Asstt., Settlement Commissioner in the office of the Regional Settlement Commissioner (Central), New Delhi, the powers to hear (1) appeals under Section 23 of the said Act and (ii) revisions under Section 24 of the said Act, against orders passed by the subordinate authorities under the said Act in respect of properties, claims etc., from the State of Punjab, Haryana and Himachal Pradesh.

[No. 5 (4)/AGZ/66.]

W. G. PATHAK. Chief Settlement Commissioner.

(पुनर्वास विभाग)

(मुख्य बन्दोबस्त ग्रायुक्त का कार्यालय)

नई दिल्ली, 23 ध्रगस्त 1971

एस० भी० 3477.—विस्थापित व्यक्ति (प्रतिकर तथा पुनर्वास) ग्रधिनियम, 19 54 (1954 का 44) की धारा 34 की उपधारा (2) जो कि मुख्य बन्दोबस्त श्रायुक्त को प्रदान की गई है उन शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए वे श्री एस० एन० बहल सहायक बन्दोबस्त श्रायुक्त, नई दिल्ली को निम्नलिखित शक्तियां पंजाब तथा हिमाचल प्रवेश राज्य के लिए प्रदान करते हैं।

- (1) इस म्यधिनियम की घारा 23 के म्रन्तर्गत प्रार्थना सुनने की शक्ति।
- (2) इस श्रधिनियम की धारा 24 के श्रन्तर्गत पुनरीक्षण सुनने की शक्ति।

[संख्या 5(4)/ए० जी० जड/66]

बा० ग० पाठक, मुख्य बन्दोबस्त ग्रायुक्त।

MINISTRY OF IRRIGATION AND POWER

New Delhi, the 16th August 1971

S.O. 3478.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Electricity (Supply) Act, 1948 (54 of 1948), and in partial modification of this Ministry's notification No. EL. II. 28(9)/71, dated the 20th July, 1971, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri P. G. Gokhale, Joint Secretary and Legal Adviser, Ministry of Law and Justice, as a Member of the Central Electricity Authority vice Shri L. J. Manjrekar.

[No. EL, II. 28(9)/71.] M. RAMANATHAN. Deputy Director (Power).

सिचाई ग्रौर वित्त मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 16 श्रगस्त, 1971

एस० म्रो० 3478:--बिजली (पूर्ति) म्रधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 54) की धारा 3 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हये श्रीर इस मंत्रालय की तारीख 20 जलाई, 1971 की श्रधिसूचना संख्या ई० एल०-दो-28 (9)/71 का श्रांशिक संशोधन करते हुये, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतइद्वारा श्री पी० जी० गोखने, संगनत सचिव व वैधिक सलाहकार, विधि भ्रौर न्याय मंत्रा-लय को श्री एल० जे० मंजरेकर के स्थान पर केन्द्रीय बिजली प्राधिकरण के सदस्य के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

> सं॰ ई॰ एल॰-बो-28(9)/71.1 एम० रामनाथन , उप निदेशक ।

MINISTRY OF SHIPPING AND TRANSPORT (Transport Wing)

MERCHANT SHIPPING

New Delhi, the 28th August 1971

S.O. 3479.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 5 of the Seamen's Provident Fund Act, 1966 (4 of 1966), read with paragraph 3 of the Seamen's Provident Fund Scheme, 1966, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Bijoy Mukherjee, Assistant Secretary, National Union of Seamen of India, Calcutta, as a member of the Board of trustees of the Seamen's Provident Fund, and makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Transport and Civil Aviation, Department of Transport, Shipping and Tourism (Transport Wing) No. 2878, dated the 20th September, 1966, namely:—

In the said notification under the heading, "Members", for Serial No 9 and the entries relating thereto, the following Serial No. and entries shall be substituted, namely:-

"9. Shri Bljoy Mukherjee,

Seamen's representative."

[No. 5-MT(9)/71.7

J. K. BHATTACHARYA, Dy. Secy.

नौबहन भ्रौर परिवहन मंत्रालय

(परिवहन पक्ष)

व्यापारिक नौवहन

नई दिल्ली. 28 ग्रगस्त. 1971

का बा 3479. - वार्षिक भविष्य निधि योजना 1966 के पैरा 3 के साथ पठित नाविक भविष्य निधि अधिनियस 1966 (1966 का 4) की धारा 5 द्वारा प्रदत्त गर्नितयों का प्रयोग करते

हुए केन्द्रीथ सरकार एतद्द्वारा श्री विजेथ मुखाजी, सहायक सिचव, भारत नाविक राष्ट्रीय संघ, कलकत्ता, को नाविक भविष्य निधि के त्यासियों के बोर्ड का सदस्य नियुवत करती है ग्रीर भूत-पूर्व परिवहन ग्रीर नागर विमानन मंत्रालय, परिवहन, नौवहन ग्रीर पर्यटन विभाग (परिवहन पक्ष), की ग्रिधिसूचना सं० 2878, विनांक 20 सितम्बर, में निम्निलिखित ग्रीर संक्षीक्षन करती है, ग्रयति :---

उक्त श्रधिसूचना, में सदस्यों शीर्षक के श्रंतर्गत क्रम संख्या 9 श्रौर उससे संबंधित प्रविश्टियों के लिए निम्नलिखित क्रम संस्था श्रौर प्रविष्टिया प्रतिस्थापित की जाएगी, श्रथांत :—

"9-श्री विजोय मुखरजी।

नाविको का प्रतिनिधि ।"

[5—एम० टी० (9)/71]

जे० के० भद्राचार्य, उप सचिव।

MINISTRY OF WORKS AND HOUSING

New Delhi, the 29th July 1971

S.O. 3480.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Requisitioning and Acquisition of Immovable Property Act, 1952 (30 of 1952), the Central Government hereby directs that the powers exercisable by it under section 6, section 7, section 8 [except clause (b) of sub-section (1)] and section 13 of the said Act shall be exercisable also by the Director of Estate Management, Department of Atomic Energy, Bombay, in respect of any property situated within his administrative jurisdiction provided that the power under the said section 8 in so far it relates to the fixing of compensation by agreement shall not be exercised except with the previous concurrence of the Central Government.

[No. 19014(2)/69-Pol.IV.]

मिर्माण और श्रावास मंत्र⊦लय

नई दिल्ली 29 जुलाई 1971

का॰ आ॰ 3480.— स्थावर सम्पत्ति श्रधिग्रहण श्रीर श्रर्जन श्रिष्टिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 30) की धारा 17 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा निदेश देती है कि उक्त श्रिष्टिनियम की धारा 6,धारा 7,धारा 8 [उपधारा (1) के उपखड (ख) को छोड़कर] श्रीर धारा 13 के श्रधीन उस के द्वारा प्रयोक्तव्य शक्तियों, सम्पदा प्रबंध निदेशक, परमाणु ऊर्जा विभाग, मुम्बई द्वारा भी उस की प्रशासनिक श्रिष्टकारिता के भीतर स्थिति किसी सम्पत्ति की बाबत प्रयोक्तव्य होंगी। परन्तु उक्त धारा के श्रधीन शक्ति जहां तक उस का संबंध करार द्वारा प्रतिकार नियत करने से हैं केन्द्रीय सरकार की पूर्व-सहमित के विना प्रयुक्त नहीं की जायेगी।

[सं०/90/4(2)/69-पोल०4.]

S.O. 3481.—In pursuance of clause (b) of section 2 of the Requisitioning and Acquisition of Immovable Property Act, 1952 (30 of 1952), the Central Government hereby authorises the Director of Estate Management, Department of Atomic Energy, Bombay, in the State of Maharashtra to perform the functions of the competent authority under the said Act for the areas falling within his administrative jurisdiction.

[No. 19014(2)/69-Pol.IV] P. N. KHANNAH,

Deputy Director of Estates & Ex-officio Under Secy.

का॰ आ॰ 3481.—स्थावर सम्पत्ति प्रधिग्रहण ग्रौर ग्रर्जन ग्रधिनियम, 1952 (1952का 30) की धारा 2 के खंड (ख) के श्रनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार, महाराष्ट्र राज्य में, सम्पदा प्रबंध निदेशक, परिमाणु ऊर्जा विभाग को उसकी प्रशासनिक ग्रिधकारिता के भीतर श्राने वाले क्षेत्रों के लिए उक्त ग्रिधिनियम के श्रधीन सक्षम प्राधिकारी के कुत्यों का पालन करने के लिए एतद्द्वारा प्राधिकृत करती है।

[सं०/9014(2)/69-पोल 4.] पी० एन० खन्ना, उपनिदेशक, सम्पदा तथा पदेन भ्रवर सचिव।

New Delhi, the 17th August 1971

- S.O. 3482.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 56 of the Delhi Development Act, 1957 (61 of 1957) read with clause (m) of sub-section (2) of that section and after consultation with the Delhi Development Authority, the Central Government hereby makes the following rules to amend the Delhi Development Authority (Maintenance of Current Account) Rules, 1959, namely:—
- 1. Short title and commencement.—(1) These rules may be called the Delhi Development Authority (Maintenance of Current Account) Amendment Rules, 1971.
- (2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the official Gazette.
- 2. In the Delhi Development Authority (Maintenance of Current Account) Rules. 1959, in rule 2, for the words "rupees eight lakhs", the expression "rupees twenty five lakhs" (excluding balances in the Personal Ledger Accounts) shall be substituted.

[No. 2-21/69-UDI.] L. M. SUKHWANI, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 17 श्रगस्त, 1971

का० आ१० 3482.—दिल्ली विकास अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 61) की धारा 56 की उपधारा (1) के साथ पढ़ी गई उसी धारा की उपधारा (2) के खण्ड (ड) द्वारा प्रदत्त गक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, तथा दिल्ली विकास प्राधिकरण के परामर्थ के उपरान्त केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा दिल्ली विकास प्राधिकरण (चालू खाते का अनुरक्षण) नियम, 1959 में संशोधन करने के लिये निम्नलिखित नियम बनाती है, अर्थात :—

- 1. सघु शीर्षंक तथा प्रारम्भण.—(1) इन नियमों को दिल्ली विकास प्राधिकरण (चालू खाते का श्रन्रक्षण) संशोधन नियम, 1971, कहा जाय,
 - (2) वे सरकारी राजपत्र में प्रकाशित होने की तिथि से लागू होंगे।
- 2. दिल्ली विकास प्राधिकरण (चालू खाते का अनुरक्षण) नियम, 1959, के नियम 2 में शब्द "आठ लाख रुपये" के लिए पद "25 लाख रुपये" (निजी लेजर खातों की शेष राशियों को छोड़ कर) को प्रतिस्थापित किया जाय।

[सं० 2-21/69-यू डी]

एल० एम० भुखवाणी, ग्रवर सचिव।

MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE

(Department of Cooperation)

New Delhi, the 18th August 1971

S.O. 3483.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (1) of Section 4 of the Multi-Unit Cooperative Societies Act, 1942 (6 of 1942), and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Agriculture, (Department of Cooperation), No. F. 7-27/66-Coord, dated the 14th July, 1971, the Central Government hereby appoint Shri K. S. Bawa, Joint Secretary in the Ministry of Agriculture (Department of Co-operation), as the Central Registrar of Cooperative Societies.

[No. 7/27/66-Coord.] PRIYA PRAKASH, Dy. Secy.

कृषि मत्रालय

(सहकारितः विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 18 श्रगस्त, 1971

एस॰ श्रो॰ 3483.—बहु-इकाई सहकारी सोसाइटी श्रिवित्यम, 1942 (1942 का 6) की धारा 4 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए श्रौर भारत सरकार के कृषि मंत्रालय (सहकारिता विभाग) की श्रिधसूचना संख्या एक 7/27/66—कोश्राई, तारीख 14 जुलाई 1971 को श्रिधिकान्त करते हुएं केन्द्रीय सरकार के कि मंत्रालय (सहकारिता विभाग) में संयुक्त सचिव श्री के॰ एस॰ बावा को सहकारी सोसाइटियों के केन्द्रीय रजिस्ट्रार के रूप में एतद्वारा नियुक्त करती है।

[संख्या 7/27/66-कोग्नार्ड] प्रिया प्रकाश, उप सचित्र ।

MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS (Railway Board)

New Delhi, the 20th August 1971

S.O. 3484.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 82B of the Indian Railways Act, 1890 (Act IX of 1890), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri P. Basu, retired Chief Judge, City Civil & Session Court, Calcutta, as a whole-time Claims Commissioner to deal with the claims for compensation arising out of the accident involving 103-UP Diamond Harbour—Sealdah Local (EMU) Train between MAGRA HAT and HOTAR Stations on the Sealdah Division of the Eastern Railway on the 6th July, 1971. His headquarters will be at Calcutta.

[No. E(O)II 71/API/6.] V. P. SAWHNEY, Secy.

रेल मंत्रालय (रेलवे बोर्ड)

मई दिल्ली, 20 श्रगस्त, 1971

एस॰ श्रो॰ 3484---भारतीय रेल श्रधिनियम, 1890 (1890 का श्रधिनिमम 9 की धारा 82-ख द्वारा प्रदत्त णक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा नगर सिविल एवं सेशन्स न्यायालय, कलकत्ता के सेवा निव्त मुख्य न्यायाधीश, पी० बसु, को ग्रीर दक्षिण-पूर्व रेलवे के सियालदह मण्डल में मगरा हाट ग्रीर होटर स्टेशनों के बीच, 6 जुलाई, 1971 को 103 ग्रप डायमंड हार्बर— सियालदह स्थानीय (बिजली) गाड़ी के साथ घटित दुर्घटना के फलस्वरूप उठने वाले क्षतिपूर्ति के दायों के निबटारे के लिए, पूर्णकालिक दावा ग्रायुक्त नियुक्त करती है।

> [संख्या ई(म्रो) II/71/एच पी 1/6] वेद प्रकाश साहनी, सचिव ।

MINISTRY OF FINANCE (Department of Banking)

New Delhi, the 17th August 1971

S.O. 3485.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 53 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of section 9 of the said Act shall not apply to the Catholic Syrian Bank Ltd., Trichur, till the 1st August, 1972, in respect of the immovable property held by it at Thoppumpady, Palluruthy, Cochin, Ernakulam District, Kerala State.

[No. F. 15(20)-BC/71.]

वित्त मंत्रालय

(बेंकिंग विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 17 ग्रगस्त, 1971

एस॰ श्रो॰ 3485.—बिंकिंग विनियमन ग्रिधिनियम, 1949 (1949 का दसवां) की धारा 53 के द्वारा प्रदत्त शिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, भारत सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक की सिफारिश पर एतद्द्वारा घोषित करती है कि उपर्युक्त ग्रिधिनियम की धारा 9 के उपबन्ध दी कथोलिक सीरियन बैंक लिमिटेड, तिचूर पर, उसकी थी पुमर्पेडी, पल्लुक्थी, कोचीन, जिला एर्नाकुलम, केरल राज्य स्थित श्रचल सम्पत्ति के सम्बन्ध में पहली ग्रगस्त, 1972 तक लागू नहीं होंगे।

[संख्या 15(20)-जी०सी०/71]

New Delhi, the 27th August 1971

S.O. 3486.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 53 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Central Government on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of subclause (i) and (ii) of clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the said Act, shall not apply to Frontier Bank Ltd., New Delhi till the 6th June, 1972, or till the date of its conversions into a non-banking Company/winding up of its affairs, whichever is earlier, in so far as the said provisions prohibit its Chief Executive Officer from being the Managing Director of the Gola Private Ltd., Delhi.

[No. F. 15(13)-BC/71.]

नयी विल्ली, 27 ध्रगस्त, 1971.

एस श्री • 3486-वैं किंग विनियमन श्रिधिनियम, 1949 (1949 का दसवां) की धारा 53 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व वैंक की सिफारिश पर एतद्द्वारा यह घोषित करती है कि उक्त श्रिधिनयम की धारा 10 की उप-धारा (1) के खंड (ग) के उपखंड (i) श्रौर (ii) के उपबंध फंटियर बैंक लिमिटेड, नयी दिल्ली पर, जहां तक उन उपबंधों का प्रयोजन उपर्युक्त वैंक के मुख्य कार्य कारी अधिकारी को गौला प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, दिल्ली का प्रबंध निदेशक बनने से रोकना 6 जन 1972 तक अथवा उस तारीख तक जब उपर्युक्त वैंक गैर वैंकिंग कम्पनी में परिवर्तित हो जाय अपना कार्य समाप्त कर दे, इन दोनों तारीखों में से जो भी पहले हो, उस तक लागू नहीं होंगे।

[स॰ एफे॰ 15(13) -बी॰सी॰/71]

New Delhi, the 28th August 1971

S. O. 3487.—Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India, as on the 20th August 1971. BANKING DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	ASSETS	R8.
Capital Paid Up	, 5,00,00,000	Notes	20,51,98,000
Reserve Fund	. 150,00,00,000	Small Coin Bills Purchased and Discounted:—	4,35,000 3,54,000
National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fun	d. 190,00,00,00	(a) Internal	8,54,89,000
National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund .	. 39,00,00,000		58,59,81,000
			147,35 66,000 2 2 0, 38,18,000
National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund.	. 135,00,00,000	(i) Central Government	 183,46,68,00
Deposits :		Loans and Advances to :— (i) Scheduled Commercial Banks†	130,66,90,00
(a) Government		(ii) State Co-operative Banks††	220,70,37,00
(i) Central Government	. 53,22,53,000		3,64,30,00
(ii) State Governments	. 4,17,08,000	Loans, Advances and Investments from National Agriculture Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund.	al
(b) Banks h	`	(a) Loans and Advances to :-	
(f) Scheduled Commercial Banks	. 199,17,60,000	(i) State Governments.	42,02,72,00
(ii) Scheduled State Co-operative Banks	8,98,87,00		23,21,13,00
(iii) Non-Scheduled State Co-operative Banks	82,21,000	•	-5,2,,,,,,,
(iv) Other Banks	. \$50,81,000	(b) Investment in Central and Mortgage Bank Deben- tures	10,14,98,00
		Loans and Advances from National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund.	

,					Rupe	æs		1172,22,57,000	Rupees	1172,22,57,000
	Other Liabilities	1		•		٠		152,32,62,000	Other Assets	33,90,46,000
	Bills Payable	•	•	-	•	•	•	40,37,16,000	Investment in bonds/debentures issued by the Development Bank	
) Loans and Advances to the Development Bank	55,29,21,000
(c)	Others							193,63,69,000	Loans, Advances and Investments from National In trial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund—	dus-
									Loans and Advances to State Co-operative Banks	s. 13,6 ₇ ,41,000

^{*}Includes Cash, Fixed Deposits and Short-term Securities.

Dated the 25th day of August, 1971.

^{**}Excluding Investments from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund.

[@]Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund, but including temporary overduals to State Governments.

[†]Includes Rs. 86,41,00,000 advanced to scheduled commercial banks against usance bills under Section 17(4)(c) of the Reserve Bank of India Act ††Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund.

An Account pursuant to the RESERVE BANK OF INDIA ACT, 1934, for the week ended the 20th day of August, 1971.

ISSUE DEPARTMENT.

LIABILITIES	Rs.	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.	Rs.
otes held in the Banking Department.	. 20,51,98,000		Gold Coin and Bullion:— (a) Held in India	. 182,53,11,00	»
otal Notes Issued		4332,97,39,000	(b) Held outside India . Foreign Securities	. 223,42,00,00	 xo
			TOTAL Rupee Coin		— 405,95,11,∞ 44,06,48,∞
	,		Government of India Rupee Securities. Internal Bills of Exchange and other Conmercial paper.	<u>:</u> ·	3882,95,80,00
TOTAL LIABILITIES		4332,97,39,000	TOTAL ASSETS.		4332,97,39,0

D ated the 25th day of August, 1971.

Governor.

[No. F. 3(3)-BC/71]

K. YESURATNAM, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 28 ग्रगस्त, 1971

एस॰ ग्रो॰ 3487.--20 ग्रास्त 1971 को रिजर्व बैंक प्राफ इंडिया के बैंकिंग विभाग के कार्यातय का विवरण

देयताएं			हपये 	भ्रास्तियाँ 	 	रुपए
चुकता पूंजी			5,00,00,000	नोट		20,51,98,000
ग्रारक्षित निधि			150,00,00,000	रुपये का सिक्का		4,35,000
राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण				छोटा सिक्का		3,54,000
(दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएँ) निधि .			190,00,00,000	खरीदे स्रौर भुनाये गये बिल :		
राष्ट्रीय कृ षि ऋ ण				(क) देशी		8,54,89,000
(स्थिरीकरण) निधि .		•	39,00,00,000	(ख) विदेशों .	•	
राष्ट्रीय ग्रौद्योगिक ऋण				(ग) सरकारी खजाना बिल		58,59,81,000
(दीर्घकालीन ऋियाएं) निधि .			135,00,00,000	विदेशों में रखा हुम्रा बकाया* .		147,35,66,000
जमा राशियाँ :				निवेश ^{**} .		220,38,18,000
(क) सरकारी .				ऋण ग्रौर प्रग्रिम :		
(i) केन्द्रीय सरकार .			53,22,53,000	(i) केन्द्रीय सरकार को		
$(\ddot{ extbf{i}})$ राज्य सरकारें .			4,17,08,000	(ii) राज्य सरकारों को $ extit{@}$		183,46,68,000
(ख) बैंक				ऋण ग्रौर त्रग्निम :		
(i) स्रनुसूचित वाणिज्य बैंक	ď		199,17,60,000	(i) ग्रनुसूचित वाणिज्य दें कों को †		130,66,90,000
(ii) ग्रनुसून्वित राज्य			,	(ii) राज्य सहकारी बैंकों को ††		220,70,37,000
सहकारी बैंक .			8,98,87,000	(iii) दूसरों को		3,64,30,000
(iii) गैर अनुसूचित राज्य र	पहकारी बैंक		82,21,000	,,		

देयताएं				रुपये	भ्रास्तियां	रुपये		
				राष्ट्रीय ग्रीबोगिक ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि से ऋण, ग्रग्रिम ग्रीर निर्देश				
(iV) ग्रन्थ बैंक				50,81,000	(क) ऋण ग्रौर ग्र ग्रिम			
					(\mathbf{i}) राज्य सरकारों को	42,02,72,000		
					(f ii) राज्य सहकारी बैंकों को	23,21,13,00		
					$({ m iii})$ केन्द्रीय भूमिबंघक वैंकों को	• •		
(ग) ग्रन्थ	-			193,63,69,000	(ख) केन्द्रीय भूमिबंधक बैंकों के डिबेंचरों में निवेश	10,14,98,00		
ग बिल	-	•	•	40,37,16,900	राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (स्थिरीकरण) नि धि से			
	-				ऋण भौर भ्रग्रिम			
न्य देयताएं				152,32,52,000	राज्य सहकारी बैंकों को ऋण ग्रौर ग्रग्रिम	13,67,41,00		
					राष्ट्रीय ग्रौद्योगिक ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाए)निधि से			
					ऋण, ग्रग्रिम ग्रौर निवेश			
					(क) विकास वक को ऋष्ण ग्रौर ग्रग्रिम	55,29,21,000		
					(ख) विकास बैंक द्वारा जारी किए गए बांडों/डिबेंचरों में	निवेश		
					ग्रन्य ग्रास्तियां	33,90,46,000		
			— रुपये	1172,22,57,000	रुपये	1172,22,57,000		

नगदी, त्रावधिक जमा ग्रार ग्रत्यकालीन प्रतिभूतिया शामिल है।

^{**} राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (दीर्घकालीन कियाएं) निधि श्रौर राष्ट्रीय श्रौद्योगिक ऋण (दीर्घकालीन कियाएं) निधि में से किए गए निवेश शामिल नहीं हैं ।

[@]राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (दीर्वकालीन कियाएं) निधि से प्रदत्त ऋण ग्रीर ग्रियम शामित नहीं हैं, परन्तु राज्य सरकारों के ग्रस्थाई ग्रीवरड्राफ्ट शामिल हैं।

[ं] रिजर्व बैंक ग्राफ इण्डिया ग्रिधिनियम की धारा 17 (4) (ग) के ग्रिधीन ग्रनुसूचित वाणिज्य बैंकों को मीयादी बिलों पर ग्रियिम दिए गए 8 6,41,00,000 रूपये शामिल हैं ।

[†] राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (दीर्घकलीन क्रियाएं) निधि स्नौर राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (स्थिरीकरण) निधि से प्रदत्त ऋण स्नौर सम्रिम शामिल नहीं है। वारीख 25 अगस्त 1971

रिजर्व वैंक ग्राफ हं डिया ग्रधिनियम, 1934 के ग्रनुसरण में ग्रगस्त 1971 की 20 तारीख को समाप्त हुए सप्ताह के लिए लेखा इ.भू विभाग

देयताएं	ह ्ये	रुप ये	भ्रास्तियां	हप ये	स्प ये
किंग विभाग में रखे हुए नोट	20,51,98,000		सोने का सिक्का ग्रौर बृलियन: (क) भारत में रखा हग्रा	182,53,11,000	
संचलन में नौट	43,12,45,41,000		(ख) भारत के बाहर रखा विदेशी प्रतिभूतियाँ		
- बारी किए गये कुल नोट		4 332, 97,39 ,000			405,95,:1,000
and the first transfer to		,,,	रूपये का सिक्का		44,06,48,000
			भारत सरकार की हैं स्पयाप्र देशी विनियम बिल श्रीर दूसरे गणिज्य पत्न	**	388 2,95,80,000
	- कुल देयताएँ	4332,97,39,000	कुल ग्रास्तिय	ग	4332,97,39,00

(ह०) एस० जगन्नाथन, मवर्नर । [सं० एफ० 3(3)-बी० सी०/71] के० येसुरत्नम, भ्रनुसचित्र ।

(Department of Banking)

New Delhi, the 19th August 1971

- S.O. 3488.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 53 read with Section 56 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of sub-section (1) of Section 11 of the said Act shall not apply to the undernoted cooperative banks for a further period of one year from 1 March 1971 to 29 February 1972:
 - (1) The Chandigarh State Co-operative Bank Ltd., Chandigarh.
 - (2) The Raichur District Industrial Cooperative Bank Ltd., Raichur.
 - (3) The Kamrup District Central Cooperative Bank Ltd., Gauhati.
 - (4) The City Cooperative Bank Ltd., Bombay.

[No. F. 15-6/71 ACII.]

(वैकिंग विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 19 ध्रगस्त, 1971

एस० ग्रो॰ 3488. — वैंकिंग विनियमन श्रिधिनियम, 1949 (1949 का 10 वा) की धारा 56 के साथ पठित धारा 53 के द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय मरकार, भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक की सिफारिश पर, एतद्द्वारायह धोषित करती है कि उक्त श्रिधिनियम की धारा 11 की उप-धारा (1) के उपवन्ध ग्रधोलिखिन सहकारी वैंकों पर 1 मार्च, 1971 र 29 फरवरी. 1972 तक की एक वर्ष की ग्रितिरक्त श्रवधि के लिए लागु नहीं होगें :——

- (1) चंडीगढ़ स्टेट काभ्रापरेटिव बैक लिमिटेड, चंडीगढ़
- (2) रायचुर डिस्ट्रिक्ट इंडस्ट्रियल कोन्नापरेटिय बैक लिमिटेड, रायचूर
- (3) दी कामरूप डिस्ट्रिक्ट सेट्रल कोग्रापिरैटिव बैक लिमिटेड, गोहाटी
- (4) दी सिटी को ग्रापरेटिय बैंक लिमिटेड, बम्बई

[संख्या एक० 15-6/71-ए० सी० II]

New Delhi, the 23rd August 1971

- S.O. 3489.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 53 read with Section 56 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (10 of 1949), the Central Government, on the recommendation of the Reserve Bank of India, hereby declares that the provisions of sub-section (1) of Section 11 of the said Act shall not apply to the undernoted cooperative banks for a further period of one year from 1 March 1971 to 29 February 1972:
 - 1. The Mitra Mandal Sahakari Bank Ltd., Indore
 - 2. The Baranagore Cooperative Bank Ltd., Baranagore, Calcutta.
 - 3 The Vishvakarma Cooperative Bank Ltd., Bombay
 - 4. The Malvan Cooperative Urban Bank Ltd., Malvan

[No. F. 15-6/71 ACII.]

L. D. KATARIA, Dy. Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 23 भ्रगस्त, 1971

एस० ग्रो० 3489.— गैंकिंग विनियमन अधिनियम, 1949 (1949 का 10वां) की धारा 56 के साथ पठित धारा 53 के द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक की सिफारिश पर एनद्द्वारा घोषित करती है कि उक्त ग्रिधिनियम की धारा 11 की उप-धारा (1) के उपबन्ध पहली मार्च, 1971, 29 फरवरी, 1972 तक की एक वर्ष की ग्रतिरिक्त अवधि के लिए निमालिखत सहकारी बैंकों पर लागु नहीं होंगे :--

- 1. मिल मण्डल सहकारी बैंक लिमिटेड इन्दौर
- 2. बड़ा नगोर कोम्रापरैटिव बैंक लिमिटेड, बड़ानगोर, कलकत्ता
- 3. विश्वकर्मा कोग्रापरेटिव बैंक लिमिटेड, बम्बई
- मालवान कं न्नापरेटिव बैंक म्रर्बन लिमिटेड, मालवान ।

[सं० एफ० 15-6/71-ए० सी०-II] एल० डी० कटारिया, उप सचिव।

(Department of Revenue and Insurance)

INCOME-TAX

New Delhi, the 7th July 1971

S.O. 3490.—In pursuance of sub-clause (ii) of clause (a) of sub-section (1) of Section 138 of Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government hereby specifies the Cane Commissioner, U.P. for the purposes of that sub-Clause.

[No. 2122 (F. No. 397/36/71-ITCC).]

(राजस्व और बीमा विभाग)

(धाय-कर)

नई दिल्लो, 7 जुलाई, 1971

एस० ग्रो० 3490.—ग्रायकर प्रधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 138 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (क) के उपखंड (।।) के ग्रनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार उस उपखंड के प्रयोजनीं के लिए एतद्द्वारा गन्ना भ्रायुक्त, उत्तर प्रदेश ी विनिर्दिष्ट करनी है।

[सं० 212 (फा॰ सं॰ 397/36/71-म्राई टी सी सी]

New Delhi, the 7th July 1971

- S.O. 3491.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (iii) of Clause (44) of Section 2 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) the Central Government hereby authorises Shri I. C. Shukla who is a Gazetted Officer of the Central Government to exercise the powers of a Tax Recovery Officer under the said Act.
- 2. This Notification which supersedes Notification No. 96 (F. No. 404/86/71/1TCC) dated 24th March, 1971, shall come into force with immediate effect.

[No. 225 (F. No. 404/86/71-ITCC).]

S. K. LAL, Dy. Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 21 जुलाई, 1971

एस० थ्रां,० 3491. — श्रायकर श्रधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 2 के खण्ड (44) के उपखण्ड (iii) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार श्री श्राई० सी० शुक्ला को, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार का राजपित्तत श्रधिकारी है, उक्त श्रधिनियम के श्रधीन कर वसुली श्रधिकारी की शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने के लिए एतव्हारा प्राधिकृत करती है।

यह प्रधिसुचना, जो प्रधिसुचना सं० 96 (फा॰ स॰ 404/86/71-प्राई॰ टी॰ सी॰ सी॰) ता॰ 24-3-71 को प्रधिकान्त करती है, तुरन्त प्रवृत्त होगी।

[सं 225 /फा॰ सं॰ 404/86/71 प्राईटी सी)]

एस० के० लाल, उप सचिव, ।

(Department of Revenue and Insurance)

INCOME-TAX

New Delhi, the 26th July 1971

S.O. 3492.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) (b) of Section 80G of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government hereby notifies Thirupapullyur Shri Pataleeswarar Temple, Guddalore-2 to be a place of public worship of renown for the purpose of the said section.

[No. 231/F. No. 176/24/71-IT(AI).]

(राजस्व ग्रीर बीमा विभाग)

ग्रायकर

नई दिल्ली, 26 जुलाई, 1971

एस० म ० 3492.— प्रायकर म्रधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 80— की उपधारा 2(ख) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त धारा के प्रयोजन के लिए थिरुना गुलियूर श्री पताली व्यराध मंदिर, कुड्डोलोर-2 को सार्वजनिक पूजा का विख्यात स्थान के रूप में एसदद्वारा ग्रिधसूचित करती है।

- S.O. 3493.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) (b) of Section 80G of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Government hereby notifies the following temples to be places of public worship of renown for the purpose of the said section.
 - 1. Shri Drighvishuji Ka Mandir, Bharatpurgate, Mathura,
 - 2. Shri Veer Bhaddeshwarji Ka Mandir, Gali Peer Panch, Mathura.

[No. 232/F. No. 176/7/71-IT(AI).]

B. MADHAVAN, Under Secy.

एस० मो० 3493.--म्यायकर ग्रधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 80-छ की उपधारा (2) (ख) द्वारा प्रदत्त मक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त धारा के प्रयोजनों के लिए निम्नलिखित मंदिरों को सार्वजिनक पूजा के विख्यात स्थान के रूप में एतद्द्वारा ग्रिधस्चित करती है।

- 1. श्री द्विघीवश्जुजी का मंदिर, भरतपुर गेट, मथुरा।
- 2. श्री बीर भहे स्वरजी का मंदिर, गली पीर पंच, मधुरा।

[सं 232/फा सं 176/7/71-माई टी (ए 1)]

बी० माधवन, प्रवर सचिव ।

Central Board of Direct Taxes INCOME-TAX

New Delhi, the 15th June, 1971

S. O. 3494.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 121 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby makes the following amendments to the schedule appended to its notification No. 20 (F No. 55/1/62-IT) dated the 30th April 1963 published as S.O. 1293 on pages 1454-1457 of the Gazette of India Part-II Section 3 sub-section (ii) dated the 11th May, 1963 as amended from time to time.

Existing entries under columns (1), (2) and (3) against S.No. 2 & S.No. 2A shall be substituted by the following entries:

Income-tax Commissioners	Headquarters	Jurisdiction
I	2	3
B. Andhra Pradcsh-I	Hyderabad	1. Circle-III, Hyderabad 2. Special Circle-I, Hyderabad. 3. Salary Circle, Hyderabad 4. Srikakulam 5. Visakhapatnam 6. Company Circle, Hyderabad 7. Vizianagaram 8. Anakapalle 9. Rajahmundry 10. Amalapuram 11. Circle-I, Kakinada 12. Circle-II, Kakinada 13. Bluru 14. Palakol 15. Tanuku 16. Vijayawada 17. Machilipatnam 18. Gudivada 19. Tax Recovery Circle, Hyderabad. 20. Tax Recovery Circle, Hyderabad. 21. Tax Recovery Circle, Nellore. 22. Estate Duty-cum-Income-tax Circle, Hyderabad. 25. Estate Duty-cum-Income-tax Circle, Guntur.
2A. Andhra Pradesh-II	Hyd cra bad.	1. Circle-II, Hyderabad. 2. Special Circle-II, Hyderabad. 3. Project Circle, Hyderabad. 4. Nizamabad 5. Sangareddy 6. Nirmal 7. Circle-I, Hyderabad. 8. Warrangal 9. Khammam 10. Kothagudem 11. Guntur 12. Karimnagar 13. Tenah 14. Bapatla 15. Nellore 16. Chittoor 17. 1 rupati 18. Cuddapah 19. Proddatur 20. Anantapur 21. Hindupur

I

2

3

22. Kurnool

Nandyal

24. Adoni

25. Mahabunagar.

This notification shall take effect from 15-6-1971.

[No. 190/F. No. 187/3/70-IT (A)] B. MADHAVAN, Under Secy.

को जीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ज

(म्राय-कर)

नई दिल्ली; 15 जून, 1971

एस० मी० 3494. — मायकर मिवितयम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 121 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शिवसयों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड अपनी मिश्चिम्चना सं020(फा० स055/1/62 माई० टी०) तारीख 30 मप्रैल, 1963, जो भारत के राजपन्न तारीख 11मई, 1963, भाग 2, खण्ड 3,उप-खण्ड (॥) के पृष्ठ 1454-1757 पर का०मा० 1293 के रूप में प्रकाशित हुई थी और जैसी वह समय समय पर संशोधित की गई है, से उपाबद्ध भनुसूची में निम्निखित संशोधन करता है।

कम सं० 2 ग्रौर 2क के सामने स्तम्भ (1), (2) ग्रौर (3) के नीचे विद्यमान प्रविध्टियों के लिए निम्नलिखित प्रविध्टियों प्रतिस्थापित की जाएंगी :

म्रायकर म्रायुक्त	मुख्यालय	ग्रधिकारिला
(1)	(2)	(3)
2-म्प्रान्ध्र प्रदेश-1]	हैवराबाद	1-सिकल 3 हैदराबाद 2-विगोष सर्किल-1 हैदराबाद 3-वेतन सिकिल, हैदराबाद 4-श्रीकौकुलम 5-विशाखापटनम 6-कम्पनी सिकिल, हैदराबाद 7-विजयनगरन् 8-ग्रनकपाले 9-राजासुन्दरी 10-ग्रमल।पुरन् 11-सिकल 1 काकीनाडा 12-सिकल 2 काकीनाडा 13-एलुरु

(1)	(2)	(3)
2क म्रान्ध्र प्रदेश 2.	. (<i>²</i>)	15-सानुकू 16-विजयवाडा 17-मछलीपटन ग् 18-गुडीवाडा 19-कर बसूली सर्किल, हैवराबाद 20-कर बसूली सर्किल, विजयवाडा 21-कर वसूली सर्किल, विजयवाडा 21-कर वसूली सर्किल, नेस्लोर 22-संपदा शुल्क एवं ग्राय-कर सर्किल, काकीनाडा 24-संपदा शुल्क एवं ग्राय-कर सर्किल, काकीनाडा 24-संपदा शुल्क एवं ग्राय-कर सर्किल, गृत्दूर 1-सर्किल 2 हैदराबाद 2-विशेष सर्किल 2 हैदराबाद 3-परियोजनासर्किल, हैदराबाद 4-निज मांबाद 5-सगरेड्डी 6-निमेल 7-सर्किल 1 हैदराबाद 8-वारंगल 9-खम्माम 10-खोठागुडम 11-करीमनगर 12-गृत्दूर 13-टेनाली 14-व पाटला 15-नेल्लोर 16-चित्तूर 17-तिरुपति 18-कुडापा 19-प्रोव तुर 20-श्रनन्तपुर 21-हिन्दुपुर 22-कुरनूल 23-नाडय ल

यह रिधसूचना 15-6-1971 से प्रवृत्त होगी।

[सं॰ 190/फा॰ सं॰ 187/3/70-भ्राई॰टी॰ (ए॰ 1)]

बी॰ माधवन, भ्रवर सचिव।

(Department of Revenue and Insurance)

(Office of the Commissioner of Income-tax, Vidharbha and Marathwada)

Nagpur, the 10th March 1971

- S. O. 3495.—Whereas the Central Government is of the opinion that it is necessary and expedient in public interest to publish the names and other prticulars of the assessees:—
 - (I) being individuals of Hindu Undivided Families, who have been assessed on an income of more than one lakh of rupees:
 - (ii) being Firms, Association of persons of Companies who have been assessed on an income of more than 10 lakes of rupees;

during the financial year 1969-70 and has, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by section 287 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 (43 of 196⁷), directed that the names and other particular of the assessees aforesaid be published, the same are hereby published in Schedules I and II hereto annexed:—

SCHEDULE I

Names of all individuals and H.U.Fs. assessed on income of over Rs. 1 lakh during the fluancial year 1969-70.

	S. Name and address of the assessee No.	Name and address of the assessee Status				
1	2	3		4	5	
					Rs.	
I	Shri B. D. Thapar, C/o M/s Sunil Construc- tion Co., Ramdaspeth, Ngp.	Individual		1967-68	1,18,169	
2	Shri Zoeb S. Vali C/o M/a, Sadiq & Co Mo- unt Road, Extn., Nagpur.	Individual		1965-66	1,56,667	
3	Shri Mohd. S. Vali, C/o M/s. Sadiq & Co. Mount Road, Exten., Nagpur.	Individual		1965-66	1,04,491	
4	Shri Sadiq Bhai C/o M/s, Sadiq & Co. Mount Road, Extn., Nagpur.	Individua l		1965-66	1,06,208	
5	Shri D. S. Kharabe, Ghat Road, Nagpur.	Individual		1965-66	1,20,833	
6		Individual		1965-66	4,35,676	
7	Shri Ballumal Aildas C/o. M/s. Aildas Khan chand and c/o. Gandhiba8h, Ngp.	Individual		1965-66	1,82,108	
8	Shri Abdullabhai Hassanali, Co Ms. Abdul I Hussain M. Alibux Loha Oli, Nagpur.	Individual		1 965-66	4,57,223	
9		ndividual		1968-69	1,67,322	
10	Shri G. M. Chitnavis Mahal, Nagpur.	Indidual ,		1965-66	1,42,426	
11	The Officer Incharge, Court of Wards in the case of Raje Raghjirao and Co. Mahai Nag.	H.U.F.		1964-65	1,31,250	
12	Shri Kimmatram P/o M s, Kimatram Rudha- kishan and Co, Gandhibagh, Nagp.	Individual		1965-66	3,17,773	
13		lividual		1965-66	2,67,44	
14	Shri Pappumal c o M s Kimmatram Radha- Ir	adividual		1965-66	3,09,547	
15	kishan & Co. Gandhbagh, Nagp. Shri B.P. Kanoria, Gandhibah, Nagpur. In	ndividual		1965-66	1,15,873	
16		I. U. F.		1965-66	2,17,645	
17	Shri Dablat singh Itwari, Nagpur In	ndividual		1956-57	1,01,564	
18		ndividual		1965-66	1,19,632	
19		ndividual ndividual.	•	1965-66 1967-68	2,61,183	
20 21		ndividual.		1965-66	1,17,315 1,01,063	
			•		-,,,	

ı	2	3	4	5
22	Shr Raijibhai. Dhoribhai,	Individual	196566	1,30,443
23	Shri D. P. Rai, 210 Laxminagar, Nagpur I	Individual	1965-66	1,50,7
24	Shri Jagatnaran Goyal P/o M/s, Mahavir Oil Mills Sitabuedi Nagpur.	Individual	1965-66	1,03,78
25 26	Shri P.B. Mundhada, Sitabuldi. Nagpur. Shri Y. A. Khare	Individual	1965-66	1,44,30
•	Dharampeth, Nagpur	Individual	1965-66	1,73,36
27	Shri R. M. Tarkunde Pram Road, Nagpur	Individual	1965-66	1,66,04
28	Shri Shevadanmal Architect, Ghatate Chamber Nagar.	Individual	1969-70	1,04,59
29	Shri Hariram Mayaram, Darwha .	H. U, F,	1967-68	1,07,00
зõ	Shri Remkrishna Bajaj, Wardha	H. U. F.	1969-70	1,11,75
31	Shri G. G. Patel Chandraput .	Individual	1969-70	1,16,19
32	Shri Kisanlal Bajoria, Akola	H. U. F.	1967-68	1,11,53
33	Shri Kishanlal Bajoira, Akola	H. U. F.	1968-69	1,24,98
34	Shri Ganeshlal Rekchand, Akola	H. U. F.	1967-68	1,29,61
35	Shri Seth Gopaldas Mohata, Akola	H. U. F.	1965-66	3,06,98
36	ShriSeth Gopaldas Mohata, Akola	H. U. F.	1966-67	3,06,98
37	Shri Mohanla! Shankarlal, Akola	Individual	1969-70	3,05,8
38	Shri Chunilal Nathmal, Nandura	Individual	1965-6 6	1,05,96
39	Shri G. V. Saoji Malkpur	H. U. F.	1969-70	1,16,6
40	Shri Ratanlal Chotelal, Achalpur	Individual	1969-70	1,12,90
41	Shri R. P. Khajanchi, Amravati.	Individual	1965-66	1,89,59
42	Shri Shilingappa Shankarappa Mendsh,	•	•	,-,,,,
	Amravati	Individual	1965-66	1,04,9
43	Shri Ghosirma Pholchand, Amravati	H. U. F.	196 5-6 6	1,14,3
44	Shri S. L. Rathi, Amravati	Individual	1965-66	1,25,5
45	Shri S. S. Jain, Amravati.	Individual	1965-66	1,37,59
46	Shri K. S. Zagade, Amravati	Individual	1967-68	1,06,69
47	Shri Dayan Ranchoddas, Latur.	Individual	1964-65	1,15,4
48	Shri Shriram Dagdulal, Jalna	H. U. F.	1965-66	1,06,1

SCHEDULE-II

Name of all Firms, Association of persons and Companies assessed on income of over Rs. 10 Lakks during the Financial year 1969-70.

S. No.	Name and address of the Assessee	Status	Asstt, Year	Income assessed under the Income-tax Act 5, 1961	
I	2	3	4	5	
I	M/s Manganes Ore (India) Ltd. Mount Road, Nagpur	Company	1965-66	57,69,248	
2	M/s C. P. M. O. Pvt., Ltd. Mount Road, Nagpur M/s Khare & Tarkunde Pvt Ltd. Kuingsway,	Company	1965-66	67,88,447	
4	Nagpur. M/s Gondia Electric Supply Co. Gondia.	Company Company	1965-66 1965-66	10,11,577 13,23,485	

[No. F. No. Recy/19/70-71.]
V.J. KARNIK)
Commissioner of Incometax,
Vidarhbha and Marathwada,
Nagpur.

राजस्य ग्रीर बीमा विभाग

(बायकर बाव्यत कार्यालय विदर्भ झौर मराठवाका) नागपर

नागपुर, 10 मार्च, 1971

एस॰ श्रो॰ 3495.—जब कि केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि ऐसे निधारितियां के नाम ग्रौर अन्य विवरणों को प्रकाशित करना लोकहित में ग्रावश्यक श्रौर समयोखित है, जिनका वित्तीय वर्ष 1969:—1970 के ग्रन्तर्गत :—

- (i) व्यष्टियां या हिन्दू प्रविभक्त कुटुम्बों के रूप में एक लाख रुपये से प्रधिक की प्राय पर निर्धारण हुआ है ;
- (ii) फर्मी व्यक्ति संगम या कम्पनियों के रूप में , 10 लाख रुपये से प्रधिक की प्राय पर निर्धारण हुआ है ;

भौर इस लिए भ्रायकर श्रिधिनियम 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 287 के द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्ति-का प्रयोग करते हुए निदेश दिया है कि पूर्वोक्त निर्धारितिसयों के नाम तथा भ्रन्य विवरणों को प्रकाशित कर दिया जाय ;

धतः संलग्न धनुषुची i भीर ii में उन्हें प्रकाशित किया जाता है :---

अनुसूची 1 वित्तीय वर्ष 1969-70 के अन्तर्गत 1 लाख से अधिक की आय पर निर्धारित समस्त व्यष्टियों व हिन्दू भविभक्त कृद्म्बों के नाम

कम संख्या	निर्धारिती का नाम व पता	प्रास्थिति	निर्धारण वर्ष	ग्रायकर ग्रधि- नियम, 1961 के श्रधीन निर्घारित ग्राय
1	2	3	4	5
1	श्री बी० डी० थापर, मारफत मैसर्स सुनील कन्सट्रक्शन कम्पनी, रामदास पेठ नागपुर ।	व्यष्टि	1 967-68	₹o 1,18,169
2	श्री जोव एस० वाली मारकत भैसर्स सादिक एंड कम्पनी , माउंट रोड, एक्सटेंशन नागपुर ।	व्यष्टि	1965-66	1,56,667
3	श्री मुहम्मद एस० वाली मारफत सादिक एंड कम्पनी, माऊन्ट रोड, नागपुर	व्यष्टि	1965-66	1,04,491
4	श्री सादिक भाई मारफत मै० सादिक एंड कं०, माउंट रोड, एक्सटैंशन, नागपुर।	व्यष्टि	1965-66	1,06,208

1	2	3	4	5
5	श्री डी० एस० सरोव, घाट रोड, व्या नागपुर ।	ષ્ટિ	1965-66	1,20,833
6	श्री भ्रशगरघली, हासानाली, लोहाम्रोली, व्य नागपुर ।	िट	1965-66	4,35,676.
7	श्री बालुमल एलदास मारफत मैसर्स व्यवि एलदास खानचन्द एंड कं०, गांधीबाग, नागपुर।	^{डे} ट	1965-66	1,82,108
8	श्री भ्रब्दुल्ला भाई, हासानाली मारफत व्या मैसर्स भ्रब्दुल हुसैन एम० भ्रलीया- वक्स लौहा भ्रोली, नागपुर ।	ডিব	1965-66	4,57,223
9	श्री बी० डी० थापर मारफत मैसर्स व्या सुनील कन्सट्रक्शन कं०, रामदास पेठ नागपुर।	ष्ट	1 968-69	1,67,322:
1 0	श्री जी० एम० चिटनवीस महल, नागपुर व्य	रष्टि	1965-66	1,42,426
11	कार्यभारी ग्रक्षिकारी राजे रघुजी राव हि० ग्र भौंसले के मामले में प्रतिपालक ग्रक्षिकरण (कोर्ट ग्राफ वार्ड्स)	१० कु०	1 964-65	1,31,250
1 2	श्री कीमतराम प्रो० मैसर्स कीमतराम व्या राधाकिशन एंड कम्पनी, गोधी बाग, नागपुर ।	ডিস্ত	1965-66	3,1 7,773
13	श्री हीरानन्द प्रो०मैसर्स कीमतराम राधा- व्य किशन एंड कम्पनी, गांधीबाग, नागपुर।	मध्ट	1965-66	2,67,441
14	श्री पप्यूमल प्रो० मैसर्स कीसतराम व्य राधाकिशन	र्गिष्ट	1965-66	3,09,547
1 5	श्री वी० पी० कनोरिया, गांधी बाग, व्य नागपुर ।	र् षिट:	1965-66	1,15,873
1 6	श्री मोहनलाल मोतीलाल सुभाष रोड, हि० नागपुर ।	म्र० कृ०	1965-66	2,17,645
1 7	श्रीदौलतसिंह इतवारी,नागपुर व्य	ष्टि	1956-57	1,01,564
18	श्रीमती रतनबाई कोरत्राल काम्पटी । 🏻 🌣	यष्टिट	1965-66	1,19,632
19	श्रो नेमक्रुमार पोरयाल काम्पटी .	व्यष्टि	1965-66	2,61,183
20	श्रो महो जो भाई भाई लालभाई, गोंदिया	च्यष्टि	1967-68	1,17,315
21	श्रो चुन्नोलाल होरजी, वाडेरा, गोदिया	ब्य ष्टिट	1965-66	1,01,063
22	श्री राय जो भाई ढोरो भाई, गोंदिया	व्यहिट	1965-66	1,30,443

1	2	3	4	š
23	श्री डो॰ पी॰ राय, 210, लक्ष्मीः नागपुर ।	नगर, व्यष्टि	1965-66	1,50,723
24	श्री जनत नारायण गोयल, प्रो० मैसर महाबीर तेल मिल्स, सोतावल्डी, नामपुर		1965-66	1,03,782
25	श्री पी० बी० मुनद्यादा, सीता बिल्ख नागपुर ।	ी, व्यष्टि	1965-66	1,44,305
26	श्री वाई० ए० खारे धर्म पेठ, नागपुर	व्यष्टि	1965-66	1,73,367
27	श्री भ्रार० एम० तारकुडे, पाम ोः नागपुर ।	ड, व्यष्टि	1965-66	1,66,043
28	श्री शिवदानमल श्राकिटेक्ट, घटो चैम्बर्स, नागपुर ।	ट व्यष्टि	1969-70	1,04,595
29	श्री हरी राम मायाराम, दरसा ।	हि ०भ्र०क्षु ०	1967-68	1,07,000
30	श्री राम किशन बजाज, वार्घा ।	हि०ग्र०कु०	1969-70	1,11,754
31	श्री सी० जी० पटेल, चन्द्रपुर ।	ख्य ^{िट}	1969-70	1,16,190
32	श्री कि शनलाल बजोरिया, श्रकोला	हि ० ग्र ंक ु०	1967-68	1,11,530
33	श्री किशनलाल बजोरिया, प्रकोला	हि॰प्र॰कु॰	1968-69	1,24,980
34	श्री गनेशलाल रेगचन्द्र, ग्रकोला	हि०प्र०कु०	1967-68	1,29,610
35	श्री सेठ गोपालदास मोहता, श्रकोला	हि०ग्र०कु०	1965-66	3,06,980
36	श्री सेठ गोपालदास मोहता, श्रकोला	हि०ग्र०कु०	1966-67	3,06,980
37	श्री मोहनलाल शंकरलाल, श्रकीला	ब य ष्टिट	1969-70	1,05,820
38	श्री चुत्रीलाल नायमल, नदुरा	<u> व्यष्टि</u>	1965-66	1,05,960
39	श्री जी० बी० साउजी, मलकापुर	हि०प्र० कु०	1969-70	1,16,630
40	श्री रतनलाल छोटेलाल, श्रचलापुर	च्य िट	1969-70	1,12,900
41	श्री श्रार० पी० खजाची, श्रमरावती	व्यष्टि	1965-66	1.89,590
42	श्री शिष्रोलिगप्पा जंकरप्पा मेस्डशः, ग्रमरावनी	हि०ग्र०कु०	1965-66	1,04,910

1	2	3	4	5
43	श्री घोसीराम फूलचन्द, ग्रमराव ी	हि० घ्र ० कु ०	1965-66	1,14,365
44	श्री एस॰ एल॰ राठी, अमरावती	<u> </u> व्यष्टि	1965-66	1,25,510
45	श्री एस० एस० जैन, ग्रमरावती	व्यष्टि	1965-66	1,37,593
46	श्री के० एस० जगदे, ग्रमरावती	<u>ब्यष्टि</u>	1967-68	1,06,690
47	श्री दयान रनछोड दास, लटूर	व्यष्टि	1964-65	1,15,470
48	श्री श्रीराम दगदू लाल, जलना	हि०भ्र०कु०	196566	1,06,130

श्चन् \mathbf{H} \mathbf{I} वित्तीय वर्ष 1969-70 के अन्तर्गत 10 लाख पये से अधिक की आय पर निर्धारित समस्त फर्मों, व्यक्तियों के संगम श्रीर कम्पनियों के नाम

कम संख्या	निर्धाःरेती का नाम श्रौर पता	प्रा स्थि ति	निर्धारण वर्ष श्रा	यकर श्रधिनियम, 1961 के श्रधीन निर्धारित श्राय
1	2	3	4	5
1	मैसर्स मैंगनीज स्रोर (इन्डिया) लि भाउंटरोड, नागपुर .		1965-66	57,69,248
2	मैसर्स पी० पी० एम० ग्रो० प्रा० सि माउंटरोड, नागपुर	•	1965-66	67,88,447
3	मैसर्स खरे एंड तार कुंडे प्रा० लि किंग्सवे, नागपुर	_	1965-66	10,11,577
4	मैसर्स गोंदिया इलैक्ट्रिक सप्लाई क गोंदिया	ं०, कम्पनी	1965-66	13,23,485

[सं०फा०सं वसूली/19/70-71] वी० जे० कारनिक, झायकर श्रायुक्त, विवर्भ श्रीर मराठा वाजा नागपुर।

MINISTRY OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT

New Delhi, the 24th August 1971

S.O. 3496.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 72 of the Indian Patents and Designs Act, 1911 (2 of 1911), the Central Government hereby appoints the Director, Central Fuel Institute, District Dhanbad, Bihar, as an authority for the purpose of the said section, and makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Commerce and Industry No. S.R.O. 681, dated the 23rd March, 1955, namely:—

In the said notification after item (41) and the entry relating thereto, the following item and entry shall be inserted, namely:—

"(42) The Director, Central Fuel Research Institute, Fuel Research Institute, P.O Distt. Dhanbad, Bihar."

[No. F. 33(43)-SP&D/69.]

HARGUNDAS, Under Secv.

भौद्योगिक विकास संजालय

नई बिल्ली, 24 श्रगस्त 1971

का० श्रा० 3496.—भारतीय पेटन्ट ग्रीर डिजाइन श्राधिनयम, 1911 (1911 का 2) की धारा 72 द्वारा प्रदत्त गक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, निवेशक, केन्द्रीय इंधन श्रनुसन्धान संस्थान, जिला धनबाद, बिहार, को उक्त धारा के प्रयोजन के लिए प्राधिकारी के रूप मे एतदद्वारा नियुक्ति करती है, ग्रीर भारत सरकार भूतपूर्व उद्योग ग्रीर वाणिज्य मंद्रालय की श्रधिसूच ॥, का० नि० श्रा० 681, ता० 23 मार्च, 1955, में ग्रीर श्रागे निम्नलिखित संगोधन करती है, ग्रर्थात :—

उक्त अनुसूची में, मद (41) श्रीर उससे सम्बन्धित प्रविष्टि के पश्चात निम्नलिखित मद श्रीर प्रविष्टि श्रन्तःस्थापित की जाएगी, श्रर्थात :—

"(42) निदेशक,

केन्द्रीय इंधन धनुसन्धान संस्थान,

डाकघर : इंधन प्रनुसन्धान संस्थान ,

जिला धनबाद, विहार ।"

[सं० फा० 33(43)-एस०पी० श्रीर डी०/69]

ह्र्गुन दास, भ्रवर सनिव।

New Delhi, the 31st August 1971

- S.O. 3492.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to article 309 of the Constitution, the President hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Small Scale Industries Organisation (Class III and Class IV posts) Recruitment Rules, 1960, namely:—
- 1 (1) These rules may be called the Small Scale Industries Organisation (Class III and Class IV posts) Recruitment (Amendment) Rules, 1971.
- (2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.
- 2. In the Schedule to the Small Scale Industries Organisation (Class III and Class IV posts) Recruitment Rules, 1960, in the entries against item 3 relating to the post of Lower Division Clerk (in all States).

- (1) in column 7, for the existing entry, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—
 - "(i) Matriculation or equivalent qualifications; and
 - (ii) Minimum speed of 30 words per minute in type-writing:

Provided that-

- (a) a person not possessing the said qualification in type-writing may be appointed subject to the condition that he shall not be eligible for drawing increments in the pay scale or for quasi-permanency or for confirmation in the grade till he acquires a speed of 30 words per minute in type-writing; and
- (b) a physically handicapped person who is otherwise qualified to hold a clerical post but does not possess the said qualification in typewriting may be appointed subject to the condition that the Medical Board attached to the Special Employment Exchange for handicapped or where there is no such Board, the Civil Surgeon, certifies that the said handicapped person is not in a fit condition to be able to type".
- (2) In column 10, for the existing entry, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"By direct recruitment,

Note.—Ten per cent of the vacancies shall be reserved for being filled by Class IV employees (borne on regular establishment of these offices) subject to the following conditions, namely:—

- (1) Selection shall be made through a departmental competitive examination confined to such Class IV employees who fulfil the requirement of minimum educational qualification, namely, Matriculation or equivalent there.
- (2) The maximum age for appearing at this examination shall be forty years (forty five years for the Scheduled Caste or the Scheduled Tribe employees)
- (3) At-least five years of service in Class IV shall be essential.
- (4) The maximum number of appointments by this method shall be limited to ten per cent of the vacancies in the cadre of Lower Division Clerks occurring in a year; unfilled vacancies would not be carried over to the next year.
- (5) A probationary period of two years shall be prescribed, subject to extension of this period, if necessary."

[No. 4(13)/69-SSI(C).]

O. R. PADMANABHAN, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 31 भ्रास्त, 1971

का० आ० 3497.—-राब्ट्रपिन, पंतियान के श्राः च्छेद 309 के परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त सक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए लधु उद्योग गठन (वर्ष 3 प्रीर वर्ष 4 पद) भर्ती नियम, 1960, में श्रीर श्रागे संशोधन करने के लिए निम्नलिखित नियम एनद्दारा बनाने हैं, श्रयान् :---

- 1. (1) इत नियमों का नाम लघु उद्योग गठन (वर्ग 3 ग्रीर वर्ग 4 पद) भर्ती (संणोधन) नियम, 1971 होगा।
 - (2) ये ग्रासकीय राजपत्न में प्रकाशन की तारीलाको प्रवृत्त होंगे ।

- 2. लघु उद्योग गठन (वर्ग 3 श्रौर वर्ग 4 मद) भर्ती नियम, 1960, की श्रनुसूची में मद 3 के सामने को निम्न श्रेणी लिपिक (सभी राज्यों में) पद से सम्बन्धित प्रविष्टियों में—-
 - (1) स्तंभ 7 में, विद्यमान प्रविष्टि के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टि प्रतिस्थापित की जाएगी प्रयत्—
 - (i) मैद्रिकुलेशन या समतुल्य प्रहंताएं, ग्रौर
 - (ii) टंक लेखन में कम से कम 30 शब्द प्रति मिनट की गति:

परन्तु कि-

- (क) कोई व्यक्ति जिनके पास टंक लेखन में उक्त फ्राईता नहीं है इस शर्त के स्रधीन नियुक्त किया जा सकेंगा कि जब तक वह टंक लेखन में 30 शब्द प्रति मिनट की गति र्छाजित न करें तब तक वह बेतन मान में वेतन वृद्धि पाने या स्थायिवत्ता या उस श्रेणी में पूष्टीकरण का पान्न नहीं होगा, श्रीर
- (ख) कोई मारीरिक ग्रस्तिधाग्रस्त व्यक्ति, जो कि लिपिक पद धारण करने के लिए ग्रन्थया ग्रहित है, किन्तु टंकलेखन में उक्त ग्रहिता उसके पास नहीं है, नियुक्त किया जा सकेगा इस गर्त के ग्रधीन रहते हुए कि ग्रस्तिधाग्रस्त के लिए विशेष नियोजनालय से संजग्न चिकित्सा बोर्ड या जहां ऐसा कोई बोर्ड नहीं है वहां सिविल सर्जन प्रमाणित करता है कि उक्त ग्रस्तिधाग्रस्त व्यक्ति टंकन करने के लिए योग्य स्थिति में नहीं है।
- (2) स्तंम्भ 10 में विद्यमान प्रविष्टि के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टि प्रतिस्थापित की जाएगी, ग्रर्थात्
- टिप्पण--"सोधे भर्ती द्वारा"--रिक्तियों का 10 प्रतिशत वर्ग 4 के (इन कार्यलयों क नियमित स्थापन द्वारा धारित) कर्मचारियों द्वारा निम्नलिखित शर्ती के ग्रधीन रहते हुए भर्ती करने के लिए ग्रारक्षित रखा जाएगा, ग्रर्थात्---
 - (1) कम से कम शैक्षिक घ्रहेता, घ्रषांत्, मैट्रिकुलेशन या उसका समनुख्य की ध्रावश्यकता पूरी करने वाले ऐसे वर्ग 4 के कर्मचारियों से सीमित विभागीय प्रतियोगी परीक्षा के माध्यम से चयन किया जाएगा।
 - (2) इस परीक्षा में बैठने के लिए अधिकतम आयु 40 वर्ष की होगी। (अनुसू-चित जाति और अनुसूचित जन जाति के कर्मचारियों के लिए 45 वर्ष)।
 - (3) वर्ग 4 में कम से कम 5 वर्ष की सेवा अत्यावश्यक होगी।
 - (4) इस पद्धति द्वारा की गई नियुक्तियों की अधिकतम संख्या निम्न श्रेणी लिपकों के का इर में एक वर्ष में होने वाली रिक्तियों के 10 प्रतिशत तक सीमित होंगी; जो रिक्तियां भरी नहीं हैं उन्हें प्रगले वष के लिए अग्रनीत नहीं किया जाएगा।
 - (5) दो वष का परीयोक्षा काल विहित किया जाएगा इस शर्त पर कि, यदि भ्रावश्यक हो, उस श्रवधि को बढ़ाया जाए।"

[सं • 4(13)/69-एस एस मार्र (सी)]

भ्रो० भ्रार० पद्मनाभन, भ्रवर सचिव।

TRADE MARKS

New Delhi, the 31st August 1971

S.O. 3498.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 5 of the Trade and Merchandisc Marks Act, 1958 (43 of 1958), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment to the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Commerce and Industry No. S.O. 2601, dated the 25th November, 1959, namely:—

In the said notification, for the existing entry in the second column relating to the Office of the Trade Mark Registry at Calcutta, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"The States of Assam, Bihar, Nagaland, Orisca, and West Bengal, the autonomous State of Meghalaya, the Union territories of Andaman and Nicobar Islands, Manipur and Tripura and the tribal areas of the State of Assam specified in Part B of the table appended to paragraph 20 of the Sixth Schedule to the Constitution of India".

[F. No. 30(1)-IT/TM/69.].

P. SITARAMAN, Dy. Secy.

नई विल्ली; 31 प्रगस्त 1971

का० ग्रा० 2498:--व्यापार श्रीर वाणिज्य अधिनियम, 1958 (1958 का 43) की धारा 5 द्वारा प्रदत्त गक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारत सरकार के भूतपूर्व व्यापार श्रीर उद्योग मंत्रालय की श्रिधसूचना सं० का० ग्रा० 2601, नारीख 25 नवम्बर, 1959 में एतद्द्वारा निम्नलिखन श्रीर श्राणे संगोधन करती है, श्रर्थान् :--

उक्त श्रविमुच्या में, कल क्ता की व्यापार चिह्न रिजस्ट्री के कार्यालय से मंबंधित दूसरे स्तम्भ की विद्यमान प्रविष्टि क स्थान पर निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टि प्रति स्थापित की आएगी, श्रर्यातु :--

असम, ब्रेबिहरा, नागालैंड, उड़ोसा और पश्चिमी बंगाल, राज्य मेघालय का स्वायत्त राजा, ग्रंडमात निकोबार द्योप, मनिपुर श्रीर त्निपुरा का मंग राज्यक्षेत्र श्रीर भारत के संविधान की छठी श्रनुसूची के पैरा 20 से संलग्न सारणी के भाग ख में विनिर्दिष्ट श्रसम राज्य के जनजाति क्षेत्र।

[स॰ फा॰ 30(1)=प्राई॰ टी/ टी॰ एम/ 69]

प० सीतारामन्, उप सचिव ।

ORDER

New Delhi, the 13th September 1971

S.O. 3499|IDRA|6|11|71.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 6 of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 (65 of 1951) read with rules 2, 4 and 5 of the Development Councils (Procedural) Rules, 1952, the Central Government hereby appoints, for a period of two years with effect from the date of this Order, the following persons to be members of the Development Council for the scheduled Industries engaged in the manufacture or production of Drugs and Pharmaceuticals, in place of members appointed under the Government of India in the late Ministry of Industrial Development and Company Affairs (Department of Industrial Development) Order No. S.O. 2853/IDRA/6/6/68. dated the 17th August, 1968, as amended from time to time, whose tenure of office has expired by efflux of time or otherwise:—

Development Council for Drug and Pharmaceuticals.

1. Shri A. V. Mody, Managing Director, M/s. Unichem Laboratories Ltd., 22-Bhulaphai Desai Road, Bombay-26—Chairman

- 2. Dr. K. A. Hamied, Managing Director, M/s. CIPLA, 289, Bellasis Road, Bombay-1.
- 3. Dr. D. V. K. Raju, Managing Director, M/s. Crookes Interfran Ltd., 254-D, Dr. Annie Besant Road, Worli, Bombay-12.
- 4 Shri N. M. Shah, M/s. Atul Drug House, D 85, Annle Besant Road Worli, Bombay-18.
 - 5. Dr. S. N. Sharma, Principal, Gauhati Medical College, Gauhati (Assam).
- 6. Dr. N. Rangaswami, Chief Analyst, M/s. Glaxo Laboratories (India) Pvt. Ltd., Dr. Annie Besant Road, Worli, Bombay-18.
- 7. Dr. S. K. Mehta, Chief Chemist. M/s. Boehringer Knoll Ltd., United India Building, Pherozeshah Road, Bombay-1.
- 8. Shri M. K. Ranganekar, Commissioner, Food and Drugs Administration Griha Nirman Bhavan, Kala Nagar, Bhandra, East Bombay-5.
 - 9. Dr. A. J. Farldi, Farldi Buildings, Hazrat Ganj. Lucknow.
- 10. Bhai Mohan Singh, Chairman & Chief Executive, M/s. Ranbaxy Laboratories (Pvt.) Ltd., Okhla, New Delhi.
 - 11. Shri Rattanlal Gupta, 1424, Chandni Chowk, Delhi.
- 12. Dr. B. N. Ghosh, Managing Director, M/s. Bengal Chemicals & Pharmaceutt-cals Works Ltd., 6, Ganesh Chander Avenue, Calcutta-13.
- 13. Shri B. N. Dey, Managing Director, Dey's Medical Stores, 6, Lindsay Street, Calcutta.
- 14. Shri M. S. Shastri, Chief Executive, M/s. Synbiotics Ltd., Wadi Wadi, Baroda.
- 15. Shri C. S. Raju, M/s. South India Research Institute (P) Ltd., Srinagar. Vijaywada (Andhra Pradesh).
- 16. Dr. J. J. Neuruker, M/s. Sunceta Laboratorics, 89-B/90, Industrial Estate, Indore (M.P.).
- 17. Prof. D. K. Banerjee, Professor of Organic Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore.
 - 18. Dr. P. C. Bhatla, 12-Sadar Thana Road, Delhi.
- 19. Dr. S. B. Rao, Managing Director, M/s. Navarathna Pharmaccuticals, Cochin (Kerala).
- 20. Shri B. V. Raman, Managing Director, Hindustan Antiblotics Ltd., Pimpri, Poona.
- 21. Shri Jagjit Singh, Chairman & Managing Director, M/s. Indian Drugs & Pharmaceuticals Ltd., N-12, South Extension Part (I), New Delhi-3.
 - 22. Shri M. L. Dhar, Director, Central Drug Research Institute, Lucknow,
- 23. Dr. A. G. Sonar, Member Parliament, (Lok Sabha), 165-North, Avenue, New Delhi.
 - 24. Shri Sushil Bhattacharjee, 780, Ballimoran, Delhl.
 - 25. Shri P. S. Ramachandran, Drugs Controller, Ministry of Health, New Delhi.
- 26. Dr. P. R. Gupta, Drug Adviser, Ministry of Petroleum & Chemicals & Mines & Metals (Department of Petroleum & Chemicals), New Delhi.
 - 27. Dr. B. Shah, Industrial Adviser, D.G.T.D., New Delhi.
- 28. Representatives of the Director General, Armed Forces Medical Services, Ministry of Defence, New Delhi.
- 29. Shri K. Ventataraman, Dircteor. Ministry of Industrial Development, New Delhi.

Member Secretary.

- 30. Shri D. G. Patwardhan, Development Officer (Drugs & Pharm.), D.G.T.O., New Delhi.
- 2. Shri D. G. Patwardhan, Development Officer, D.G.T.D., New Delhi is hereby appointed to carry on the functions of the Secretary to the said Development Council.

[No. 13(7)/70-LC.]

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 13 सितम्बर, 1971

का ० आ ० 3 499/आई ० डी ० आर ० ए ० / 6 / 1 1 / 71.— उद्योग (विकास तथा विनियमन) अधिनियम, 1951 (1951 का 65) की धारा 6 के द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए एवम विकास परिखर्दें (कार्या विधि) नियम, 1952 के नियम, 2, 4 और 5 के साथ पढ़ते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा निम्नलिखित व्यक्तियों की इस आदेश की तिथि से दो वर्षों तक की अवधि के लिये भारत सरकार के भतपूर्व औद्योगिक विकास तथा समवाय—कार्य मंत्रालय (औद्योगिक विकास विभाग) के अधिभ सं० का ० आ ० 2853/आई ० डी ० आर ० ए ० / 6 / 6 / 68, दिनांक 17 अगस्त, 1958 जिसे समय-समय पर संशोधित किया गया के अधीन नियुक्त किये गये सदस्यों के स्थान पर, जिनका कार्यकाल, समय बीत जाने से अथवा समाप्त हो गया है, बीषध तथा भषज के निर्माण अथवा उत्पादन रत अनुसूचित उद्योगों की विकास परिषद् का सदस्य नियुक्त करती है :—

भ्रीवश तथा भेषज की विकास परिवद

(1) श्री ए० बी० मोदी, प्रबन्ध निदेशक, मे० यूनिकेप लेबोरेटरीज लि०, 22 भूला भाई देसाई रोड, बम्बई-26

ग्रह्यस

- (2) डा ० के ० ए ० हमीद, प्रबन्ध निदेशक, मे ० सी ग्राई पी एल ए, 289, बेल्लासीस रोड, बम्बई--1
- (3) डा० डी० वी० के० राजू, मैनेजिंग डाइरेक्टर, मे० कृक्स इंटरफ्रेन लि०, 245-डी, डा० ऐनीबेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-12, 28 डी डी।
- (4) श्री एन ० एम ० शाह, मे ० श्रतुल ड्रग हाउस, 85, डा ० एनीबेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-18,
- (5) डा० एस० एन० शर्मा, प्रिंसिपल, गौहाटी मेडिकल कालेज, गौहाटी (श्रासाम)।
- (6) डा० एन० रंगास्वामी, भीफ ऐनेलिस्ट, मे० ग्लेक्सो लेबोरेटरीज (इंडिया) प्रा० लि०, डा० ऐनीबेसेंट रोड, वर्ली, बम्बई-18,

- (7) डा० एस० के मेहता, चीफ केमिस्ट, मे०बोहिरगर, क्नोल लि०, यूनाइटेड इण्डिया बिल्डिंग, फिरोजशाह रोड, बम्बई-1
- (8) श्री एम ० के ० रंगनकेर, कमिश्नर फूड एण्ड ड्रग्स एडमिनिस्ट्रेशन, गृह निर्माण भवन, कालानगर, भोद्रा पूर्वी, बस्बई-5
- (9).डा ० ए ० जे ० फरीदी, फरीदी बिल्डिंग, हजरत गंज, लखनऊ ।
- (10) भाई मोहन सिंह, चेग्नरमैन एण्ड चीफ एक्जीक्यूटिव, मे० रैन बैक्सी, लैबोरेटरीज (प्रा०) लि०, ग्रोखला, नई दिल्ली।
- (11) श्री रतनपाल गुप्त, 1425, चांदनी चौक दिल्ली।
- (12) डा० बी० एन० घोष, मैनेजिंग डाइरेक्टर, मे० बंगला केमिकल्स एण्ड फर्माक्यूटिकल वर्क्स लि०, 6--गणेश चर्दर एवेन्यू, कलकत्ता-13
- (13) श्री बी० एन० डे, मैनेजिंग डाइरेक्टर, डेज मेडिकल स्टोर्स, 6-लिंडसे स्ट्रीट, कलकत्ता ।
- (14) श्री एन० एस० शास्त्री, चीफ एक्जूक्यूटिब, मे० सिंबियाटिक्स लि०, बाडी बाडी, बड़ौदा ।
- (15) श्री सी०एस० राजू, मे० साउथ इण्डिया रिसर्च इंस्टीट्यूट (प्रा०) लिमिटड, श्रीनगर, विजय वाड़ा (श्रान्ध्र प्रदेश) ।
- (16) डा ० जे ० जे ० न्यूरूकर, मे ० सुनीता लेबोरेटरीज, 89-बी/90, इण्डस्ट्रियल ईस्टेट, इंदौर, (मध्य प्रदेश)

- (17) प्रॉ॰ डी ० दें ० बनर्जी,
 प्रो ० ग्रागैनेंस केमिस्ट्री,
 इण्डियन इंस्टीट्यूट श्राफ साइन्स, बंगलीर,
- (18) डा० पी० सी० भटला, 12—सदर थाना रोड, दिल्ली।
- सं ० एस ० बी ० राव,
 मैंनेजिंग डाइरेक्टर,
 मे ० नवरतन फार्माक्यूटिफल्स,
 कोचीन, (केरल) ।
- (20) श्री बी ० बी ० रामन, मैनेजिंग डाइरेक्टर, हिन्दुस्तान ऐन्टीबायोटिक्स सि ०, पिम्परी, पूना ।
- · 21) श्री जगजीत सिंह,
 चेश्ररमैन एण्ड मैनेजिंग डाइरेक्टर,
 मे ॰ इण्डियन ड्रग्स एण्ड फार्माक्यूटिकल्स लि ०,
 एन-12, साउथ एक्सटेंशन पार्ट (1),
 नई दिल्ली-3
- (22) श्री एम ० एल ० धर, डाइरेक्टर, केन्द्रीय श्रीषध अनुसंधान संस्थान, लखनऊ ।
- (23) डा० ए० जी० सोनार, संसद सदस्य, लोकसभा 165-नार्थ एवेन्यू, नई दिल्ली-1
- (24) श्री सुशील भट्टाचार्य जी, 780, बल्ली मारान, दिल्ली ।
- (25) श्री पी० एस० रामचन्द्रन, ग्रौषधि, नियंत्रक, स्वास्थ्य मंत्रालय, नई दिःली ।
- (26) डा० पी० ग्रार० गुप्त, ग्रीषध, सलाहकार, पेट्रोलियम तथा रसायन, एवम् खान तथा धातु मंत्रालय, (पेट्रोलियम तथा रसायन विभाग), नई दिल्ली ।
- (27) डा० बी० शाह, श्रीद्योगिक सलाहकार, तकनीकी विकास का महानिदेशालय, नई दिल्ली ।

(28) डाइरेक्टर जनरल, धार्मफोर्सेज मेडीकल सर्विसेज. मिनिस्टी भ्राफ डिफेन्स, नई दिल्ली ।

(एक प्रतिनिधि)

- (29) श्री के ० बैंकंटारमन, निषेशक. श्रीबोगिक विकास तथा श्रांतरिक व्यापार मंत्रालय, (भौद्योगिक विकास विभाग), नई दिल्ली ।
- (30) श्रीडी० जी० पटवर्धन, विकास श्रधिकारी, (ग्रीषध एवम् भेषज), तकनीकी विकास का महानिषेशालय. नई दिल्ली।

सदस्य-सचिव

(31) श्री डी ॰ जी ॰ पटवर्धन, विकास अधिकारी तकनीकी विकास का महानिदेशालय, नई दिल्ली को उक्त विकास परिषद के सचिव के कार्यों को करने के लिये नियुक्त किया जाता है।

> [सं • 13(7)/70-एल • सी •] राज क्रुष्ण चढ**ढा, ग्रवर सचिय ।**

MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY PLANNING

(Department of Health)

New Delhi, the 7th August 1971

S.O. 3500,—Whereas Dr. J. C. Parikh, B.D.S. (Bom.), M.S. (USA), Bungalow No. 4, Shrinivas Society, "Vatsalya", near New Sharda Mandir Road, Ellisbridge, Ahmedabad-7, has been elected with effect from the 1st October, 1970, from among the dentists registered in Part A of the Gujarat register of dentists, as a member of the Dental Council of India clause (a) of section 3 of the Dentists Act, 1948 (16 of 1948).

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the powers conferred by section 3 of the sald Act, the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Health No. 3-2/62-MII, dated the 17th October, 1962, namely:—

In the said notification, under the heading "Elected under clause (a) of section 3", for the entry against Serial No. 13, the following entry shall be substituted.

namely:

"Dr. J. C. Parikh, B.D.S. (Bom.), M.S. (USA), Bungalow No. 4, Shrinivas Society, "Vatsalya", near Sharda Mandir Road, Ellisbridge, Ahmedabad-7."

[No. F. 3-31/70-M.P.T.]

रवास्थ्य ग्रौर परिवार नियोजन मंजालय

(स्वास्थ्य विभाग)

नई विल्जी, 7 श्रगस्त, 1971

एस० थो० 3500---यतः दन्तं चिकित्सक ग्रधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 16) की धार⁻ 3 के खण्ड (क) के श्रधीन डा० जे० सी० पारिख, बी० डी० एस० (बम्बई), एम० एस० (संयक्त राष्ट्र

संब प्रमरीका), बंगला नं० 4, श्र[े] निवास सोसाध्टी, ''वात्सल्य'' नया शारदा मन्दिर के निकट इस्लि-स्त्रिज, श्रहमदाबाद--7, को गजरात पंजीकृत दन्त चिकित्सकों के भाग 'क' के श्रधीन पंजीकृत दन्त चिकि-त्सकों में से 1 प्रक्तुबर 1970 से भारतीय बन्त चिकित्सक परिषद का सदस्य निर्वाचित किया गया है।

श्रतः, श्रव उक्त श्रधिनियम की धारा 3 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का श्रनुरण करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरक र तदहारा भतपूर्व स्वास्थ्य मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या 3-2/62 चि० 2 दिनांक, 17 प्रक्तुबर, 1962 में श्रीर श्रागे नि नलिखित संशोधन करती है नामतः

उक्त अधिसूचना में, ''धारा 3 के खण्ड (क) के श्रन्तर्गत निर्वाचित शीर्षक'' में प्रविष्टि संख्या 13 के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टि रखली जाय: ग्रर्थात :---

> ''डा० जे॰ सी० पारिख, बी० डी० एस० (बम्बई), एम० एस० (सं० रा० ग्र०), बंगला नं० 4, श्री निवास सोसाइटी, ''वात्सल्य'', शारदा ृमन्दिरः रोड, इंडॉलिंग-क्रिज, 🦼 ग्रहमदाबाद-७।"

> > सिं पत्न 3-31/70 एम ० पी ० टी ० रे

ORDER

New Delhi, the 26th July 1971

S.O. 3501.—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Health No. 16-5/62-MI, dated the 23rd July, 1962, the Central Government has directed that the Medical qualification, "M.D." granted by the University of Georgetown, Washington, United States of America, shall be recognised medical qualification for the purposes of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956);

And whereas Dr. Katherine Florence Jobson who possesses the said qualification is for the time being attached to the Holy Family Hospital, Mander, Banchi District for the purposes of charitable work:

Ranchi District for the purposes of charitable work;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of clause (c) of the proviso to sub-section (1) of section 14 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby specifles;
(i) a further period ending with the 29th February, 1972, or;
(ii) the period during which Dr. Katherine Florence Jobson, is attached to the said Holy Family Hospital, Mandar, Ranchi District, whichever is shorter, as the period to which the medical practice by the aforesaid doctor shall be limited.

INo. F. 19-22 70-MPT.1

नई दिल्ली, 16 ग्रगस्त, 1971

एस० ग्री० 3501:--यतः भारत सरकार के भूतपूर्व स्वास्थ्य मंत्रालय की 23 जुलाई, 1962 की ग्रधिसूचना संख्या 16-5 62 एम० ग्राई०, द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने निदेश दिया है कि भारतीय चिकित्सा परिषद् अधिनियम 1956 (1956 का 102) के प्रयोजन के लिए जार्जटाउन, विण्वविद्यालय, वाशिगटन, संयुक्त राज्य श्रमेरिका द्वारा प्रदत्त 'एम० डी०'' नामक चिकित्सा श्रहंता मान्य चिकित्सा श्रर्हता होगी;

श्रौर यतः डा० कैथरीना फ्लोरेन्स जाबसन को जिसके पास उक्त ग्रर्हता है, धर्माथ कार्य के प्रयोजन के लिए फिलहाल होली फेमिली श्रस्पताल, मन्दर, रांची जिला के साथ सम्बद्ध है।

भ्रतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 14, की उपधारा (1) के परन्तक के खण्ड (ग) का पालन करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद् द्वारा विनर्दिष्ट करती है कि :

(i) 29 फरवरी, 1972 को समाप्त हो १ वाली खागे की खबिछ ।

(ii) डा॰ कैयरीना फलोरन्स जाब्सन के होली फैमिली ग्रस्पताल, मन्दर, रांची जिला से सम्बद्ध रहने की श्रवधि जो भी कम हो; उस श्रवधि के दौरान पूर्वोक्त डाक्टर मेडिकल प्रैक्टिस कर सकेंगे ।

[सं॰ एफ॰ 19-22/70-एम॰ पी॰ टी॰/]

S.O. 3502.—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Health and Family Planning No. 18-13/66-MPT, dated the 3rd June, 1966, the Central Government has directed that the Medical qualification, Doctor of medicine granted by Women's Medical College, Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States of America, shall be recognised medical qualification for the purposes of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956);

And whereas Dr. Sister Mary Liguori Cantlin who possesses the said qualification is for the time being attached to the Mercy Hospital, Jamshedpur, for the purposes of charitable work;

Now, whereas in pursuance of clause (c) of the proviso to sub-section (1) of section 14 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby specifies;

- (i) a further period of two years with effect from the 8th December, 1970, or
- (ii) the period during which Dr. Sister Mary Liguori Cantlin is attached to the said Mercy Hospital, Jamshedpur;

whichever is shorter, as the period to which the medical practice by the aforesaid doctor shall be limited.

[No. F. 19-16/71-MPT.]

P. C. ARORA, Under Secy.

एस० ग्रो० 3502:—यतः भारत सरकार के भूतपूर्व स्वास्थ्य एवं परिवार नियोजन मंत्रालय की 3 जून, 1969की श्रिधसूचना सं० 18-13/66-एम० पी० टी० द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने निवेश दिया है कि भारतीय चिकित्सा परिषद् श्रिधिनियम 1956 (1956 का 102) के प्रयोजनों के लिए बुमेन्स मेडिकल ग्राफ पेन्सीलवानिया फ्लाडेल्फ्पा संयुक्त राज्य श्रमेरिका द्वारा प्रदत्त "डाक्टर श्राफ मेडिसन" नामक चिकित्सा श्रहता मान्य चिकित्सा श्रह्ता होगी ;

ग्रौर यतः डा० सिस्टर मैरी लिगनोरी कान्टलिन को जिसके पास उक्त श्रर्हता है धर्माय कार्य के लिए फिलहाल मरसी ग्रस्पताल, जमशेदपुर के साथ सम्बद्ध है ;

भतः, श्रवं, उक्त श्रधितियमं की धारा 14 की उपधारा (1) के परन्तुक के भाग (ग) का पालन करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतव्द्वारा विनिर्दिष्ट करती है कि;

(i) 8 दिसम्बर, 1970 से दो वर्ष श्रागे की श्रवधि के लिए

ग्रयवा

 (ii) उस श्रविध को जब तक डा॰ सिस्टर मैरी लिगनोर कान्टलिन, उक्त मरसी अस्पताल, जमगोदपुर के साथ सम्बद्ध रहते है जो भी कम हो उस श्रविध के दौरान पूर्वोक्त डा॰ मेडिकल प्रेक्टिस कुए सकें।

[फ॰ सं॰ 9-16/71-एम॰ पी॰ टी॰]

प्रकाश चन्द्र ग्ररोरा, ग्रवर सचिव।

MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING

New Delhi, the 29th June 1971

S.O. 3593.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5(1) of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 and sub-rule (3) of rule 8 read with sub-rule 2 of rule 9 of the Cinematograph (Censorship) Rules, 1958, the Central Government hereby reappoints following persons as members of the Advisory Panel of the Central Board

of Film Censors at Calcutta with effect from 1st July, 1971 to 30th 1971:--

Shri Anant Mahapatra.
 Shri Saumyendra Nath Tagore.

3. Shri Kshitish Roy. 4. Smt. Usha Khan.

[No. 11/4/71-F(C).]

VIRENDRA D. VYAS, Director.

स्चना धौर प्रसारण मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 29 ज्न, 1971

एस० ग्रो० 3503--चलचित्र ग्रिधिनियम, 1952 की धारा 5(1) ग्रीर चलचित्र (सें सेर) नियमा असी, 1958 के नियम 9 के उप-नियम (2) के शाय पठित नियम 8 के उप-नियम (3) द्वारा प्रदत्त भ्रधकारों का प्रयोग करत हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार ने एतदद्वार। निमालिखित व्यक्तियों को ा जुलाई, 1971 से 30 सितम्बर, 1971 तक, केन्द्रीय फिल्म सेंसर बोर्ड के कलकत्ता सलाहकार पैनल का फिर से सबस्य नियक्त किया है:---

- ाः श्री भनन्त महापास्र ।
- 2. श्री सौम्येन्द्र नाथ टैगोर ।
- श्री खितिश राय ।
- 4. श्रीमती ऊषा खान ।

[संख्या 11/4/71-एक (सी)] वीरेन्द्र देव व्याम िदंशक ।

New Delhi, the 12th August 1971

8.0. 3504.—Shri Pratap Krishan, officiating Regional Officer, Central Board of Film Censors, Bombay was granted earned leave preparatory to retirement for 64 days with effect from 24th May, 1971 to 26th July, 1971.

On the expiry of leave Shrl Pratap Krishan retired from Government service w.e.f. 27th July, 1971. [No. 2/106/70-F(C).]

नई दिल्ली 12 ग्रगस्त, 1971

एस० ग्रो॰ 3504--श्री प्रताप कृष्ण, स्थाना उन्त प्रादेशिक ग्रिधिक रि, केन्द्रीय िन मेरान बोर्ड, ब बई को 24 मई 1971 में 26 जुलाई, 1971 तक, 64 दिन का सेव निवृत्ति पूर्व प्रक्तित स्रवकाश (दान किया गया था।

2. ग्रवकाश की समास्ति पर, श्री प्रताप कृष्ण 27 जुलाई, 1971 से सन्कारी - सेवा से सेना निवस हो गए।

[सं॰ 2 106/70-एफ (सी)]

New Delhi, the 21st August 1971

S.O. 3505.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5(1) of the Cinematograph Act, 1952, and sub-rule (3) of rule 8 read with sub-rule 2 of rule 9 of the Cinematograph (Censorship) Rules, 1958, the Central Government hereby appoints Shrimati Sara Syed Usuf as member of the Advisory Panel of Central Board of Film Censors at Madras with immediate effect and upto 30th September, 1971.

[No. 11/2/71-**F**(C).] J. C. NAMPUI, Dy. Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 21 श्रगस्त, 1971

एस० थ्रो० 3505—चलचित्र श्रधिनियम, 1952 की धारा 5(1) श्रौर क्लचित्र (सेंसर) नियमावली, 1958 के नियम 9 के उप-नियम 2 के साथ पठित नियम 8 के उप-नियम (3) द्वारा प्रदत्त ग्रधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार ने श्रीमती सारा सँयद यूसुफ को तत्काल से 30 सितम्बर, 1971 तक केन्द्रीय फिल्म सेंसर बोर्ड के मद्रास सलाह्कार पैनल का सेदस्य नियुक्त किया

[संख्या का॰ 11/2/71-एक॰ (सी॰)] जे॰ सी॰ नामपुद्द, उप सचिव।

New Delhi, the 31st August 1971

S.O. 3506.—In pursuance of the Resolution of the Government of India in the Ministry of Information & Broadcasting No. 1/29/58-FP dated the 5th February. 1959 as amended from time to time, the Central Government hereby nominates Shri Hrishikesh Mukherjee as member of the Film Advisory Board, Bombay, with effect from the date he assumes charge of the office, for a term of two years.

[No. 28/3/70-FP.]

नई दिल्ली, 31 धगस्त, 1971

एस० ओ० 3506:—भारत सरकार के सूचना भौर प्रसारण मंत्रालय के समय-समय पर संभोधित संकल्प संख्या 1/29/58-एफ० पी० दिनांक 5 फरवरी, 1959 के धनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार ने श्री ऋषिकेश मुक्कर्जी को दो वर्ष के लिए इस कार्यालय में कार्य भार संभालने की तारीख से, फिल्म सलाहकार बार्ड, बस्बई का सदस्य नियुक्त किया है।

[संख्या 28/3/71-भ्रफ० पी०]

S.O. 3507.—In pursuance of rule 4(b) of the Central Information Serivce Rules, 1959, to eCentral Government as a result of the review undertaken, hereby fixes the authorised permanent strength of the following grades of the Central Information Service as on the 1st of March, 1970.

Grade									A	Authorised Permanen Strength
Class I:								· · · · · -		
Selection Grade .								•		1
Senior Adminstrative	Grade :									
(Senior Scale)										5
(Junior Scale) .	_	-		_						5
Junior Administrative	Grade	•	-	•						
(Senior Scale) .		-				_				7
/T 1 / 4 i		•	•	•	•	-	-			7 8
⊙_` <u>`</u> 3. τ		•		•	•	•	•	•		99
	•	-	•	•	•	•	•	62	١.	
Grade II	· :	٠, '	•	•	•	•	•	18	Ł	108
Add leave res	erve @1	%			•	•	•		۲	100
Add deputation	on reserv	re (a)	15%	٠		•	•	28	,	
Class II	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	136
Grade IV: .				_				26	6 <u>)</u>	
Add leave res	erve @	10.%	•	•				40	· `}	- 3 2 6
Add deputation	on reserv	e @	5%		:	•		20		
	7	otal	strength	ı :						695

^{2.} The total authorised permanent strength of the Central Information Service has been fixed at 695 as on the 1st March, 1970.

[No. F. 2/1/70-CIS] S. PADMANABHAN, Dv. Secv. एस० अर० 3507.— केन्द्रीय सूचना सेवा नियमावली. 1959 के नियम 4 (·) के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार, किए गए पुनिःश्लोकन के परिणामस्वरूप, 1 मार्च 1970 को केन्द्रीय सूचना सेवा के निम्नलिखित ग्रेडों की श्रधिकृत स्थायी संख्या एतद्द्वारा नियत करती है :—

ग्रेट	3						प्रधि	कृत स्थार्य	ी सं ख ्य
 श्रेणी-एक :		·		·	,				1
सेलेक्ण	न ग्रेड				,	,			
सीनियर प्र	शासनिक ग्रे ड	· :							5
(सीनिय	पर स्केल)								5
•	ार स्केल ∫								
	ग्रासनिक ग्रे ड	:							7
	परस्केल)								8
1	र स्केल)							62)	99
ग्रेष्ट-एक								18 >	108
ग्रेड-यो								28 J	
	10 प्रतिशत	केहिस	ाब से छर्द	रिजर्वज	डिये		-	5	
	1 5 प्रतिशत					ये .			
श्रेणी–दो									
ग्रेड–तीन									136
_		•	•	•	•	•	•	3	130
ग्रेड —चार			:		->c->	٠	. 2	266]	
	10 प्रतिशत					•	•	40 }	326
	5 प्रतिशत	क हिसा	ब संप्रति	ानयुक्ति ।	रजव जी	ड्य.	•	ر 20	
•						9	ल संख्या		695

^{2. 1} मार्च, 1970 को केन्द्रीय सूचना सेवा की कुल ग्राधिकृत स्थायी संख्या 695 नियत की गई है ।

[सं० फा॰ 2/1/70-सी॰ग्राई॰एस॰] एस॰ पद्मनाभन, उप सिवत ।